## CSE 484 / CSE M 584 (Spring 2012)

# Asymmetric Cryptography 

## Tadayoshi Kohno

Thanks to Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

## Goals for Today

- Asymmetric Cryptography
- Lab 3 this week
- HW 2 also announced this week.
- There will also be an extra credit HW assignment (can only help your grade)


## Requirements for Public-Key Encryption

- Key generation: computationally easy to generate a pair (public key PK, private key SK)
- Computationally infeasible to determine private key SK given only public key PK
- Encryption: given plaintext M and public key PK, easy to compute ciphertext $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PK}}(\mathrm{M})$
- Decryption: given ciphertext $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PK}}(\mathrm{M})$ and private key SK, easy to compute plaintext M
- Infeasible to compute M from C without SK
- Even infeasible to learn partial information about M
- Trapdoor function: Decrypt(SK,Encrypt(PK,M))=M


## Some Number Theory Facts

- Euler totient function $\varphi(\mathrm{n})$ where $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ is the number of integers in the $[1, \mathrm{n}]$ interval that are relatively prime to n
- Two numbers are relatively prime if their greatest common divisor (gcd) is 1
- Euler's theorem:
if $a \in Z_{n}{ }^{*}$, then $a \varphi(n)=1 \bmod n$
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}$ : multiplicative group of integers mod n (integers relatively prime to $n$ )
Special case: Fermat's Little Theorem
if $p$ is prime and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$, then $a^{p-1}=1 \bmod p$


## RSA Cryptosystem

- Key generation:
- Generate large primes p, q
- Say, 1024 bits each (need primality testing, too)
- Compute $n=p q$ and $\varphi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Choose small e, relatively prime to $\varphi(\mathrm{n})$
- Typically, $\mathrm{e}=3$ or $\mathrm{e}=2^{16}+1=65537$ (why?)
- Compute unique $d$ such that ed $=1 \bmod \varphi(n)$
- Public key = (e,n); private key = (d,n)
- Encryption of m: c = me mod n
- Modular exponentiation by repeated squaring

Decryption of c : $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}$

## Why RSA Decryption Works

- $\cdot d=1 \bmod \varphi(n)$, thus $e \cdot d=1+k \cdot \varphi(n)$ for some $k$

Can rewrite: $e \cdot d=1+k(p-1)(q-1)$

- Let $m$ be any integer in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$
- If $\operatorname{gcd}(m, p)=1$, then $m^{\text {ed }}=m$ mod $p$
- By Fermat's Little Theorem, $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{p}-1}=1 \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{p}$
- Raise both sides to the power $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ and multiply by m
- $\mathrm{m}^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)}=\mathrm{m}$ mod p , thus $\mathrm{m}^{\text {ed }}=\mathrm{m} \bmod \mathrm{p}$
- By the same argument, $\mathrm{m}^{\text {ed }=m ~ m o d ~ q ~}$

Since p and q are distinct primes and $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$,
$\mathrm{m}^{\text {ed }}=\mathrm{m} \bmod \mathrm{n}$ (using the Chinese Remainder Theorem)

- True for all $m$ in $Z_{n}$, not just $m$ in $Z_{n}$ *


## Why Is RSA Secure?

- RSA problem: given $n=p q$, e such that $\operatorname{gcd}(e,(p-1)(q-1))=1$ and $c$, find $m$ such that $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{c} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- i.e., recover $m$ from ciphertext $c$ and public key ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e}$ ) by taking $\mathrm{e}^{\text {th }}$ root of c
- There is no known efficient algorithm for doing this
- Factoring problem: given positive integer n , find primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ such that $n=p_{1}{ }^{{ }^{1}} p_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e} 2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{e} k}$
- If factoring is easy, then RSA problem is easy (because knowing factors means you can compute d), but there is no known reduction from factoring to RSA
- It may be possible to break RSA without factoring n -- but if it is, we don't know how


## On RSA encryption

- Encrypted message needs to be in interpreted as an integer less than n
- Reason: Otherwise can't decrypt.
- Message is very often a symmetric encryption key.
-But still not quite that simple


## Caveats

- $\mathrm{e}=3$ is a common exponent
- If $m<n^{1 / 3}$, then $c=m^{3}<n$ and can just take the cube root of $c$ to recover $m$ (i.e., no operations taken module n)
- Even problems if "pad" $m$ in some ways [Hastad]
- Let $c_{i}=m^{3} \bmod n_{i}$ - same message is encrypted to three people
- Adversary can compute $\mathrm{m}^{3} \bmod \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}$ (using CRT)
- Then take ordinary cube root to recover m
- Don't use RSA directly for privacy! Need to preprocess input in some way.


## Sample Encryption

- $2621513 \quad 714131312814 \quad 1513$

14
$20963125261416 \quad 2315262$
6131

- $P=3, Q=11, N=33, E=7, D=3$
- 'A' converted to 1 before encryption; 'B' Converted to 2 before encryption; ...

