

CSE 484: Computer Security and Privacy

Authentication

Winter 2021

David Kohlbrenner

dkohlbre@cs.washington.edu

Thanks to Franzi Roesner, Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, Yoshi Kohno, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...


Administrivia

- Homework 3 is up
 - 3 questions, 2 require some non-trivial thinking and writing

- Wednesday is a guest lecture!
 - NOT RECORDED

- Lab 2 due Friday night

Basic Problem



How do you prove to someone that
you are who you claim to be?

Any system with access control must solve this problem.

Many Ways to Prove Who You Are

- What you know
 - Passwords
 - Answers to questions that only you know
- Where you are
 - IP address, geolocation
- What you are
 - Biometrics
- What you have
 - Secure tokens, mobile devices

A slightly more fundamental question


- What are we trying to prove?

Passwords and Computer Security

- In 2012, **76% of network intrusions exploited weak or stolen credentials** (username/password)
 - Source: Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report
- In Mitnick's "Art of Intrusion" **8 out of 9 exploits involve password stealing and/or cracking**
- First step after any successful intrusion: install sniffer or keylogger to steal more passwords
- Second step: run cracking tools on password files
 - Cracking needed because modern systems usually do not store passwords in the clear

UNIX-Style Passwords

- How should we store passwords on a server?
 - In cleartext?
 - Encrypted?
 - Hashed?



Password Hashing

- Instead of user password, store $H(\text{password})$
- When user enters password, compute its hash and compare with entry in password file
 - System does not store actual passwords!
 - System itself can't easily go from hash to password
 - Which would be possible if the passwords were encrypted
- Hash function H must have some properties
 - **One-way**: given $H(\text{password})$, hard to find password
 - No known algorithm better than trial and error
 - “Slow” to compute


UNIX Password System

- Approach: Hash passwords
- Problem: **passwords are not truly random**
 - With 52 upper- and lower-case letters, 10 digits and 32 punctuation symbols, there are 94^8 == 6 quadrillion possible 8-character passwords ($\sim 2^{52}$)
 - **BUT:** Humans like to use dictionary words, human and pet names == 1 million common passwords

Dictionary Attack

- **Dictionary attack** is possible because many passwords come from a small dictionary
 - Attacker can pre-compute $H(\text{word})$ for every word in the dictionary – this only needs to be done once!
 - This is an offline attack
 - Once password file is obtained, cracking is instantaneous
 - Sophisticated password guessing tools are available
 - Take into account freq. of letters, password patterns, etc.

Salt



- Users with the same password have different entries in the password file
- Offline dictionary attack becomes much harder

Advantages of Salting

- Without salt, attacker can pre-compute hashes of all dictionary words once for all password entries
 - Same hash function on all UNIX machines
 - Identical passwords hash to identical values; one table of hash values can be used for all password files
- With salt, attacker must compute hashes of all dictionary words once for each password entry
 - With 12-bit random salt, same password can hash to 2^{12} different hash values
 - Attacker must try all dictionary words **for each salt value** in the password file
- Pepper: Secret salt (not stored in password file)

Shadow Password

franzi:x:14510:30:Franzi:/u/franzi:/bin/csh



/etc/passwd entry



Hashed password is no longer
stored in a world-readable file

Hashed passwords are stored in `/etc/shadow` file which is only
readable by system administrator (root)

Other Password Security Risks

- Keystroke loggers
 - Hardware
 - Software (spyware)
- Shoulder surfing
- Same password at multiple sites
- Broken implementations
 - Recall TENEX timing attack
- Social engineering



Default Passwords

- Examples from Mitnick's "Art of Intrusion"
 - U.S. District Courthouse server: "public" / "public"
 - NY Times employee database: pwd = last 4 SSN digits
- Mirai IoT botnet
 - Weak and default passwords on routers and other devices

Weak Passwords

- RockYou hack
 - “Social gaming” company
 - Database with 32 million user passwords from partner social networks
 - Passwords stored in the clear
 - December 2009: entire database hacked using an **SQL injection attack** and posted on the Internet
 - One of many such examples!



Weak Passwords

- RockYou hack



- “ Password Popularity – Top 20

- D
 - p
 - D
 - p

Rank	Password	Number of Users with Password (absolute)
1	123456	290731
2	12345	79078
3	123456789	76790
4	Password	61958
5	iloveyou	51622
6	princess	35231
7	rockyou	22588
8	1234567	21726
9	12345678	20553
10	abc123	17542

Rank	Password	Number of Users with Password (absolute)
11	Nicole	17168
12	Daniel	16409
13	babygirl	16094
14	monkey	15294
15	Jessica	15162
16	Lovely	14950
17	michael	14898
18	Ashley	14329
19	654321	13984
20	Qwerty	13856

Password Policies

- Old recommendation:
 - 7 or 8 characters, at least 3 out of {digits, upper-case, lower-case, non-alphanumeric}, no dictionary words, change every 4 months, password may not be similar to previous 12 passwords...



Image from http://www.interactivetools.com/staff/dave/damons_office/

Password Policies

- Old recommendation:
 - 7 or 8 characters, at least 3 out of {digits, upper-case, lower-case, non-alphanumeric}, no dictionary words, change every 4 months, password may not be similar to previous 12 passwords...
- **But** ... results in frustrated users and less security
 - Burdens of devising, learning, forgetting passwords
 - **Users construct passwords insecurely, write them down**
 - Can't use their favorite password construction techniques (small changes to old passwords, etc.)
 - Heavy password re-use across systems
 - (Password managers can help)

New NIST Guidelines 😊

- Remove requirement to periodically change passwords
- Screen for commonly used passwords
- Allow copy-paste into password fields
- Allow but don't require arbitrary special characters
- Etc.

