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Today’s Outline

1. User interface:
   1. Read-only transactions
   2. Weak isolation levels
   3. Transaction implementation in commercial DBMSs

2. The ARIES recovery method (part 1)

- Reading: M. J. Franklin. “Concurrency Control and Recovery”. Posted on class website
READ-ONLY Transactions

Client 1: START TRANSACTION
    INSERT INTO SmallProduct(name, price)
        SELECT pname, price
        FROM Product
        WHERE price <= 0.99

    DELETE FROM Product
        WHERE price <= 0.99

    COMMIT

Client 2: SET TRANSACTION READ ONLY
    START TRANSACTION
    SELECT count(*)
    FROM Product

    SELECT count(*)
    FROM SmallProduct

    COMMIT

Can help DBMS improve performance
Isolation Levels in SQL

1. “Dirty reads”
   SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED

2. “Committed reads”
   SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ COMMITTED

3. “Repeatable reads”
   SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL REPEATABLE READ

4. Serializable transactions
   SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL SERIALIZABLE
Choosing Isolation Level

• Trade-off: efficiency vs correctness

• DBMSs give user choice of level

Beware!!
• Default level is often NOT serializable
• Default level differs between DBMSs
• Some engines support subset of levels!
• Serializable may not be exactly ACID

Always read DBMS docs!
1. Isolation Level: Dirty Reads

Implementation using locks:

• “Long duration” WRITE locks
  – A.k.a Strict Two Phase Locking (you knew that !)
• Do not use READ locks
  – Read-only transactions are never delayed

Possible pbs: dirty and inconsistent reads
2. Isolation Level: Read Committed

Implementation using locks:

- “Long duration” WRITE locks
- “Short duration” READ locks
  - Only acquire lock while reading (not 2PL)

- Possible pbs: unrepeateable reads
  - When reading same element twice,
  - may get two different values
2. Read Committed in Java

In the handout: Lecture13.java - Transaction 1:
```
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_READ_COMMITTED);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
readAccount();
Thread.sleep(5000);
readAccount();
db.commit();
```

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 2:
```
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_READ_COMMITTED);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
writeAccount();
db.commit();
```

Can see a different value
3. Isolation Level: Repeatable Read

Implementation using locks:

• “Long duration” READ and WRITE locks
  – Full Strict Two Phase Locking

• This is not serializable yet !!!
3. Repeatable Read in Java

In the handout: Lecture13.java - Transaction 1:
```
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_REPEATABLE_READ);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
readAccount();
Thread.sleep(5000);
readAccount();
db.commit();
```

Now sees the same value

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 2:
```
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_REPEATABLE_READ);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
writeAccount();
db.commit();
```
3. Repeatable Read in Java

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 3:
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_REPEATABLE_READ);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
countAccounts();
Thread.sleep(5000);
countAccounts();
db.commit();

Can see a different count

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 4:
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_REPEATABLE_READ);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
insertAccount();
db.commit();

Note: In PostgreSQL will still see the same count.
The Phantom Problem

“Phantom” = tuple visible only during some part of the transaction

T1:
    select count(*) from R where price>20
    ..... 
    ..... 
    ..... 
    ..... 
    select count(*) from R where price>20

T2:
    ..... 
    ..... 
    insert into R(name,price) 
    values(‘Gizmo’, 50)
    ..... 

R₁(X), R₁(Y), R₁(Z), W₂(New), R₁(X), R₁(Y), R₁(Z), R₁(New)

The schedule is conflict-serializable, yet we get different counts!
The Phantom Problem

- The problem is in the way we model transactions:
  - Fixed set of elements
- This model fails to capture insertions, because these *create* new elements
- No easy solutions:
  - Need “predicate locking” but how to implement it?
  - Sol1: Lock on the entire relation R (or chunks)
  - Sol2: If there is an index on ‘price’, lock the index nodes
4. Serializable in Java

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 3:
```java
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_SERIALIZABLE);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
countAccounts();
Thread.sleep(5000);
countAccounts();
db.commit();
```

Now should see same count

In the handout: Lecture13.java – Transaction 4:
```java
db.setTransactionIsolation(Connection.TRANSACTION_SERIALIZABLE);
db.setAutoCommit(false);
insertAccount();
db.commit();
```
Commercial Systems

• **DB2**: Strict 2PL

• **SQL Server**:
  – Strict 2PL for standard 4 levels of isolation
  – Multiversion concurrency control for snapshot isolation

• **PostgreSQL**:
  – Multiversion concurrency control

• **Oracle**
  – Multiversion concurrency control
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Aries Recovery Algorithm

- An UNDO/REDO log with lots of clever details
Granularity in ARIES

• Physical logging for REDO (element=one page)
• Logical logging for UNDO (element=one record)
• Result: logs logical operations within a page
• This is called *physiological logging*
• Why this choice?
  – Must do physical REDO since cannot guarantee that db is in an action-consistent state after crash
  – Must do logical undo because ARIES will only undo loser transactions (this also facilitates ROLLBACKs)
The LSN

• Each log entry receives a unique Log Sequence Number, LSN
  – The LSN is written in the log entry
  – Entries belonging to the same transaction are chained in the log via prevLSN
  – LSN’s help us find the end of a circular log file:

After crash, log file = (22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 18, 19, 20, 21)
Where is the end of the log ? 18
Aries Data Structures

- Each page on disk has **pageLSN**: 
  = LSN of the last log entry for that page

- **Transaction table**: each entry has **lastLSN**
  = LSN of the last log entry for that transaction
  Transaction table tracks all active transactions

- **Dirty page table**: each entry has **recoveryLSN**
  = LSN of earliest log entry that made it dirty
  Dirty page table tracks all dirty pages
Checkpoints

• Write into the log
  – Contents of transactions table
  – Contents of dirty page table

• Very fast! No waiting, no END CKPT

• But, effectiveness is limited by dirty pages
  – There is a background process that periodically sends dirty pages to disk
ARIES Recovery in Three Steps

• **Analysis pass**
  – Figure out what was going on at time of crash
  – List of dirty pages and running transactions

• **Redo pass (repeating history principle)**
  – Redo all operations, even for transactions that will not commit
  – Get back state at the moment of the crash

• **Undo pass**
  – Remove effects of all uncommitted transactions
  – Log changes during undo in case of another crash during undo
ARIES Method Illustration

May be in reverse order

Start of oldest in-progress transaction
First update potentially lost during crash

Checkpoint

End of Log
Log (time
Analysis
Redo
Undo

Figure 3: The Three Passes of ARIES Restart

[Franklin97]
ARIES Method

• More details and long example next lecture