A-1 B-2 C-3 D-4 E-5 F-6 G-7 H-8 I-9 J-10 K-11 L-12 M-13 N-14 O-15 P-16 Q-17 R-18 S-19 T-20 U-21 V-22 W-23 X-24 Y-25 Z-26

- http://www.wolframalpha.com/


## Integrity in RSA Encryption

Plain RSA does not provide integrity

- Given encryptions of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, attacker can create encryption of $m_{1} \cdot m_{2}$
$-\left(m_{1}{ }^{e}\right) \cdot\left(m_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \bmod \mathrm{n}=\left(m_{1} \cdot m_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- Attacker can convert $m$ into $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{k}}$ without decrypting
$-\left(m_{1}{ }^{e}\right)^{\mathrm{k}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- In practice, OAEP is used: instead of encrypting M, encrypt $\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}) ; \mathrm{r} \oplus \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}))$
- $r$ is random and fresh, G and H are hash functions
- Resulting encryption is plaintext-aware: infeasible to compute a valid encryption without knowing plaintext
- ... if hash functions are "good" and RSA problem is hard


## OAEP (image from PKCS \#1 v2.1)




## Summary of RSA

- Defined RSA primitives
- Encryption and Decryption
- Underlying number theory
- Practical concerns, some mis-uses
- OAEP


## Digital Signatures: Basic Idea

## public key



Given: Everybody knows Bob’s public key
Only Bob knows the corresponding private key
Goal: Bob sends a "digitally signed" message

1. To compute a signature, must know the private key
2. To verify a signature, enough to know the public key

## RSA Signatures

-Public key is (n,e), private key is d

- To sign message m: s=m² mod $n$
- Signing and decryption are the same underlying operation in RSA
- It's infeasible to compute s on m if you don't know d
- To verify signature s on message $m$ :
$s^{e} \bmod n=\left(m^{d}\right)^{e} \bmod n=m$
- Just like encryption
- Anyone who knows $n$ and e (public key) can verify signatures produced with d (private key)
- In practice, also need padding \& hashing
- Standard padding/hashing schemes exist for RSA signatures


## Encryption and Signatures

- Often people think: Encryption and decryption are inverses.
- That's a common view
- True for the RSA primitive (underlying component)

But not one we'll take

- To really use RSA, we need padding
- And there are many other decryption methods
- And there are many other signing methods


## Digital Signature Standard (DSS)

- U.S. government standard (1991-94)
- Modification of the ElGamal signature scheme (1985)
- Key generation:
- Generate large primes p, q such that q divides p-1
$-2^{159}<\mathrm{q}<2^{160}, 2^{511+64 t}<\mathrm{p}<2^{512+64 t}$ where $0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 8$
- Select $h \in Z_{p}^{*}$ and compute $g=h^{(p-1) / q} \bmod p$
- Select random $x$ such $1 \leq x \leq q-1$, compute $y=g^{x}$ mod $p$
- Public key: ( $p, q, g, y=g^{x}$ mod $p$ ), private key: $x$

Security of DSS requires hardness of discrete log

- If could solve discrete logarithm problem, would extract $x$ (private key) from $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ (public key)


## DSS: Signing a Message (Skim)



## DSS: Verifying a Signature (Skim)

## 



## Advantages of Public-Key Crypto

Confidentiality without shared secrets

- Very useful in open environments
- No "chicken-and-egg" key establishment problem
- With symmetric crypto, two parties must share a secret before they can exchange secret messages
- Caveats to come
- Authentication without shared secrets
- Use digital signatures to prove the origin of messages
- Reduce protection of information to protection of authenticity of public keys
- No need to keep public keys secret, but must be sure that Alice's public key is really her true public key


## Disadvantages of Public-Key Crypto

- Calculations are 2-3 orders of magnitude slower
- Modular exponentiation is an expensive computation
- Typical usage: use public-key cryptography to establish a shared secret, then switch to symmetric crypto
- E.g., IPsec, SSL, SSH, ...
- Keys are longer
- 1024+ bits (RSA) rather than 128 bits (AES)
- Relies on unproven number-theoretic assumptions
-What if factoring is easy?
- Factoring is believed to be neither P, nor NP-complete
- (Of course, symmetric crypto also rests on unproven assumptions)


## Note: Optimizing Exponentiation

- How to compute $M^{x}$ mod $N$ ? Say $x=13$

Sums of power of $2, x=8+4+1=2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{0}$

- Can also write $x$ in binary, e.g., $x=1101$
- Can solve by repeated squaring
- y = 1;
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=M$
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=M^{2}{ }^{*} M=M^{2+1}=M^{3}$
- $y=y^{2} \bmod N / / y=\left(M^{2+1}\right)^{2}=M^{4+2}$
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=\left(M^{4+2}\right)^{2 *} M=M^{8+4+1}$
- Does anyone see a potential issue?


## Timing attacks

Collect timings for exponentiation with a bunch of messages M1, M2, ... (e.g., RSA signing operations with a private exponent) Assume (inductively) know $\mathrm{b}_{3}=1, \mathrm{~b}_{2}=1$, guess $\mathrm{b}_{1}=1$

| $i$ | $b_{i}=0$ | $b_{i}=1$ | Comp | Meas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 2 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 1 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ | $X 1 \operatorname{secs}$ |  |
| 0 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  | $Y 1$ secs |


| $i$ | $b_{i}=0$ | $b_{i}=1$ | Comp | Meas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 2 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 1 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ | $X 2 \operatorname{secs}$ |  |
| 0 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  | $Y 2 \sec \mathrm{C}$ |

## Timing attacks

- If $b_{1}=1$, then set of $\left\{Y_{j}-X_{j} \mid j\right.$ in $\left.\{1,2, .\}.\right\}$ has distribution with "small" variance (due to time for final step, $\mathrm{i}=0$ )
- "Guess" was correct when we computed $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \ldots$
- If $b_{1}=0$, then set of $\left\{\mathrm{Yj}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{Xj} \mid \mathrm{j}\right.$ in $\left.\{1,2, .\}.\right\}$ has distribution with "large" variance (due to time for final step, $\mathrm{i}=0$, and incorrect guess for $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ )
- "Guess" was incorrect when we computed X1, X2, ...
- So time computation wrong ( Xj computed as large, but really small, ...)
- Strategy: Force user to sign large number of messages M1, M2, .... Record timings for signing.
- Iteratively learn bits of key by using above property.

