

DeepBlue, AlphaGo, and AI?

Machine Learning

CSE446

Sham Kakade

Announcements:

- ~~Check website~~
- Next week: check website for updated office hours
- Final:
 - One side of handwritten notes
 - **comprehensive**, more emphasis on the second half
 - List of topics posted on Canvas
 - Understand the HWs
- Today:
 - DeepBlue, AlphaGo, and AI?
 - Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)

Q5 histograms

Misclassification Error rate on 5.2




DeepBlue vs Kasparov:

- First match: 1996
 - **Kasparov**-DeepBlue: 4-2
- Second Match: 1997
 - Karasparov-**DeepBlue**:2.5-3.5
- Logic-based, AI approach:
 - look ahead: alpha-beta search
 - Human board evaluations:
 - knight/bishop = 3 pawns, queen = 8 pawns, king = ∞
 - thousands of such rules



A fascination on games for “Humans vs. AI” ...

- DeepBlue success didn't amount to much for “AI”, societal impact, etc...
- Underlying techniques seem limited?
 - Hand coded rules...
 - Brute force look-ahead...



Thought to be a difficult task...

2016



AlphaGo deep RL defeats Lee Sedol (4-1)


Chess vs. Alpha Go

- Will the technical advances (underlying AlphaGo) have broader implications?

1997, AI named “Deep Blue” beat chess world champion.



Search space: b^d : $b = 35, d = 80$



Search space: b^d : $b = 250, d = 150$

What is different today?

- Is it AI? **NO**
 - It might be better to ignore that question...
- Different from DeepBlue? **YES**
- Viewpoint: AlphaZero is at the forefront of progress in ML.
 - Pattern recognition (think of: supervised learning)
 - object recognition and ImageNet
 - the “universal” translator
 - exciting: the approach integrates “planning/search” with “pattern recognition”) SL “


(\geq AlphaGo)

AlphaZero: the basic idea

- This is the “newer” method (over AlphaGo)
- A certain “lookahead” approach, using both a policy \mathbf{p} and value \mathbf{v}
 - $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{s})$ is a distribution over move probabilities (for every state)
 - $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s})$ is the ‘value’ of the state (e.g. the estimated probability of winning)
- Learns: $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{w})$
 - \mathbf{s} is the game state; \mathbf{w} are the model parameters (some neural net)


Look Ahead...

- The search space is too big (even for “alpha-beta pruning” to handle)
- Suppose you had a **perfect estimate** of the “value” of any state.
- Then one step look ahead suffices:




Suppose we have approximate values?

- We would hope that some 'look-ahead/search' would lessen the errors in our value estimates?




– How do we decide which paths?

- Idea: should try to visit 'un-explored' states



Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)

- A “heuristic” which tries to balance exploration/exploitation
- AlphaZero: $Q(s_t, a)$ - the value of s_{t+1} ← next state from s_t when a_t is taken
 - Key idea: utilizes(+learns) a heuristic that both:
 - 1) estimates the values
 - 2) estimates a policy




$$a_t = \operatorname{argmax}_a (Q(s_t, a) + u(s_t, a))$$

a

$\sqrt{N_{s_t, a}}$

Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)

- A popular heuristic search algorithm for game play
 - By lots of simulations and select the most visited action.



Thanks!

- Machine learning:
 - many different methods/tools/challenges in the wild...
 - many research questions...
 - Participate in the ML community.
- (one more week to go....)
Have a great spring break!

AlphaZero

- AlphaGo: (the earlier system)
 - Was (sorta) specific to Go (in that it used ConvNets)
 - Use previous world championship games for SL.
- AlphaZero:
 - this is the system that “learns from scratch” ...
 - At a massive computational expense...
 - works for Go and Chess (and other games)
 - gets above human level performance