

# More Precise Terminology

- Accident or Mishap: (unintended) Damage to property or harm to persons. Economic impact of failure to meet warranted performance is outside of the scope of safety.
- □ Hazard: A state of the the system that will inevitably lead to an accident or mishap
  - Release of Energy
  - Release of Toxins
  - > Interference with life support functions
  - Supplying misleading information to safety personnel or control systems. This is the desktop PC nightmare scenario. Bad information
  - > Failure to alarm when hazardous conditions exist

### Faults

- □ A fault is an "unsatisfactory system condition or state". A fault is not necessarily a hazard. In fact, assessments of safety are based on the notion of *fault tolerance*.
- □ Systemic faults
  - Design Errors (includes process errors such as failure to test or failure to apply a safety design process)
  - > Faults due to software bugs are systemic
  - Security breech
- **Random** Faults
  - Random events that can cause permanent or temporary damage to the system. Includes EMI and radiation, component failure, power supply problems, wear and tear.

CSE 466 - Fall 2002 - Introduction - 5

CSE 466 - Fall 2002 - Introduction - 6

## Component v. System

- Reliability is a component issue
- □ Safety and Availability are system issues
- □ A system can be safe even if it is unreliable!
- If a system has lots of redundancy the likelihood of a component failure (a fault) increases, but so may increase the safety and availability of that system.
- Safety and Availability are different and sometimes at odds. Safety may require the shutdown of a system that may still be able to perform its function.
  - A backup system that can fully operate a nuclear power plant might always shut it down in the event of failure of the primary system.
  - > The plant could remain available, but it is unsafe to continue operation

# Single Fault Tolerance (for safety)

- □ The existence of any single fault does not result in a hazard
- Single fault tolerant systems are generally considered to be safe, but more stringent requirements may apply to high risk cases...airplanes, power plants, etc.



If the handshake fails, then either one or both can shut off the gas supply. Is this a single fault tolerant system?







### FMEA: Same as Hazard Analysis, but Start w/ Faults

□ Failure Mode: how a device can fail

- > Battery: never voltage spike, only low voltage
- Valve: Stuck open? Stuck Closed?
- Motor or Motor Controller: Stuck fast, stuck slow?
- Hydrogen sensor: Will it be latent or mimic the presence of hydrogen?
- Failure Modes and Effects Analysis
  - Great for single fault tolerant systems
- □ For each system.
  - Identify all failure modes and likelihoods
  - > Identify the hazard that is produced by each failure
  - Determine Time tolerance for each potential hazard
  - Design Considerations
    - Mitigation
    - Detection
  - Response
    - What to do: shutdown, alarm, disable certain features, etc.
- Search space can be quite large

CSE 466 - Fall 2002 - Introduction - 21

Device	Hazard	Extent of Damage	Exposure Time	Hazard Prevention	Probability	TUV Risk Level
Microwave Oven	Irradiation	S2	E2	G2	W3	5
Pacemaker	Pacing too slowly Pacing too fast	S2	E2	G2	W3	5
Power station burner control	Explosion	S3	E1	-	W3	6
Airliner	Crash	S4	E2	G2	W2	8

#### Risk Assessment Risk is orthogonal to hazard analysis S: Extent of Damage Slight injury Determine how risky your system is Single Death Several Deaths Catastrophe W3 W2 W1 E: Exposure Time **S**1 infrequent continuous G1 2 -1 G: Preventability E1 G2 Possible 3 2 1 Impossible S2G1 3 2 W: Probability E2 4 low G2 5 4 3 medium E1 5 4 high 6 **S**3 7 5 E2 6 S4 7 6 8

#### CSE 466 - Fall 2002 - Introduction - 22

# Define the Safety Measures

- Obviation: Make it physically impossible (mechanical hookups, etc).
- □ Education: Educate users to prevent misuse or dangerous use.
- Alarming: Inform the users/operators or higher level automatic monitors of hazardous conditions
- Interlocks: Take steps to eliminate the hazard when conditions exist (shut off power, fuel supply, explode, etc.
- Restrict Access. High voltage sources should be in compartments that require tools to access, w/ proper labels.
- Labeling
- Consider
  - Tolerance time
  - Supervision of the system: constant, occasional, unattended. Airport People movers have to be design to a much higher level of safety than attended trains even if they both have fully automated control

CSE 466 - Fall 2002 - Introduction - 23





Java for Embedded Systems	Testing
<ul> <li>Why not Java for Embedded Systems</li> <li>Its slower</li> <li>Code bloat</li> <li>Garbage Collection may not be interruptible (Latency, predictability)</li> <li>Time resolution – run time support for multithreading and synchronization must be optimized. Java assumes the existence of a basic operating system.</li> <li>Hardware access – interrupt handlers, event handlers</li> <li>TinyOS</li> <li>A Component model that seems to be good for "reactive" systems. Probably does a good job of addressing the four major issues listed here.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regression Test</li> <li>Fault Seeding</li> </ul>
CSE 466 – Fall 2002 - Introduction - 33	CSE 466 – Fall 2002 - Introduction - 34
Safe Design Process	
Mainly, the hazard/risk/FMEA analysis is a process not an event!	
<ul> <li>How you do things is as important as what you do.</li> <li>Standards for specification, documentation, design, review, and test</li> <li>&gt; ISO9000 defines quality processone quality level is stable and predictable.</li> </ul>	
CSE 466 – Fall 2002 - Introduction - 35	