Scheduling
Main Points

• Scheduling policy: what to do next, when there are multiple threads ready to run
  – Or multiple packets to send, or web requests to serve, or ...
• Definitions
  – response time, throughput, predictability
• Uniprocessor policies
  – FIFO, round robin, optimal
  – multilevel feedback as approximation of optimal
• Multiprocessor policies
  – Affinity scheduling, gang scheduling
• Queueing theory
  – Can you predict/improve a system’s response time?
Example

• You manage a web site, that suddenly becomes wildly popular. Performance starts to degrade. Do you?
  – Buy more hardware?
  – Implement a different scheduling policy?
  – Turn away some users? Which ones?

• How much worse will performance get if the web site becomes even more popular?
Definitions

• Task/Job
  – User request: e.g., mouse click, web request, shell command, ...

• Latency/response time
  – How long does a task take to complete?

• Throughput
  – How many tasks can be done per unit of time?

• Overhead
  – How much extra work is done by the scheduler?

• Fairness
  – How equal is the performance received by different users?

• Strategy-proof
  – Can a user manipulate the system to gain more than their fair share?

• Predictability
  – How consistent is a user’s performance over time?
More Definitions

• Workload
  – Set of tasks for system to perform

• Preemptive scheduler
  – If we can take resources away from a running task

• Work-conserving
  – Resource is used whenever there is a task to run
  – For non-preemptive schedulers, work-conserving is not always better

• Scheduling algorithm
  – takes a workload as input
  – decides which tasks to do first
  – Performance metric (throughput, latency) as output
  – Only preemptive, work-conserving schedulers to be considered
First In First Out (FIFO)

• Schedule tasks in the order they arrive
  – Continue running them until they complete or give up the processor

• Example: memcached
  – Facebook cache of friend lists, ...

• On what workloads is FIFO particularly bad?
Shortest Job First (SJF)

• Always do the task that has the shortest remaining amount of work to do
  – Often called Shortest Remaining Time First (SRTF)

• Suppose we have five tasks arrive one right after each other, but the first one is much longer than the others
  – Which completes first in FIFO? Next?
  – Which completes first in SJF? Next?
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Question

• Claim: SJF is optimal for average response time
  – Why?

• Does SJF have any downsides?
Question

• Is FIFO ever optimal?

• Pessimal?
• Suppose you want to compare two scheduling algorithms
  – Create some infinite sequence of arriving tasks
  – Start measuring
  – Stop at some point
  – Compute average response time as the average for completed tasks between start and stop

• Is this valid or invalid?
Sample Bias Solutions

• Measure for long enough that # of completed tasks >> # of uncompleted tasks
  – For both systems!

• Start and stop system in idle periods
  – Idle period: no work to do
  – If algorithms are work-conserving, both will complete the same tasks
Round Robin

- Each task gets resource for a fixed period of time (time quantum)
  - If task doesn’t complete, it goes back in line

- Need to pick a time quantum
  - What if time quantum is too long?
    - Infinite?
  - What if time quantum is too short?
    - One instruction?
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Round Robin vs. FIFO

• Assuming zero-cost time slice, is Round Robin always better than FIFO?
Round Robin vs. FIFO
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Round Robin = Fairness?

• Is Round Robin fair?
• What is fair?
  – Equal share of the CPU?
  – What if some tasks don’t need their full share?
  – Minimize worst case divergence vs. time task would take if no one else was running
Mixed Workload
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Max-Min Fairness

• How do we balance a mixture of repeating tasks:
  – Some I/O bound, need only a little CPU
  – Some compute bound, can use as much CPU as they are assigned

• One approach: maximize the minimum allocation given to a task
  – If any task needs less than an equal share, schedule the smallest of these first
  – Split the remaining time using max-min
  – If all remaining tasks need at least equal share, split evenly
Multi-level Feedback Queue (MFQ)

• Goals:
  – Responsiveness
  – Low overhead
  – Starvation freedom
  – Some tasks are high/low priority
  – Fairness (among equal priority tasks)

• Not perfect at any of them!
  – Used in Linux (and probably Windows, MacOS)
MFQ

• Set of Round Robin queues
  – Each queue has a separate priority

• High priority queues have short time slices
  – Low priority queues have long time slices

• Scheduler picks first thread in highest priority queue

• Tasks start in highest priority queue
  – If time slice expires, task drops one level
## MFQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Time Slice (ms)</th>
<th>Round Robin Queues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Round Robin Queue" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Round Robin Queue" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Round Robin Queue" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Round Robin Queue" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **New or I/O Bound Task**
- **Time Slice Expiration**
MFQ and Predictability

• How predictable is a user’s performance?
  – Can it be affected by other users?
• FIFO?
• SJF?
• RR?
• Max-min?
• MFQ?
MFQ and Strategy

• Multiple users with different interests
  – Example: multi-tenant data center
• Can a user get better performance (response time, throughput) by doing useless work?
  • FIFO?
  • SJF?
  • RR?
  • Max-min?
  • MFQ?
Uniprocessor Summary (1)

- FIFO is simple and minimizes overhead.
- If tasks are variable in size, then FIFO can have very poor average response time.
- If tasks are equal in size, FIFO is optimal in terms of average response time.
- Considering only the processor, SJF is optimal in terms of average response time.
- SJF is pessimal in terms of variance in response time.
Uniprocessor Summary (2)

• If tasks are variable in size, Round Robin approximates SJF.
• If tasks are equal in size, Round Robin will have very poor average response time.
• Tasks that intermix processor and I/O benefit from SJF and can do poorly under Round Robin.
Uniprocessor Summary (3)

