

Verification of Object-Oriented Programs with Invariants

Mike Barnett, Robert DeLine, Manual
Fahndrich, K. Rustan M. Leino and
Wolfram Shulte

Overview

- **Goal:** design a sound methodology for specifying *object invariants* that can then be automatically verified (statically or dynamically)
- Object invariants describe a programmer intentions

Design by Contract

- Routine specifications describe a contract between a program and clients of that program
- Postconditions on constructors
- Pre and postconditions on methods
- Modifies clauses
 - All methods can modify newly allocated fields

Common View

- Callers need not be concerned with establishing preconditions of class T provided:
 - Fields are only modified within methods of T
 - Invariants established in postconditions of methods
- What's the problem?

Invariants May be Temporarily Violated!

```
class T{  
  private x, y: int ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;  
  public T ()  
  {  
    x = 0; y = 1;  
  }  
  public method M ()  
  modifies x, y;  
  {  
    x=x+3;  
    P();  
    y=4*y;  
  }  
  public method P ()  
  {  
    M();  
  }  
}
```



Invariant violated: $x=3, y=1$

Include Explicit Pre-conditions?

```
class T{
  private x, y: int ;
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;
  public T ()
  {
    x = 0; y = 1;
  }
  public method M ()
  requires  $0 \leq x < y$ ;
  modifies x, y;
  {
    x=x+3;
    P();
    y=4*y;
  }
  public method P ()
  {
    M();
  }
}
```

Exposes internal fields!
Bad information hiding
practices.

Proposed Solution

- Each object gets a special public field
 $st = \{Invalid, Valid\}$
 - If $o.st = Valid$, o 's invariant is known to hold
 - If $o.st = Invalid$, o 's invariant is not known to hold
- $Inv_T(o)$ holds \equiv the invariant declared in T holds for o (within a state)

Proposed Solution

- Fields can only be modified between *unpack* and *pack* statements

```
pack o    ≡    assert o ≠ null ∧ o.st = Invalid ;  
              assert InvT(o) ;  
              o.st := Valid
```

```
unpack o  ≡    assert o ≠ null ∧ o.st = Valid ;  
              o.st := Invalid
```


Back to Our Example

```
class T{  
  private x, y: int ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;  
  public T ()  
  {  
    ensures  $st = Valid$ ;  
    {  
      x = 0; y = 1;  
      pack this;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

Postcondition

Precondition

```
public method M ()  
  requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
  modifies x, y;  
  {  
    unpack this;  
    x=x+3;  
    P();  
    y=4*y;  
    pack this;  
  }  
public method P ()  
  {  
    M();  
  }  
}
```

Back to Our Example

```
class T{  
  private x, y: int ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;  
  public T ()  
  {  
    ensures  $st = Valid$ ;  
    {  
      x = 0; y = 1;  
      pack this;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
public method M ()  
  requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
  modifies x, y;  
  {  
    unpack this;  
    x=x+3;  
    P();  
    y=4*y;  
    pack this;  
  }  
public method P ()  
  {  
    M();  
  }  
}
```

Modifies still exposes
some fields to the client.

Why Not Just Check Invariant?

```
class T{
  private x, y: int ;
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;
  public method M ()
    requires st = Valid;
    modifies x, y;
  {
    ...
    unpack this;
    x=x+3;
    y=4*y;
    pack this;
    ...
  }
}
```

```
class T{
  private x, y: int ;
  invariant  $0 \leq x < y$ ;
  public method M ()
    modifies x, y;
  {
    checkInv ();
    ...
    x=x+3;
    y=4*y;
    ...
    checkInv ();
  }
  public method checkInv ()
  {
    assert (  $0 \leq x < y$  );
  }
}
```

We Can Prove a Program Invariant

- If
 - field updates are only allowed when $o.st$ is invalid (i.e., between *pack* and *unpack*)
 - we only allow the invariant to depend on fields of this (for now)

- Then

$$(\forall o: T \bullet o.st = Invalid \vee Inv_T(o))$$

Extending to Components

```
class T{  
  private f: U ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq f.g$ ;  
  ...  
  public method M ()  
    requires st = Valid;  
  {  
    f.N ();  
  }  
  ...  
}
```

```
class U{  
  private g: int ;  
  ...  
  public method N()  
    requires st = Valid;  
  {  
    unpack this;  
    g = -1 ;  
    pack this;  
  }  
  ...  
}
```

T's invariant violated in
a *Valid* state!

Include $f.st$ in Precondition of T ?

