

# CSE 484 / 584M Final Presentation Rubric

**Names / UW Net IDs:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Grader:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Content</b>	<b>2 - Great</b>	<b>1 - OK</b>	<b>0 - Missing / Unacceptable</b>
Clarity and substance	An abundance of material clearly related to the topic is presented. Points are clearly made and supported.	Sufficient information with many good points made, uneven balance and little consistency.	Goal of presentation unclear; there is a great deal of information that is not clearly integrated or connected to the presentation topic.
Organization	Information is presented in a logical and interesting sequence which the audience can follow. Flows well. First three slides make it very clear what the presentation is about (e.g., what the main points are, what the main goals of the presentation are).	Audience has difficulty following the presentation, or significant parts of the presentation, because the presentation jumps around and lacks clear transitions.	Audience cannot understand presentation because there is no sequence of information.
Visuals	Excellent visuals that aid explanations and add to the presentation.	Visuals are used but not explained or put in context, or do not add to the presentation.	Little or no visuals, too much text on slides.
Mechanics	Presentation has no misspellings or grammatical errors.	Presentation has a few (1-3) spelling and/or grammatical errors.	Presentation has many spelling and/or grammatical errors.
Background references	Presentation clearly based on an in-depth investigation into related works and important references.	Limited or no references given, but presentation seems factually correct.	No references given, untrue statements are made.
Discussion of legal / ethical issues	Thoughtful consideration of legal / ethical issues, including at least one slide on the topic.	Legal / ethical slide included, but treatment only superficially considered.	Legal / ethical slide not included.

<b>Submission Details</b>	<b>2 - Great</b>	<b>1 - OK</b>	<b>0 - Missing / Unacceptable</b>
Presentation length	Presentation length within 12-15 minutes.	Within 30 seconds of allotted time, +/-	Too long or too short by more than 30 seconds.

Division of presentation	All presenters speak for roughly the same amount of time.	N/A	One or more presenters speak for significantly longer than others; one or more presenters speak for significantly shorter than others.
Names and UW NetIDs include on first slide; video uploaded in the correct format; slides uploaded in the correct format.	Everything submitted in the correct format.	N/A	At least one portion of the project not submitted in the correct format.
All presenters introduce themselves at start of presentation.	Yes	N/A	No

<b>Verbal Skills</b> <i>(graded independently for each speaker)</i>	<b>2 - Great</b>	<b>1 - OK</b>	<b>0 - Missing / Unacceptable</b>
Engagement	Presenter appears invested in and actively engaged with the work.	Presenter appears moderately invested/engaged.	Shows absolutely no interest in, or inappropriate negative attitude towards, topic.
Speaking Skills	Uses a clear voice and speaks at a good pace so audience can hear presentation, does not read off slides.	Presenter is generally easy to understand, but too fast, slow, soft, or otherwise hard to understand at times. Presenter is sometimes monotonous and/or reads off slides.	Presenter is very difficult to understand (much too fast, too slow, too quiet, mumbling, etc.). Presenter is constantly monotonous and/or reads off slides.