Multiple Issue Alternatives

- **Superscalar** (hardware detects conflicts)
  - Statically scheduled (in order dispatch and hence execution; cf. HP-Compaq (DEC)/Alpha 21164)
  - Dynamically scheduled (in order issue, out of order dispatch and execution; cf. MIPS 10000, IBM Power PC 620, Intel Pentium II, III, and IV), Compaq (DEC)/Alpha 21264, Sun UltraSparc etc.)

- **VLIW – EPIC** (Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing)
  - Compiler generates “bundles” of instructions that can be executed concurrently (cf. Intel Itanium, lot of DSP’s)

Multiple Issue for Static/Dynamic Scheduling

- **Issue in order**
  - Otherwise bookkeeping too complex (the old “data flow” machines could issue any ready instruction in the whole program)
  - Check for structural hazards; if any stall

- **Dispatch for static scheduling**
  - Check for data dependencies; stall adequately
  - Can take forwarding into account

- **Dispatch for dynamic scheduling**
  - Dispatch out of order (reservation stations, instruction window)
  - Requires possibility of dispatching concurrently dependent instructions (otherwise little benefit over static scheduling)

Impact of Multiple Issue on IF

- **IF: Need to fetch more than 1 instruction at a time**
  - Simpler if instructions are of fixed length
  - In fact need to fetch as many instructions as the issue stage can handle in one cycle
  - Simpler if restricted not to overlap I-cache lines
  - But with branch prediction, this is not realistic hence introduction of (instruction) fetch buffers and trace caches
  - Always attempt to keep at least as many instructions in the fetch buffer as can be issued in the next cycle (BTB’s help for that)
  - For example, have an 8 wide instruction buffer for a machine that can issue 4 instructions per cycle

Stalls at the IF Stage

- **Instruction cache miss**
- **Instruction buffer is full**
  - Most likely there are stalls in the stages downstream

- **Branch misprediction**
  - Instructions are stored in several I-cache lines
  - In one cycle one I-cache line can be brought into fetch buffer
  - A basic block might start in the middle (or end) of an I-cache line
  - Requires several cache lines to fill the buffer
  - The ID (issue-dispatch) stage will stall if not enough instructions in the fetch buffer

Sample of Current Micros

- Two instruction issue: Alpha 21064, Sparc 2, Pentium, Cyrix
- Three instruction issue: Pentium II, III (but 5 uops from IF/ID to EX; AMD has 4 uops)
- Four instruction issue: Alpha 21164, Alpha 21264, Power PC 620, Sun UltraSparc, HP PA-8000, MIPS R10000
- Many papers written in 1995-98 predicted 16-way issue by 2000. We are still at 4!

The Decode Stage (simple case: dual issue and static scheduling)

- **ID = Issue + Dispatch**
- **Look for conflicts between the (say) 2 instructions**
  - If one integer op. and one f-p op., only check for structural hazard, i.e. the two instructions need the same f-u (easy to check with opcodes )
  - Slight difficulty for integer ops that access f-p registers such as f-p load/store/move (potential additional accesses to f-p register file; solution: provide additional ports)
  - RAW dependencies resolved as in single pipelines
  - Note that the load delay (assume 1 cycle) can now delay up to 3 instructions, i.e., 3 issue slots are lost
**Decode in Simple Multiple Issue Case**

- If instructions i and i+1 are fetched together and:
  - Instruction i stalls, instruction i+1 will stall
  - Instruction i is dispatched but instruction i+1 stalls (e.g., because of structural hazard = need the same f-u), instruction i+2 will not advance to the issue stage. It will have to wait till both i and i+1 have been dispatched

---

**Alpha 21064**

- IF – S0: Access I-cache
  - Prefetcher fetches 2 instructions (8 bytes) at a time
- Swap stage - S1:
  - Prefetcher contains branch prediction logic tested at this stage: 4 entry return stack; 1 bit/instruction in the I-cache + static prediction BTFTNT
  - Initial decode yields 0, 1 or 2 instruction potential issue; align instructions depending on the functional unit there are headed for.
- End of decode: S2.
  - Check for WAW and WAR (my guess)