```
class T{  
  private f: U;  
  invariant  $0 \leq f.g$ ;  
  ...  
  public method M()  
    requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
    requires  $f.st = Valid$ ;  
    {  
      unpack this;  
      f.N();  
      pack this;  
    }  
  ...  
}
```

```
class U{  
  private g: int ;  
  ...  
  public method N()  
    requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
    {  
      unpack this;  
      g = -1 ;  
      pack this;  
    }  
  ...  
}
```

Bad information hiding!

Solution?

- Prevent a class from being unpacked without regard to a class that might refer to it.
- t refers to u , so **commit** u to t

Committing

- Components identified with **rep** modifier
- $st = \{Valid, Invalid, Committed\}$

```
pack o    ≡    assert o ≠ null ∧ o.st = Invalid ;  
            assert InvT(o) ;
```

```
foreach p ∈ CompT(o) { assert p = null ∨ p.st = Valid ; }  
foreach p ∈ CompT(o) { if (p ≠ null) { p.st := Committed ; } }  
o.st := Valid
```

```
unpack o  ≡    assert o ≠ null ∧ o.st = Valid ;  
            o.st := Invalid ;
```

```
foreach p ∈ CompT(o) { if (p ≠ null) { p.st := Valid ; } }
```


Back to Our Example

```
class T{  
  private rep f: U;  
  invariant  $0 \leq f.g$ ;  
  public T()  
  {  
     $f.g = 10$ ;  
    pack this;  
  }  
  public method M()  
  requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
  {  
    unpack this;  
     $f.N()$ ;  
    pack this;  
  }  
  ...  
}
```

```
class U{  
  private g: int ;  
  ...  
  public method N()  
  requires  $st = Valid$ ;  
  {  
    unpack this;  
     $g = -1$  ;  
    pack this;  
  }
```

Commits u to t

Takes t from *Committed* to *Valid*

So what?

- If
 - field updates are only allowed when $o.st$ is invalid (i.e., between *pack* and *unpack*)
 - object invariant can depend on fields of this and component fields declared with **rep** ($this.f_1.f_2\dots.g$)
- Then
 - We can prove a stronger **program invariant**:

$$(\forall o: T \bullet o.st = Invalid \vee (Inv_T(o) \wedge (\forall p \in Comp_T(o) \bullet p = null \vee p.st = Committed)))$$

Proving Program Invariant

- Requires all committed object have unique *owners*
- Can transfer owners from t to u via:

```
unpack  $t$  ; unpack  $u$  ;  
 $u.g := t.f$  ; pack  $u$  ;  
 $t.f := null$  ; pack  $t$  ;
```

Still Too Restrictive!

- If
 - field updates are only allowed when $o.st$ is invalid (i.e., between *pack* and *unpack*

– object invariant can depend on fields of this and component fields declared with **rep** ($this.f_1.f_2\dots.g$)

- Then
 - We can prove a stronger **program invariant**:

$$(\forall o: T \bullet o.st = Invalid \vee (Inv_T(o) \wedge (\forall p \in Comp_T(o) \bullet p = null \vee p.st = Committed)))$$

Subclasses

- Problem
 - $o: B$
 - class frame
 - Possible sets:
 - {object}
 - {object, A}
 - {object, A, B}

```
class object { // pre-declared by the language
  // various declarations...
}
class A extends object {
  w: W ; x: X ;
  invariant ... w ... x ... ;
  // routine declarations...
}
class B extends A {
  y: Y ; z: Z ;
  invariant ... w ... x ... y ... z ... ;
  // routine declarations...
}
```

Object	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N
A	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N
B	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N

Specifying them is enough

Subclasses

- Solution
 - Abandon *st* field
 - Introduce fields
 - *inv*: the most derived class whose class frame is valid
 - *committed*: boolean that indicates whether the object is committed

Subclasses

- Example

```
class Reader {  
  public Reader()  
    ensures  $inv = Reader \wedge \neg committed$  ;  
  public method GetChar(): int  
    requires  $inv = 1 \wedge \neg committed$  ;  
    modifies  $this.\{1\}$  ;  
    ensures  $-1 \leq result < 65536$  ;  
  ...  
}
```

Replace "st" statement

Subclasses

- pack and unpack

Abandon st
Introduce inv, committed

```
pack o as T ≡  
  assert  $o \neq \text{null} \wedge o.\text{inv} = S$  ;  
  assert  $\text{Inv}_T(o)$  ;  
  foreach  $p \in \text{Comp}_T(o)$  {  
    assert  $p = \text{null} \vee (p.\text{inv} = \text{type}(p) \wedge \neg p.\text{committed})$  ; }  
  foreach  $p \in \text{Comp}_T(o)$  { if ( $p \neq \text{null}$ ) {  $p.\text{committed} := \text{true}$  ; } }  
   $o.\text{inv} := T$ 
```

