CSE 431  
Spring Quarter 2002  
Assignment 6  
Due Friday, May 24

All solutions should be neatly written or typeset. All major steps in proofs and algorithms must be justified.

1. (10 points) It is well known that the Hamiltonian Path problem is NP-complete (cf. 262-268 of Sipser). The Hamiltonian Path problem is defined by:

   Input: An undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \) and vertices \( s, t \in V \).
   Property: There is a path in \( G \) from \( s \) to \( t \) that visits every vertex of \( G \) exactly once.

Show that the problem of Bounded Degree Spanning Tree is also NP-complete. Bounded Degree Spanning Tree problem is defined by:

   Input: A connected undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \) and number \( k \).
   Property: There is a connected subgraph \( T \) of \( G \) such that \( T \) contains all the vertices of \( G \), contains no cycles, and each vertex of \( T \) has degree \( \leq k \).

Part of your proof should be the construction of a polynomial time reduction of Hamiltonian Path to Bounded Degree Spanning Tree.

2. (10 points) Define a \( \{\cup, \cdot\} \)-regular expression as one that only uses union and concatenation, but not Kleene star. We define the Not Everything problem for \( \{\cup, \cdot\} \)-regular expressions by:

   Input: A \( \{\cup, \cdot\} \)-regular expression \( \alpha \) over \( \Sigma \) and number \( k \).
   Property: \( L(\alpha) \neq \Sigma^k \).

Show that the Not Everything Problem for \( \{\cup, \cdot\} \)-Regular Expressions is NP-complete.

Note that showing the problem is in NP is not altogether trivial. A first step would be to convert \( \alpha \) into an NFA. I would recommend showing that 3-CNF-SAT is mapping reducible in polynomial time to this problem. If the CNF formula \( F \) uses Boolean variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) then a string in \( \{0, 1\}^n \) can represent an assignment to the variables. Try to design a \( \{\cup, \cdot\} \)-regular expression \( \alpha \) over the alphabet \( \{0, 1\} \) with the property that \( w \in L(\alpha) \) if and only if \( w \) is of length \( n \) that does not represent a satisfying assignment of \( F \). In other words, \( L(\alpha) \neq \{0, 1\}^n \) if and only if \( F \) is satisfiable.