Peer Evaluation Form – CSE 473 Project (Spring 2010).

Project team members (last name first): _____________________________________________________

Project Title: ________________________________________________________________________

Category of project (circle one): (1) Thoughtful reconstruction of a classical AI program, (2) PROLOG implementation of a probabilistic model, (3) Bayes Net event analysis in Python, (4) Case-Based Reasoning, (5) AI in Image Understanding, (6) Serious Games.

Main technique being demonstrated: ________________________________________________________________________ ;

and application problem being addressed: ________________________________________________________________________

For the following, either rate the quality of the item from 0 to 5 (0 means not implemented or “not at all” and 5 means excellent or “very much”) or simply check off the item, meaning “satisfactorily done.”

Presentation: (    ) The project was presented.
(    ) Main technique was explained briefly.
(    ) It is clear where the AI is. (Where? _____________________ )
(    ) It is not clear where the AI is.

Demo: (   ) A simple example was presented and explained clearly.
(   ) A complex example was presented, and the program worked OK on it.
(   ) All team members participated in the demo.

Transparency: (   ) Technique was illustrated using graphics.
(   ) Comments were printed out as the program ran.
(   ) Interactive controls permitted setting parameters and/or inspecting data structures.
(   ) A demo was run using a prepared file.

Teaching/Learning: (   ) I was already familiar with the main technique being presented.
(   ) This demo taught me about the technique.

Strengths (name at least one): ________________________________________________________________________

Weaknesses (name at least one): ________________________________________________________________________

In what way(s) does or could the program foster a real collaboration between person and computer in problem solving or learning and teaching? ________________________________________________________________________

Other comments: ________________________________________________________________________

Evaluated by (please print:) ___________________ (signed:) ___________________

Acknowledgement by project team: I/we have read the above evaluation and I/we agree/disagree (circle one)

Project member 1 signature: _________________________________

Project member 2 signature: _________________________________
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