<https://pages.nist.gov/800-63-3/sp800-63b.html>

Recovering Passwords

Palin E-Mail Hacker Says It Was Easy

By [Kim Zetter](#)  September 18, 2008 | 10:05 am | Categories: [Elections](#), [Hacks and Cracks](#)

A p
obt
priv
sup
rev
too
Re

after the password recovery was reenabled, it took seriously 45 mins on wikipedia and google to find the info, Birthday? 15 seconds on wikipedia, zip code? well she had always been from wasilla, and it only has 2 zip codes (thanks online postal service!)

the second was somewhat harder, the question was "where did you meet your spouse?" did some research, and apparently she had eloped with mister palin after college, if youll look on some of the screenshits that I took and other fellow anon have so graciously put on photobucket you will see the google search for "palin eloped" or some such in one of the tabs.

I found out later though more research that they met at high school, so I did variations of that, high, high school, eventually hit on "Wasilla high" I promptly changed the password to popcorn and took a cold shower...

Wired Cover Story (Dec 2012)



“This summer, hackers destroyed my entire digital life in the span of an hour. My Apple, Twitter, and Gmail passwords were all robust—seven, 10, and 19 characters, respectively, all alphanumeric, some with symbols thrown in as well—but the three accounts were linked, so once the hackers had conned their way into one, they had them all. They really just wanted my Twitter handle: @mat.”

Also in this issue

[Kill the Password: Why a String of Characters Can't Protect Us Anymore](#)

Improving(?) Passwords

- Add biometrics
 - For example, keystroke dynamics or voiceprint
- Graphical passwords
 - Goal: easier to remember? no need to write down?
- Password managers
 - Examples: LastPass, KeePass, built into browsers
 - Can have security vulnerabilities...
- Two-factor authentication
 - Leverage phone (or other device) for authentication

Multi-Factor Authentication

1. Sign in with your Google Account

Email: hikingfan@gmail.com
ex: pat@example.com

Password:

Stay signed in

[Can't access your account?](#)

2. Google accounts

Enter verification code

To verify your identity on this computer, enter the verification code generated by your mobile application.

Enter code: 466453

Remember verification for this computer for 30 days.

[Other ways to get a verification code »](#)

Google Authenticator

966286
wileyc@acme.com

001333

Turn on Login Approvals

What is Login Approvals?

Login Approvals is a security feature that requires you to enter a code that we text to your phone when you log in from an unrecognized computer. You can enable this feature in a few simple steps.

If you ever lose access to your phone, you can always return to a previously-recognized computer to regain access to your account.

Note: You'll need to have your mobile phone with you to complete this process.

FIDO + Hardware Two Factors




Questions:

Do you use 2-factor auth?

Do you use a password manager?

Why or why not?

Secondary Factors Do Help!



Graphical Passwords

- Another variant: draw on the image (Windows 8)



- Problem: **users choose predictable points/lines**

Unlock Patterns



- Problems:

- Predictable patterns (sound familiar by now??)
- Smear patterns
- Side channels: apps can use accelerometer and gyroscope to extract pattern!

What About Biometrics?

- Authentication: **What you are**
- Unique identifying characteristics to authenticate user or create credentials
 - Biological and physiological: Fingerprints, iris scan
 - Behaviors characteristics - how perform actions: Handwriting, typing, gait
- Advantages:
 - Nothing to remember
 - Passive
 - Can't share (generally)
 - With perfect accuracy, could be fairly unique

Issues with Biometrics

- Private, but not secret
 - Maybe encoded on the back of an ID card?
 - Maybe encoded on your glass, door handle, ...
 - Sharing between multiple systems?
- Revocation is difficult (impossible?)
 - Sorry, your iris has been compromised, please create a new one...
- Physically identifying
 - Soda machine to cross-reference fingerprint with DMV?
- Birthday paradox
 - With false accept rate of 1 in a million, probability of false match is above 50% with only 1609 samples

Shifting Threat Models...

BBC NEWS

 **The News in 2 minutes**



News services
Your news when you want it

Last Updated: Thursday, 31 March, 2005, 10:37 GMT 11:37 UK

 [E-mail this to a friend](#)  [Printable version](#)

Malaysia car thieves steal finger

By Jonathan Kent
BBC News, Kuala Lumpur

Police in Malaysia are hunting for members of a violent gang who chopped off a car owner's finger to get round the vehicle's hi-tech security system.

The car, a Mercedes S-class, was protected by a fingerprint recognition system.

Accountant K Kumaran's ordeal began when he was run down by four men in a small car as he was about to get into his Mercedes in a Kuala Lumpur suburb.

SEE ALSO:

- ▶ [Malaysia to act against pirates](#)
16 Mar 05 | As

RELATED INTEREST:

- ▶ [Malaysian police](#)

The BBC is not responsible for the content of internet sites

TOP ASIA-PACIFIC STORIES

- ▶ [Australians warn of cuts](#)
- ▶ [Taiwan campus](#)


News Front Page



- Africa
- Americas
- Asia-Pacific**
- Europe
- Middle East
- South Asia
- UK
- Business
- Health
- Science/Nature
- Technology
- Entertainment

Attacking Biometrics


- An adversary might try to steal biometric info
 - Malicious fingerprint reader
 - Consider when biometric is used to derive a cryptographic key
 - Residual fingerprint on a glass
- Ex: Apple's TouchID



Attacking Biometrics



Attacking Biometrics



Attacking Biometrics



Attacking Biometrics

