Schedules and Serializability

17.4-17.5

Schedules

- A "schedule" is the abstraction of the activity of simultaneous transactions.
- Everything is stripped away except:
  - transaction identifiers (as subscripts)
  - DB READs and WRITEs and the data they operate on
  - COMMITs and ABORTs
  - The order of these operations

Schedule Notation

- $S$: A schedule of one or more transactions
- $T_i$: A transaction.
- $r_i(X)$: Transaction $T_i$ performs a READ of data item $X$.
- $w_i(X)$: Transaction $T_i$ performs a WRITE of data item $X$.
- $a_i$: Transaction $T_i$ aborts.
- $c_i$: Transaction $T_i$ commits.

Serial Schedules

- If $T_i$ does not overlap $T_j$, ACID behavior is assured.
  - Notation: $T_i;T_j$ means $T_i$ executes fully, then $T_j$ executes
  - Called a "serial" schedule
- $T_1;T_2;T_3$ and $T_2;T_3;T_1$ might have different results, but either is acceptable.

Serializable Schedules

- Serial schedules, though safe, are unacceptably costly
  - Transactions are I/O-bound (most elapsed time is spent waiting for I/O)
- Non-serial (overlapped) schedules allow shorter turn-around and better resource utilization
- A serializable schedule is one which is equivalent to some serial schedule

Schedules and Serializability Theory

- Schedules are a major tool in studying concurrent processing of transactions
- Goals:
  - be able to recognize when a schedule is serializable
  - be able to force schedules to be serializable
  - be able to recognize when a schedule is recoverable if an abort occurs
Conflicts

- A conflict occurs when one transaction in a schedule WRITEs a data item which another transaction also uses (READs or WRITEs)
  - Note: no order requirement in this definition
  - The two operations are said to conflict
  - The two Ts are also said to conflict
  - A conflict per se is not a show-stopper

Recoverability

- The TP monitor must have the power to undo or "rollback" the effect of a transaction.
  - Example: if a transaction aborts after doing some WRITE
- If one transaction in a schedule aborts, it may be necessary to abort and rollback others.
  - committed transactions should never be rolled back

Recoverable Schedules

- Tᵢ reads from Tⱼ (with respect to a schedule) if Tᵢ READs some item which had previously been WRITten by Tⱼ
- A schedule is recoverable if no transaction in it COMMITs until all transactions that it READs from have COMMITted.
- Stronger: in a strict schedule, a transaction cannot even read or write X until the last transaction which wrote X has COMMITted.

Recognizing Serializability

- In general, difficult or impossible
  - depends on the semantics of the transactions
- Some forms of serializability can be detected
- Two schedules are conflict equivalent if the order of any two conflicting operations is the same in both schedules.

Conflict Serializability

- A schedule is conflict serializable if there is some serial schedule with which it is conflict equivalent.
- Turns out there's a simple algorithm to test for conflict serializability!
  - Make a digraph ("precedence graph") of the T's
  - Directed edges mark conflicts

\textit{Theorem: schedule is conflict serializable iff graph has no cycles.}

Granularity Issues

- Granularity refers to the size of the data being read or written
  - whole DB; table; row; one attribute value, etc.
- Smaller granularity means more concurrency, but more overhead
- DBMSs differ in granularity supported
- Transaction semantics may determine needed granularity
Not the Final Word

- There are schedules which are not conflict serializable, but still serializable
  - "View serializability" is another definition; harder to check but allows more cases
- There are even schedules which are not serializable but nevertheless safe
- Serializability is a tool for analysis, not a prescription.