Project 3

- Virtual memory trace analysis
- Simulate the VM system over some program
- Two parts:
 - Implement some replacement algorithms
 - Design an experiment to test some aspect of the VM system
- Due: November 23

- Simulate VM given a trace file
 - List of all address refs during execution
- Inputs
 - Trace file
 - Phys. Memory size/page size
 - Replacement algorithm
- Outputs
 - # of references
 - # of faults (incl. # compulsory)
 - Evictions/pageouts

Sample output

barb% vmtrace -1 10000 -v random netscape.exe.et.gz

vmtrace: using replacement algorithm 'random'
vmtrace: reading from netscape.exe.et.gz

vmtrace: reached 10000 references

phys_pages,pagesize,input_file,fault_handler,ref_li mit

128,1024,netscape.exe.et.gz,random,10000

type,code,load,store

references, 6171, 1905, 1924 miss, 62, 48, 23 compulsory, 53, 43, 21 evictions, 27, 16, 4 pagetouts, 9, 7, 1

barb%

Part 1

Write a series of new replacement algorithms

Given "random"

```
void fault_random(pte_t *pte, ref_kind_t type)
{
    int page;
    page = random() % opts.phys_pages;
    physmem_evict(page, type);
    physmem_load(page, pte, type);
}
```

Part 1 (cont'd)

- You need to write:
 - FIFO
 - LRU Clock
 - One of your choice
 - True LRU (e.g. via storing full timestamp)
 - Variations on LRU Clock (enhanced secondchance, etc)
 - LFU/MFU
 - Your own!

You can write more than 3 if your experiment focuses on replacement algorithms.

Part 2

Have a hypothesis

- "Algorithm y is better than algorithm x"
- "Big pages are better"
- "Prefetching will reduce the number of page faults"
- Explain why you think it will turn out that way
- Two steps
 - Determine baseline behavior
 - New test
 - Change one aspect of the system, observe differences

Part 2 (cont'd)

- What is the ideal page size for this trace under different amounts of main memory?
- Compare performance of various replacement algorithms. How much better/worse is page replacement algorithm X than Y?

• Compare "real" LRU and LRU clock, FIFO, etc

How close can we come to LRU without doing any work between page faults?

No scanning, constant work per page fault

How important is recency vs. frequency in predicting page re-use?

Tips

- You control what happens on a page fault
- You control what happens on a memory access
- You can modify formats for PTE, page, etc
- Refresh your scripting skills
- vmtrace is very CPU-intensive
 - forkbomb will be quickly overloaded
 - Find faster machines (such as Linux boxes in the lab)
 - Copy the trace file to local machine
 - Full trace can take hours to execute!