---

**The Decode Stage (dynamic scheduling)**

- Decode means:
  - Dispatch to either
    - A centralized instruction window common to all functional units (Pentium Pro, Pentium III and Pentium 4)
    - Reservation stations associated with functional units (MIPS 10000, AMD K5, IBM Power PC 620)
  - Rename registers (if supported by architecture)
    - Note the difficulty when renaming in the same cycle
      - R1 <- R2 + R3; R4 <- R1 + R5
    - Set up entry at tail of reorder buffer (if supported by architecture)
    - Issue operands, when ready, to functional unit

---

**Alpha 21064 (c’ed)**

- Instruction Issue: S3
  - Check for RAW; forwarding etc
- Conditions for 2 instruction issue (S2 and S3)
  - The first instruction must be able to issue (in order execution)
  - Load/store can issue with an operate except stores cannot issue with an operate of different format (share the same result bus)
  - An integer op. can issue with a f-p op.
  - A branch can issue with a load/store/operate (but not with stores of the same format)

---

**Stalls in Decode (issue/dispatch) Stage**

- There can be several instructions ready to be dispatched in same cycle to same functional unit
- There might not be enough bus/ports to forward values to all the reservation stations that need them in the same cycle
The Execute Stage

- Use of forwarding in the case of static scheduling
- Use of broadcast bus and reservation stations for dynamic scheduling
- We’ll talk at length about memory operations (load-store) when we study memory hierarchies

The Commit Step (in-order completion)

- Recall: need of a mechanism (reorder buffer) to:
  - “Complete” instructions in order. This commits the instruction. Since multiple issue machine, should be able to commit (retire) several instructions per cycle
  - Know when an instruction has completed non-speculatively, i.e., what to do with branches
  - Know whether the result of an instruction is correct, i.e., what to do with exceptions

Pentium Family (slightly more details in H&P Sec 3.10)

- Fetch-Decode unit
  - Transforms up to 3 instructions at a time into micro-operations (uops) and stores them in a global reservation table (instruction window). Does register renaming (RAT = register alias table)
- Dispatch (aka issue)-execution unit
  - Issues uops to functional units that execute them and temporarily store the results (the reservation table is 5-ported, hence 5 uops can be issued concurrently)
- Retire unit
  - Commits the instructions in order (up to 3 commits/cycle)

Impact on Branch Prediction and Completion

- When a conditional branch is decoded:
  - Save the current physical-logical mapping
  - Predict and proceed
- When branch is ready to commit (head of buffer)
  - If prediction correct, discard the saved mapping
  - If prediction incorrect
    - Flush all instructions following mispredicted branch in reorder buffer
    - Restore the mapping as it was before the branch as per the saved map
- Note that there have been proposals to execute both sides of a branch using register shadows
  - limited to one extra set of registers

Exceptions

- Instructions carry their exception status
- When instruction is ready to commit
  - No exception: proceed normally
  - Exception
    - Flush (as in mispredicted branch)
    - Restore mapping (more difficult than with branches because the mapping is not saved at every instruction; this method can also be used for branches)
Limits to Hardware-based ILP

- Inherent lack of parallelism in programs
  - Partial remedy: loop unrolling and other compiler optimizations
  - Branch prediction to allow earlier issue and dispatch

- Complexity in hardware
  - Needs large bandwidth for instruction fetch (might need to fetch from more than one I-cache line in one cycle)
  - Requires large register bandwidth (multiported register files)
  - Forwarding/broadcast requires "long wires" (long wires are slow) as soon as there are many units.

Limits to Hardware-based ILP (c’ed)

- Difficulties specific to the implementation
  - More possibilities of structural hazards (need to encode some priorities in case of conflict in resource allocations)
  - Parallel search in reservation stations, reorder buffer etc.
  - Additional state savings for branches (mappings), more complex updating of BPT’s and BTB’s.
  - Keeping precise exceptions is more complex