Inference in first-order logic

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4

AIMA Slides @Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4

Outline

♦ Proofs

♦ Unification

♦ Generalized Modus Ponens

♦ Forward and backward chaining

AIMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 2

Proofs

Sound inference: find α such that $KB \models \alpha$. Proof process is a <u>search</u>, operators are inference rules.

E.g., Modus Ponens (MP)

$$\frac{\alpha, \quad \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}{\beta} \qquad \frac{At(Joe, UCB) \quad At(Joe, UCB) \Rightarrow OK(Joe)}{OK(Joe)}$$

4. $Buffalo(Bob) \wedge Pig(Pat)$

E.g., And-Introduction (AI)

$$\frac{\alpha \quad \beta}{\alpha \land \beta} \qquad \frac{OK(Joe) \quad CSMajor(Joe)}{OK(Joe) \land CSMajor(Joe)}$$

E.g., Universal Elimination (UE)

$$\frac{\forall x \ \alpha}{\alpha \{x/\tau\}} \qquad \frac{\forall x \ At(x, UCB) \ \Rightarrow \ OK(x)}{At(Pat, UCB) \ \Rightarrow \ OK(Pat)}$$

au must be a ground term (i.e., no variables)

AlMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Al 1 & 2

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 3

Example proof

Buffaloes outrun pigs 3. $\forall x,y \; Buffalo(x) \land Pig(y) \Rightarrow Faster(x,y)$ Bob outruns Pat

AlMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 4

UE 3. $\{x/Bob, y/Pat\}$ 5. $Buffalo(Bob) \land Pig(Pat) \Rightarrow Faster(Bob, Pat)$

AIMA Sider @ Suan Runell and Peter Norvig, 1998 Chapter 9, Section 1-4 5 AIMA Sider @ Suan Runell and Peter Norvig, 1998 Chapter 9, Section 1-4 6

MP 6 & 7	6. Faster(Bob, Pat)

Search with primitive inference rules

Operators are inference rules States are sets of sentences

Goal test checks state to see if it contains query sentence



Al, UE, MP is a common inference pattern

Problem: branching factor huge, esp. for UE

<u>Idea</u>: find a substitution that makes the rule premise match some known facts

⇒ a single, more powerful inference rule

AIMA Slides @Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 7

AlMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 8

Unification

A substitution σ unifies atomic sentences p and q if $p\sigma=q\sigma$

p	q	σ
Knows(John, x)	Knows(John, Jane)	
Knows(John,x)	Knows(y, OJ)	
Knows(John, x)	Knows(y, Mother(y))	

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathsf{ldea}} \colon \mathsf{Unify} \ \mathsf{rule} \ \mathsf{premises} \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{known} \ \mathsf{facts}, \ \mathsf{apply} \ \mathsf{unifier} \ \mathsf{to} \ \mathsf{conclusion} \\ \mathsf{E.g.}, \ \mathsf{if} \ \mathsf{we} \ \mathsf{know} \ q \ \mathsf{and} \ \ Knows(John,x) \Rightarrow Likes(John,x) \\ \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{we} \ \mathsf{conclude} \ \ Likes(John,Jane) \\ Likes(John,OJ) \\ Likes(John,Mother(John)) \end{array}$

AIMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 9

AlMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 10

Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)

$$\frac{p_1{}', \quad p_2{}', \ \dots, \ p_n{}', \quad (p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q)}{q\sigma} \qquad \text{ where } p_i{}'\sigma = p_i\sigma \text{ for all } i$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{E.g. } p_1' = & \text{Faster}(\mathsf{Bob},\mathsf{Pat}) \\ p_2' = & \text{Faster}(\mathsf{Pat},\mathsf{Steve}) \\ p_1 \wedge p_2 \ \Rightarrow \ q = & Faster(x,y) \wedge Faster(y,z) \ \Rightarrow \ Faster(x,z) \\ \sigma = & \{x/Bob,y/Pat,z/Steve\} \\ q\sigma = & Faster(Bob,Steve) \end{array}$$

GMP used with KB of <u>definite clauses</u> (exactly one positive literal): either a single atomic sentence or

(conjunction of atomic sentences) \Rightarrow (atomic sentence) All variables assumed universally quantified

Soundness of GMP

 ${\sf Need\ to\ show\ that}$

$$p_1', \ldots, p_n', (p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q) \models q\sigma$$

provided that $p_i{}'\sigma = p_i\sigma$ for all i

Lemma: For any definite clause p, we have $p \models p\sigma$ by UE

- 1. $(p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q) \models (p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q)\sigma = (p_1 \sigma \wedge \ldots \wedge p_n \sigma \Rightarrow q\sigma)$
- 2. $p_1', \ldots, p_n' \models p_1' \land \ldots \land p_n' \models p_1' \sigma \land \ldots \land p_n' \sigma$
- 3. From 1 and 2, $q\sigma$ follows by simple MP

AIMA Slider @Suart Runell and Peter Norvig, 1998 Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 11 AIMA Slider @Suart Runell and Peter Norvig, 1998 Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 12

Forward chaining

When a new fact p is added to the KB for each rule such that p unifies with a premise

if the other premises are <u>known</u>

then add the conclusion to the KB and continue chaining

Forward chaining is data-driven

e.g., inferring properties and categories from percepts

Forward chaining example

Add facts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 in turn.

Number in [] = unification literal; $\sqrt{}$ indicates rule firing

 $\underline{1.} \; Buffalo(x) \land Pig(y) \; \Rightarrow \; Faster(x,y)$

2 $Pig(y) \wedge Slug(z) \Rightarrow Faster(y, z)$

 $3. \ Faster(x,y) \land Faster(y,z) \ \Rightarrow \ Faster(x,z)$

 $\underline{4}$ Buffalo(Bob) [1a,×]

 $\underbrace{5.\ Pig(Pat)}_{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \underbrace{[1b,\sqrt]{\rightarrow \underline{6.}}}_{\ \ \ \ } Faster(Bob,Pat) \ \underline{[3a,\times]}, \ \underline{[3b,\times]}$

 $\underline{\textit{7. }Slug(Steve)}\; \underline{\boxed{2b}}, \underline{\sqrt{}}$

AIMA Slides @Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 13

AlMA Slides @ Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 1998

Chapter 9, Sections 1-4 14

Backward chaining

When a query q is asked

if a matching fact q' is known, return the unifier for each rule whose consequent q' matches q attempt to prove each premise of the rule by backward chaining

(Some added complications in keeping track of the unifiers)

(More complications help to avoid infinite loops)

Two versions: find any solution, find all solutions

Backward chaining is the basis for logic programming, e.g., Prolog

Backward chaining example

- $\underline{1}$ $Pig(y) \wedge Slug(z) \Rightarrow Faster(y, z)$
- $\underline{2} \ Slimy(z) \land Creeps(z) \ \Rightarrow \ Slug(z)$
- 3. Pig(Pat) 4. Slimy(Steve) 5. Ci

 $\underline{\mathsf{5}}$ Creeps(Steve)

