CSE 440 – Autumn 2008 User Interface Design, Prototyping, & Evaluation Professor Landay



# Interface Hall of Shame or Fame?



#### User Interface Design, Prototyping, and Evaluation

#### Amtrak Web Site

#### Good

gets your attention
 Bad

doesn't label where to fix tells you that you made an error, because you didn't know their codes

## Low-fi Prototyping

Prof. James A. Landay University of Washington Autumn 2008

November 4, 2008

#### **Outline**

Review midterm course survey

Interface Hall of Fame/Shame!

- Low-fi prototyping
- Wizard of Oz technique
- Informal UI prototyping tools
- Go over Low-fi assignment (#6)

#### Midterm Course Survey Things you like!

- Teaching with examples
- Slides & other materials online
- Interactive lectures – especially on candy days
- Project work focuses on lecture material
- Readings tied to lectures

### **Midterm Course Survey**

#### Areas to Improve

- In class workshop with early feedback & help

   we have one this Thursday, one after the midterm, and one at the end of course, but it sound like in the future I should have another one earlier (after ESM/TA?)

   Better communication about non-graded parts of course
  - e.g., web site. Usually in final presentation grade. We will create a web site grading FAQ and present this earlier in course next
- Emphasize and define key points, terms, concepts earlier

   too late now, but can I get examples for future?
   Pre-work/troubleshoot technical aspects before course
   we did pre-work phone/ESM, but it IS research software. Prefer avoiding?
- Clarify grading criteria
  - Try to pretty explicit on each assignment. Examples would help me know where you need more. Remember, there is not a "right" or "best" answer as in a math or programming course.

#### Fidelity in Prototyping

- Fidelity refers to the level of detail
- High fidelity? – prototypes look like the final product
- Low fidelity? artists renditions with many details missina





#### Why Use Low-fi Prototypes?

- Traditional methods take too long - sketches  $\rightarrow$  prototype  $\rightarrow$  evaluate  $\rightarrow$  iterate
- · Can instead simulate the prototype
  - sketches  $\rightarrow$  evaluate  $\rightarrow$  iterate
  - sketches act as prototypes
    - · designer "plays computer"
    - other design team members observe & record
- Kindergarten implementation skills
  - allows non-programmers to participate

#### **Hi-fi Prototypes Warp**

- · Perceptions of the tester/reviewer
  - representation communicates "finished" • comments focus on color, fonts, & alignment
- Time encourage precision
  - · specifying details takes more time
- Creativity
  - lose track of the big picture

#### **The Basic Materials**

- Large, heavy, white paper (11 x 17)
- 5x8 in. index cards
- Post-its
- Tape, stick glue, correction tape
- Pens & markers (many colors & sizes)
- Overhead transparencies
- Scissors, X-acto knives, etc.



#### CSE 440 – Autumn 2008 User Interface Design, Prototyping, & Evaluation Professor Landay







#### **Constructing the Model**

- Set a deadline
   don't think too long build it!
- Draw a window frame on large paper
- Put different screen regions on cards

   anything that moves, changes, appears/disappears
- Ready response for any user action – e.g., have those pull-down menus already made
- Use photocopier to make many versions

**Constructing the Model** 



**Constructing the Model** 



CSE 440 - Autumn 2008 User Interface Design, Prototyping, & Evaluation Professor Landay

**Constructing the Model** 



#### **Constructing the Model**



#### **Constructing the Model**



#### **Preparing for a Test**

- Select your "customers"
  - understand background of intended users
  - use a questionnaire to get the people you need
  - don't use friends or family
    - I think existing "customers" are OK (Rettig disagrees)
- Prepare scenarios that are
  - typical of the product during actual use – make prototype support these (small, yet broad)
- · Practice to avoid "bugs"

#### **Conducting a Test**

- Four testers (minimum)
  - greeter puts users at ease & gets data
  - facilitator only team member who speaks
  - gives instructions & encourages thoughts, opinions computer – knows application logic & controls it
     always simulates the response, w/o explanation
     observers – take notes & recommendations
- · Typical session is 1 hour
- preparation, the test, debriefing
- Read the Gommol paper (1 page) for details on conducting a test

#### **Conducting a Test**



CSE 440 – Autumn 2008 User Interface Design, Prototyping, & Evaluation Professor Landay

#### **Evaluating Results**

- Sort & prioritize observations
  - what was important?
  - lots of problems in the same area?
- Create a written report on findings

   gives agenda for meeting on design changes
- Make changes & iterate

#### Advantages of Low-fi Prototyping

- Takes only a few hours – no expensive equipment needed
- Can test multiple alternatives

   fast iterations
   number of iterations is tied to final quality
- Almost all interaction can be faked

#### Wizard of Oz Technique

- Faking the interaction. Comes from?

   the film "The Wizard of OZ"
   "the man behind the curtain"
- Long tradition in computer industry

   e.g., prototype of a PC w/ a VAX behind the curtain
- Much more important for hard to implement features
  - speech & handwriting recognition

#### Problems with Low-fi Prototypes

- "Computer" inherently buggy
- Slow compared to real app
   timings not accurate
- Hard to implement some functionality

   pulldowns, feedback, drag, viz ...
- Won't look like final product
   sometimes hard to recognize
   widgets
- End-users can't use by themselves
  - not in context of user's work environment



#### **Informal UI Prototyping Tools**

- Support advantages of low-fi paper prototypes

   brainstorming
  - brainstorming
    - consider different ideas rapidly
      do not require specification of details
  - incomplete designs
  - need not cover all cases, just illustrate important examples
- Add advantages of electronic tools
  - evolve easily
  - support for "design memory"
  - transition to other electronic tools
  - allow end-user interaction

#### **Summary**

- Low-fi testing allows us to quickly iterate
   \_ get feedback from users & change right away
- Informal prototyping tools bridge the gap between paper & high-fi tools

#### **Further Reading** Prototyping

#### Books

- Paper Prototyping: The Fast and Easy Way to Design and Refine User Interfaces, by Carolyn Snyder, Morgan Kaufmann, 2003 Articles
  - <u>"Prototyping for Tiny Fingers"</u> by Marc Rettig, in Communications of the ACM, 1994
  - <u>"Using Paper Prototypes to Manage Risk"</u> by Carolyn Snyder, http://world.std.com/~uieweb/paper.htm <u>"The Perils of Prototyping"</u> by Alan Cooper, http://www.chi-sa.org.za/Documents/articles/perils.htm

#### Web Sites

- <u>dub Group</u> web site, for DENIM & SUEDE downloads, <u>http://dub.washington.edu</u>
- InfoDesign Toolkit, http://www.infodesign.com.au

#### Lo-fi Prototyping Assignment

- Due: Thur. 11/13
- Presentation by new team member
- Get industry mentor involved - you are not required to do everything they say, simply to give you a resource
- Make sure to use new participants
- Two good reports from CSE 440, Au07 - What's Happening
- Questions?

#### **Next Time**

- In class work on project
- Come to class and I will move around between the teams giving feedback

