Interconnection Networks for Multiprocessors

- Buses have limitations for scalability:
  - Physical (number of devices that can be attached)
  - Performance (contention on a shared resource: the bus)
- Instead use interconnection networks to form:
  - Tightly coupled systems: Most likely the nodes (processor and memory elements) will be homogeneous, and operated as a whole under the same operating system and will be physically close to each other (a few meters)
  - Local Area Networks (LAN): building size; network of workstations (in fact the interconnect could be a bus – Ethernet)
  - Wide Area Networks (WAN): long haul networks; connect computers and LANs distributed around the world

Switches in the Interconnection Network

- Centralized (multistage) switch
  - All nodes connected to the central switch
  - Or, all nodes share the same medium (bus)
  - There is a single path from one node to another (although some redundant paths could be added for fault-tolerance)
- Distributed switch
  - One switch associated with each node
  - And of course, hierarchical combinations

Multistage Switch Topology (centralized)

- Shared-bus (simple, one stage, but not scalable)
- Multiple buses (e.g., even and odd addresses)
- Hierarchy of buses (often proposed, never commercially implemented)
- Crossbar (full connection)
  - Gives the most parallelism; Cost (number of switches) grows as the square of number of processors \(O(n^2)\)
- Multistage interconnection networks
  - Based on the perfect shuffle. Cost grows as \(O(n \log n)\)
- Fat tree

Perfect Shuffle and Omega Network

- **Perfect shuffle**: one stage of the interconnection network
  - With a power of 2 number of processors (i.e., an \(n\) bit id)
  - Shuffling a deck of cards
  - Put a switch that can either go straight-through or exchange between a pair of adjacent nodes
- **Omega** (and butterfly networks) for \(n\) nodes uses
  - One stage of \(n/2\) 2x2 switches
  - Setting of switches done by looking at destination address
  - Not all permutations can be done in one pass through the network (was important for SIMD, less important for MIMD)
Butterfly Network for $n = 8$ ($k = 3$)

Multistage Interconnection Networks

- Omega networks (and equivalent)
  - Possibility of blocking (two paths want to go through the same switch)
  - Possibility of combining (two messages pass by the same switch, for the same destination, at the same time)
  - Buffering in the switches
  - Possibility of adding extra stages for fault-tolerance
  - Can make the switches bigger, e.g., $4^*4$, $4^*8$ etc.

Fat Tree (used in CM-5 and IBM SP-2)

- Increase bandwidth when closer to root
  - To construct a fat tree, take a butterfly network, connect it to itself back to back and fold it along the highest dimension.
  - Links are now bidirectional
  - Allow more than one path (e.g., each switch has 4 connections backwards and 2 upwards, cf. H&P p 585)

Decentralized Switch

- Rings (and hierarchy of)
  - Used in the KSR
  - Bus + ring (Sequent CC-NUMA)
- 2D and 3D-meshes and tori
  - Intel Paragon 2D (message co-processor)
  - Cray T3D and T3E 3D torus (shared-memory w/o cache coherence)
  - Tera 3D torus (shared-memory, no cache)
- Hypercubes
  - CM-2 (12 cube; each node had 16 1-bit processors; SIMD)
  - Intel iPSC (7 cube in maximum configuration; message passing)

Topologies

Performance Metrics

- Message:
  - Header: routing info and control
  - Payload: the contents of the message
  - Trailer: checksum
- Bandwidth
  - Maximum rate at which the network can propagate info. once the message enters the network
- Bisection bandwidth
  - Divide the network roughly in 2 equal parts: sum the bandwidth of the lines that cross the imaginary dividing line
Performance Metrics (c’ed)

- Transmission time (no contention): time for the message to pass through the network
  - Size of message/bandwidth
- Time of flight
  - Time for the 1st bit to arrive at the receiver
- Transport latency: transmission time + time of flight
- Sender overhead: time for the proc. to inject the message
- Receiver overhead: time for the receiver to pull the message
- Total latency = Sender over. + transport latency + rec. over

Routing (in interconn. networks)

- Destination-based routing - Oblivious
  - Always follows the same path (deterministic). For example follow highest dimension of the hypercube first, then next one etc.
- Destination-based routing - Adaptive
  - Adapts to congestion in network. Can be minimal, i.e., allow only paths of (topological) minimal path-lengths
  - Can be non-minimal (e.g., use of random path selection or of “hot potato” routing, but other routers might choose paths selected on the address)

Flow Control

- Entire messages vs. packets
  - Circuit-switched (the entire path is reserved)
  - Packet-switched, or store-and-forward (links, or hops, acquired and released dynamically)
- Wormhole routing (circuit-switched with virtual channels)
  - Head of message “reserves” the path. Data transmitted in flits, i.e., amount of data that can be transmitted over a single channel.
  - Virtual channels add buffering to allow priorities etc.
- Virtual cut-through (store-and-forward but whole packet does not need to be buffered to proceed)