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MapReduce Fault Tolerance Model

Master is not fault tolerant
  - Assumption: this single machine won't fail while running a mapreduce app
Many workers, so have to handle their failures
  - Assumption: workers are fail stop
  - They can fail and stop
  - They may reboot
  - They don't send garbled weird packets after a failure
What kinds of faults does MapReduce need to tolerate?

- **Network:**
  - lost packets
  - duplicated packets
  - temporary network failure
  - server disconnected
  - network partitioned

- **Worker:**
  - crash+restart
  - Permanent failure
  - All workers fail simultaneously -- power/earthquake
  - Crash mid-way through complex operation

- **What if?**
  - Bug in map function, so that mapper crashes every time?

Tools for Dealing With Faults

- **Retry**
  - if pkt is lost: resend
  - worker crash: give task to another worker
  - may execute MR job twice! (is this ok? Why?)

- **Replicate**
  - E.g., input files on multiple storage servers

- **Replace**
  - E.g., add new worker after old one fails
Lab 1 MapReduce Simplifications

• No key in map
• Assume global file system
• No partial failures
  – Files either completely written or not created
  – If restart some failed operation, ok to write to the same filename

DeWitt/Stonebraker Critique

• A giant step backward in the programming paradigm for large-scale data intensive applications
• A sub-optimal implementation, in that it uses brute force instead of indexing
• Not novel at all: represents a specific implementation of well known techniques developed nearly 25 years ago
• Missing most of the features that are routinely included in current DBMS
• Incompatible with all of the tools DBMS users have come to depend on
To understand why some technologies win:
The Innovator's Dilemma, Clayton Christensen

Remote Procedure Call (RPC)

A request from the client to execute a function on the server.

• On client
  – Ex: z = DoMap(worker, i)
  – Parameters marshalled into a message (can be arbitrary types)
  – Message sent to server (can be multiple pkts)
  – Wait for reply

• On server
  – message is parsed
  – operation (DoMap(i)) invoked
  – Result marshalled into a message (can be multiple pkts)
  – Message sent to client
RPC vs. Procedure Call

• What is equivalent of:
  – The name of the procedure?
  – The calling convention?
  – The return value?
  – The return address?

RPC vs. Procedure Call

Binding
– Client needs a connection to server
– Server must implement the required function
– What if the server is running a different version of the code?

Performance
– procedure call: maybe 10 cycles = ~3 ns
– RPC in data center: 10 microseconds => ~1K slower
– RPC in the wide area: millions of times slower

Failures
– What happens if messages get dropped?
– What if client crashes?
– What if server crashes?
– What if server appears to crash but is slow?
– What if network partitions?
Three Options if RPC Doesn’t Return

• At least once (NFS, DNS, …)
  – keep retrying until RPC succeeds
• At most once (Go, …)
  – Retry, but make sure RPC is never executed more than once
• Exactly once
  – Make sure RPC is always executed and never executed more than once

At Least Once

RPC library waits for response for a while
If none arrives, re-send the request
Do this a few times
Still no response -- return an error to the application
Non-replicated key/value server

Client sends Put(a)
Server gets request, but network drops reply
Client sends Put(a) again
  – should server respond "yes"?
  – or "no"?

What if operation is "deduct $10 from bank account"?

Does TCP Fix This?

• TCP: reliable bi-directional byte stream between two endpoints
  – Retransmission of lost packets
  – Duplicate detection
• But what if TCP times out and client reconnects?
  – Browser connects to Amazon
  – RPC to purchase book
  – Wifi times out during RPC
  – Browser reconnects
When is at-least-once OK?

• If no side effects
  – read-only operations (or idempotent ops)
• If application has its own plan for detecting duplicates

• Example: NFS
  – readFileBlock
  – writeFileBlock

At Most Once

Client includes unique ID (UID) with each request
  – use same UID for re-send
Server RPC code detects duplicate requests
  – return previous reply instead of re-running handler
    if seen[uid] {  
    r = old[uid]
    } else {  
    r = handler()
    old[uid] = r
    seen[uid] = true
    }
Some At-Most-Once Issues

How do we ensure UID is unique?
- Big random number?
- Combine unique client ID (IP address?) with sequence #?
- What if client crashes and restarts? Can it reuse the same UID?
- Maybe client should get its unique ID from the server?

When Can Server to Discard Old RPCs?

Option 1:
Never?

Option 2:
unique client IDs
per-client RPC sequence numbers
client includes "seen all replies <= X" with every RPC

Option 3: only allow client one outstanding RPC at a time
arrival of seq+1 allows server to discard all <= seq

Option 4: client agrees to keep retrying for < 5 minutes
server discards after 5+ minutes
What if Server Crashes?

If at-most-once list of recent RPC results is stored in memory, server will forget and accept duplicate requests when it reboots

- Does server need to write the recent RPC results to disk?
- If replicated, does replica also need to store recent RPC results?

Go RPC is “at most once” and “usually once”

- Open TCP connection
- Write request to TCP connection
- TCP may retransmit, but server's TCP will filter out duplicates
- No retry in Go code (i.e. will NOT create 2nd TCP connection)
- Go RPC code returns an error if it doesn't get a reply
  - perhaps after a timeout (from TCP)
  - perhaps server didn't see request
  - perhaps server processed request but server failed before reply came back
  - Perhaps server processed request and network failed
Go RPC at-most-once is not enough

What if RPC sent over TCP, but reply never arrives and socket fails?
- If worker doesn't respond, the master re-sends to another worker
- But original worker may have not failed, and is working on it too

Go RPC can't detect this kind of duplicate
- In lab 2 you will have to protect against these kinds of duplicates

Exactly Once

To survive client crashes, client needs to record pending RPC's on disk
  - So that we can replay them with the same UID

To survive server crashes, need to record results of completed RPC's on disk
  - So that we can suppress duplicates

In other words, similar to two phase commit!
Lamport Clocks

Can we make sure everyone agrees on the same order of events?

An issue if:
- multiple clients, multiple servers
- even if there are no failures
- even if messages are delivered in order sent by each client (“processor order”)

Facebook Storage System

Initially:
- a few front end web servers to do application logic
- a single backend storage server

To scale, add more front ends, more back end servers:
- Each front end pulls data from multiple servers (e.g., one for privacy settings, one for pictures).
- Do users see a consistent view?

Now add some intermediate caches:
- 100+ lookups per page
- 1B+ users: 1M+ front ends, 1M+ caches, 1M+ servers
Example: Arranging Lunch

Example: Shared Whiteboard
Example: Parallel Make

Physical Clocks

• Can we assign every event in a distributed system a unique wall clock time stamp?
• Local clocks aren’t perfect
  – Crystals oscillate at slightly different frequencies
  – Typical error is ~ 2 seconds/month
• Synchronize clocks across distributed system?
  – Network messages involve delays
  – Network message delays are variable
Physical Clocks

• Lets assume a network-attached GPS
  – How close can we bound clocks across multiple systems?
• Option 1: client polls the GPS server for current time.
  – How far off will the timestamp be when it arrives back at the client?
• Option 2: repeatedly fetch the GPS time, estimate relative rate of skew of the local clock

Logical Clocks
(Centralized implementation)

Send every message to a central arbiter, which assigns an order for all messages.

Problems with centralization?
Space-Time Diagram