- Max-Min fairness can improve response time for I/O-bound tasks.
- Round Robin and Max-Min both avoid starvation.
- MFQ can adjust priorities to balance responsiveness, overhead, and fairness.
- MFQ approximates SJF
  - High variance for long jobs; vulnerable to strategy
Multiprocessor Scheduling

• What would happen if we used MFQ on a multiprocessor?
  – Contention for scheduler spinlock
  – Cache slowdown due to ready list data structure pinging from one CPU to another
  – Limited cache reuse: thread’s data from last time it ran is often still in its old cache
Per-Processor Affinity Scheduling

• Each processor has its own ready list
  – Protected by a per-processor spinlock
• Put threads back on the ready list where it had most recently run
  – Ex: when I/O completes, or on Condition->signal
• Idle processors can steal work from other processors
Per-Processor Multi-level Feedback with Affinity Scheduling
Scheduling Parallel Programs

• What happens if one thread gets time-sliced while other threads from the same program are still running?
  – Assuming program uses locks and condition variables, it will still be correct
  – What about performance?
Bulk Synchronous Parallelism

• Loop at each processor:
  – Compute on local data (in parallel)
  – Barrier
  – Send (selected) data to other processors (in parallel)
  – Barrier

• Examples:
  – MapReduce
  – Fluid flow over a wing
  – Most parallel algorithms can be recast in BSP, sacrificing
    at most a small constant factor in performance
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Scheduling Parallel Programs

Oblivious: each processor time-slices its ready list independently of the other processors

px.y = Thread y in process x
Gang Scheduling

px.y = Thread y in process x
Parallel Program Speedup

- Perfectly Parallel
- Diminishing Returns
- Limited Parallelism
Space Sharing

Scheduler activations: kernel tells each application its # of processors with upcalls every time the assignment changes
Queueing Theory

• Can we predict what will happen to user performance:
  – If a service becomes more popular?
  – If we buy more hardware?
  – If we change the implementation to provide more features?
Assumption: average performance in a stable system, where the arrival rate ($\lambda$) matches the departure rate ($\mu$)
Definitions

• Queueing delay (W): wait time
  – Number of tasks queued (Q)
• Service time (S): time to service the request
• Response time (R) = queueing delay + service time
• Utilization (U): fraction of time the server is busy
  – Service time * arrival rate (\(\lambda\))
• Throughput (X): rate of task completions
  – If no overload, throughput = arrival rate
Little’s Law

\[ N = X \times R \]

N: number of tasks in the system

Applies to *any* stable system – where arrivals match departures.
Question

Suppose a system has throughput \( X = 100 \) tasks/s, average response time \( R = 50 \) ms/task

• How many tasks are in the system on average?
• If the server takes 5 ms/task, what is its utilization?
• What is the average wait time?
• What is the average number of queued tasks?
Question

• From example:
  
  \[ X = 100 \text{ task/sec} \]
  
  \[ R = 50 \text{ ms/task} \]
  
  \[ S = 5 \text{ ms/task} \]
  
  \[ W = 45 \text{ ms/task} \]
  
  \[ Q = 4.5 \text{ tasks} \]

• Why is \( W = 45 \) ms and not \( 4.5 \times 5 = 22.5 \) ms?
  
  – Hint: what if \( S = 10\) ms? \( S = 1\) ms?
Queueing

• What is the best case scenario for minimizing queueing delay?
  – Keeping arrival rate, service time constant

• What is the worst case scenario?
Queueing: Best Case

- Max throughput: $\lambda < \mu$
  - No queuing: $R = S$
  - Growing queues: $R$ undefined

- Max throughput: $\lambda > \mu$

Response Time ($R$) vs. Arrival Rate ($\lambda$):

- $S$
- $\mu$

Throughput ($X$) vs. Arrival Rate ($\lambda$):

- $\mu$
- Max throughput
Response Time: Best vs. Worst Case
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Queueing: Average Case?

• What is average?
  – Gaussian: Arrivals are spread out, around a mean value
  – Exponential: arrivals are memoryless
  – Heavy-tailed: arrivals are bursty

• Can have randomness in both arrivals and service times
Exponential Distribution

Probability of $x$

Exponential Distribution

$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$
Exponential Distribution

Permits closed form solution to state probabilities, as function of arrival rate and service rate
Response Time vs. Utilization

\[ R = \frac{S}{1-U} \]
Question

• Exponential arrivals: \( R = \frac{S}{1-U} \)

• If system is 20% utilized, and load increases by 5%, how much does response time increase?

• If system is 90% utilized, and load increases by 5%, how much does response time increase?
Variance in Response Time

• Exponential arrivals
  – Variance in R = S/(1-U)^2

• What if less bursty than exponential?

• What if more bursty than exponential?
What if Multiple Resources?

• Response time =
  Sum over all i
  Service time for resource i /
  (1 – Utilization of resource i)

• Implication
  – If you fix one bottleneck, the next highest utilized resource will limit performance
Overload Management

• What if arrivals occur faster than service can handle them
  – If do nothing, response time will become infinite

• Turn users away?
  – Which ones? Average response time is best if turn away users that have the highest service demand
  – Example: Highway congestion

• Degrade service?
  – Compute result with fewer resources
  – Example: CNN static front page on 9/11
Highway Congestion (measured)
Why Do Metro Buses Cluster?

Suppose two Metro buses start 10 minutes apart. Why might they arrive at the same time?