```
unpack o from T ≡  
  assert  $o \neq \text{null} \wedge o.\text{inv} = T \wedge \neg o.\text{committed}$  ;  
   $o.\text{inv} := S$  ;  
  foreach  $p \in \text{Comp}_T(o)$  { if ( $p \neq \text{null}$ ) {  $p.\text{committed} := \text{false}$  ; } }
```


Routine specifications

- What is routine specification?
 - A contract between its callers and implementations, which describes what is expected of the caller at the time of call, and what is expected of the implementation at the time of return.

Routine specifications

- Writing modifies clauses

- Definitions

- o : object
 - f : field name of o
 - $\text{Heap}[o, f]$:
 - W : modifies clause

- Policy

$$(\forall o, f \bullet \text{Heap}[o, f] = \mathbf{old}(\text{Heap}[o, f]) \vee (o, f) \in \mathbf{old}(W) \vee \neg \mathbf{old}(\text{Heap}[o, \text{alloc}]) \vee \mathbf{old}(\text{Heap}[o, \text{committed}])))$$

Routine specifications

- Writing preconditions of methods and overrides
 - Dynamically dispatched method
 - Define **1** as `type(this)`

```
class object { // pre-declared by the language
  // various declarations...
}
class A extends object {
  w: W ; x: X ;
  invariant ... w ... x ... ;
  // routine declarations...
}
class B extends A {
  y: Y ; z: Z ;
  invariant ... w ... x ... y ... z ... ;
  // routine declarations...
}
```

w: inv=type(A)

w: inv=1

w: inv = type(A)

w: inv = type(A)

w: inv = type(A)

w: inv = type(B)

Example - readers

```
class Reader {  
  public Reader()  
    ensures inv = Reader  $\wedge$   $\neg$ committed ;  
  public method GetChar(): int  
    requires inv = 1  $\wedge$   $\neg$ committed ;  
    modifies this.{1} ;  
    ensures - 1  $\leq$  result < 65536 ;  
  ...  
}
```

By Default

Not committed to
anyone else

Example – array readers

```
class ArrayReader extends Reader {  
  private rep src: char[] ;  
  private n: int ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq n \leq \text{src.length}$  ;  
  public ArrayReader(source: char[])  
    requires source  $\neq$  null  $\wedge$  source.inv = type(source)  $\wedge$   $\neg$ source.committed ;  
    ensures inv = ArrayReader  $\wedge$   $\neg$ committed ;  
    ...  
  impl GetChar( ): int {  
    var ch: int ;  
    unpack this from ArrayReader ;  
    if (n = src.length) { ch := -1 ; }  
    else { ch := (int)src[n] ; n := n + 1 ; }  
    pack this as ArrayReader ;  
    return ch ;  
  }  
}
```

```
class Reader {  
  public Reader()  
    ensures inv = Reader  $\wedge$   $\neg$ committed ;  
  public method GetChar( ): int  
    requires inv = 1  $\wedge$   $\neg$ committed ;  
    modifies this.{1} ;  
    ensures  $-1 \leq$  result < 65536 ;  
    ...  
}
```

inv = type(*Reader*)
this.{type(*Reader*)}

inv = type(*ArrayReader*)
this.{type(*ArrayReader*)}

Example – parameter passing

```
class ArrayReader extends Reader {  
  private rep src: char[] ;  
  private n: int ;  
  invariant  $0 \leq n \leq \text{src.length}$  ;  
  public ArrayReader(source: char[])  
    requires  $\text{source} \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{source.invariant} = \text{type}(\text{source}) \wedge \neg \text{source.committed}$  ;  
    ensures  $\text{invariant} = \text{ArrayReader} \wedge \neg \text{committed}$  ;  
  { super() ;  
    src := source ; n := 0 ;  
    pack this as ArrayReader ;  
  }  
  
  impl GetChar() : int {  
    var ch: int ;  
    unpack this from ArrayReader ;  
    if ( $n = \text{src.length}$ ) { ch := -1 ; }  
    else { ch := (int)src[n] ; n := n + 1 ; }  
    pack this as ArrayReader ;  
    return ch ;  
  }  
}
```

source.committed goes
from *false* to *true* violating
the precondition

Now What?

```
class ArraySort { // Insertion Sort Method by R. Monahan & R. Leino / APH
  public static void sortArray( int[] a )
    modifies a[*];
    ensures forall{int j in (1:a.Length);(a[j-1] <= a[j])};
  {
    int t, k=1;
    if (a.Length > 0) {
      while(k < a.Length)
        invariant 1 <= k && k <= a.Length;
        invariant forall { int j in (1:k), int i in (0:j); (a[i] <= a[j]) };
        {
          for( t = k; t>0 && a[t-1]>a[t]; t-- )
            invariant k < a.Length;
            invariant 0<=t && t<=k;
            invariant forall { int j in (1:k+1), int i in (0:j); j==t || a[i] <= a[j] };
            { int temp; temp = a[t]; a[t] = a[t-1]; a[t-1] = temp; }
            k++;
          } } }
  } } }
```

Spec#

- Specifications integrated into Spec# which extends C#
- Spec# compiler integrated into Visual Studio
- Boogie statically verifies correctness and finds errors

Thanks!