Synonyms

To avoid synonyms, O.S. or hardware enforces these bits to be the same.

Choosing a Page Size

- Large page size
  - Smaller page table
  - Better coverage in TLB
  - Amortize time to bring in page from disk
  - Allow fast access to larger L1 (virtually addressed, physically tagged)
  - BUT more fragmentation (unused portions of the page)
  - Longer time for start-up

Allowing Multiple Page Sizes

- Recent micros support multiple page sizes
  - Better use of TLB is main reason
- If only two page sizes (one basic, one large)
  - A single bit in the PTE is sufficient (with don’t care masks)
- If more than 2 sizes, a field in PTE indicates the size (multiple of basic) of the page
  - Physical frames of various sizes need to be contiguous
  - Need heuristics to coalesce/split pages dynamically

Protection

- Protect user processes from each other
  - Disallow an unauthorized process to access (read, write, execute) part of the addressing space of another process
- Disallow the use of some instructions by user processes
  - E.g., disallow process A to change the access rights to process B
- Leads to
  - Kernel mode (operating system) vs. user mode
  - Only kernel mode can use some privileged instructions (e.g., disabling interrupts, I/O functions)
  - Providing system calls whereby CPU can switch between user and kernel mode

Where to Put Access Rights

- Associate protection bits with PTE
  - e.g., disallow user to read/write/execute in kernel space or read someone else’s program (hence TLB check for v.add. I-caches)
  - e.g., allow one process to share-read some data with another but not modifying it etc.
- User/kernel protection model can be extended with rings of protection
  - e.g., Pentium has 4 levels of protection.
- Further extension leads to the concept of capabilities.
  - Access rights to objects are passed from one process to another

I/O and Caches (primer for cache coherence)

The “green” page is transferred to/from disk from/to memory. The red “line” is mapped in the cache.
I/O Passes through the Cache

- I/O data passes through the cache on its way from/to memory
  - Previous figure does not reflect this possibility.
  - No coherency problem but contention for cache access between the processor and the I/O
  - Wipes out the entire page area of the cache (i.e. replaces useful lines from other pages that conflict with the page being transferred)
  - Implemented in Amdahl machines (main frames @ 1980) w/o on-chip caches

I/O and Caches – Use of the O.S.

- I/O interacts directly with main memory
- Software solution
  - Output (Memory-disk):
    - (1) Write-through cache. No problem since correct info is in memory;
    - (2) Write back cache: purge the cache of all lines in the page with dirty bits via O.S. interaction (ISA needs a purge instruction)
  - Input (WT and WB).
    - Use a non-cacheable buffer space. Then after input, flush the cache of these addresses and make the buffer cacheable

Software solution: Output

WT case: The contents of the “red” line are already in memory. No problem.

WB case. If the “red” line is dirty, first write it back to memory.

It might also be wise to invalidate all entries belonging to the page in the cache

I/O Consistency -- Hardware Approach

- Subset of the shared-bus multiprocessor cache coherence protocol
- Cache has duplicate set of tags
  - Allows concurrent checking of the bus and service requests from the processor
- Cache controller snoops on the bus
  - On input, if there is a match in tags, store in both the cache and main memory
  - On output, if there is a match in tags: if WT invalidate the entry; if WB take the cache entry instead of the memory contents and invalidate.

Hardware Solution: Output

The cache controller snoops on the bus. When it’s time to transfer the red line, its contents come from the cache and the line is invalidated

Hardware Solution: Input

The cache controller snoops on the bus. If there is match in tags, then the data is stored both in the cache and in main memory.