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## Two-Person, Zero-Sum, Perfect Information Games

1. A two-person, zero-sum game is a game in which only one player wins and only one player loses. There may be ties ("draws"). There are no "win-win" or "lose-lose" instances.
2. Most 2PZS games involve turn taking. In each turn, a player makes a move. Turns alternate between the players.
3. Perfect information: no randomness as in Poker or bridge.
4. Examples of 2PZS games include Tic-Tac-Toe, Othello, Checkers, and Chess.
[^0]
## Why Study 2PZS Games in AI?

1. Games are idealizations of problems.
2. Al researchers can study the theory and (to some extent) practice of search algorithms in an easier information environment than, say, software for the design of the Space Shuttle.
```
("Pure Search")
```


## Static Evaluation Functions

In most of the interesting 2PZS games, state spaces are too large to exhaustively search each alternative evolutionary path to its end.

To find good moves, let's compute a real-valued function $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}$ ) of a state: $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})$ will be high if it is favorable to one player (the player we'll call Max) and unfavorable to the other player (whom we will call Min).

This function $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})$ is called a static evaluation function.
Example in Checkers:
$\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})=5 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}$
Where $x_{1}=$ Max's king advantage;
$x_{2}=$ Max's single man advantage.

```
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## Tic-Tac-Toe Static Eval. Fn.

[^1]Minimax Search (Illustration)
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## Minimax Search (Algorithm)

Procedure minimax(board, whoseMove, plyLeft):
if plyLeft $==0$ : return staticValue(board)
if whoseMove == 'Max': provisional =-100000
else: provisional = 100000
for s in successors(board, whoseMove):
newVal = minimax(s, other(whoseMove), plyLeft-1)
if (whoseMove == 'Max' and newVal > provisional\ or (whoseMove == 'Min' and newVal < provisional): provisional $=$ newVal
return provisional

## Checkers Example



Black to move,
White = "Min",
Black $=$ "Max"

[^2]
## Alpha-Beta Cutoffs

An alpha (beta) cutoff occurs at a Maximizing (minimizing) node when it is known that the maximizing (minimizing) player has a move that results in a value alpha (beta) and, subsequently, when an alternative to that move is explored, it is found that the alternative gives the opponent the option of moving to a lower (higher) valued position.

Any further exploration of the alternative can be canceled.

```
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## Strategy to Increase the Number of Cutoffs

At each non-leaf level, perform a static evaluation of all successors of a node and order them best-first before doing the recursive calls. If the best move was first, the tendency should be to get cutoffs when exploring the remaining ones.

Or, use Iterative Deepening, with ply limits increasing from, say 1 to 15 . Use results of the last iteration to order moves in the next iteration

## Zobrist Hashing in Python

```
# Set up a 64x2 array of
# random ints.
S = 64
P=2
zobristnum =\
[[0]*P for i in range(S)]
from random import randint
def myinit():
    global zobristnum
    for i in range(S)
    for j in range(P):
        zobristnum[i][j]=\
        randint(0, \
            4294967296)
```

\# Hash the board to an int.
def zhash(board):
global zobristnum
val $=0$;
for $i$ in range (S):
piece $=$ None
if(board[i] == 'B'): piece =0
if(board[i] == 'W'): piece =
if (piece ! = None):
val $\wedge=$ zobristnum[i][piece]
return val
\# Testing:
b = [' ']*64 ; b[0]='B' ; b[1]='W'
print (zhash (b))
myinit()
3473306553
myinit()
$\qquad$
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## Another Performance Technique

Avoid recomputing values for some states (especially those within 3 or 4 ply of the current state, which are relatively expensive to compute), by saving their values.

Use a hash table to save: [state, value, ply-used].
As a hashing function, use a Zobrist hashing function:
For each piece on the board, exclusive-or the current key with a pregenerated random number

Hash values for similar boards are very different.
Hash values can be efficiently computed with an incremental approach (in some games, like checkers and chess, at least).
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## - Game-Playing Issues

Representing moves: a (Source, Destination) approach works for some games when the squares on the board have been numbered.
Source: The number of the square where a piece is being moved from Destination: The number of the square where the piece is being moved to (For Othello, only the destination is needed.)

## Opening moves:

Some programs use an "opening book"
Some competitions require that the first 3 moves be randomly selected from a set of OK opening moves, to make sure that players are "ready for anything"

Regular maximum ply are typically 15-20 for machines, with extra ply allowed in certain situations.

Static evaluation functions in checkers or chess may take 15 to 20 differen features into consideration.
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## Learning a Scoring Polynomial From Experience

Arthur Samuel: Some Studies in Machine Learning Using the Game of Checkers. IBM Journal of Research and Development Vol 3. pp.211-229, 1959 http://www.research.ibm.com/journal/rd/033/ibmrd0303B.pdf

Arthur Samuel: Some Studies in Machine Learning Using the Game of Checkers. II --- Recent Progress. IBM Journal, Vol 116. pp.601-617, 1967
http://www.research.ibm.com/journal/rd/116/ibmrd1106C.pdf
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## Scoring Polynomial

$$
f(s)=a_{1} \text { ADV }+a_{2} \text { APEX }+a_{3} \text { BACK }+\ldots+a_{16} \text { THRET }
$$

There are 16 terms at any one time. They are automatically selected from a set of 38 candidate terms.

26 of them are described in the following 3 slides.


## Polynomial adjustment

For each term, the program keeps track of whether its value was correlated with an improvement in the game position over a series of moves.

If so, its value goes up, if not, it goes down.

[^3]Checkers: Computer vs Human
Samuel's program beat a human player in a widely publicized
match in 1962.
Later a program called Chinook, developed by Jonathan Schaeffer
at the Univ. of Alberta became the nominal "Man vs Machine
Champion of the World" in 1994. *
Checkers playing was the vehicle under which much of the basic
research in game playing was developed.

* http://www.math.wisc.edu/~propp/chinook.html
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Samuel's program beat a human player in a widely publicized match in 1962.

Later a program called Chinook, developed by Jonathan Schaeffer at the Univ. of Alberta became the nominal "Man vs Machine ampion of the World in 1994.

Checkers playing was the vehicle under which much of the basic research in game playing was developed.

* http://www.math.wisc.edu/~propp/chinook.html
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[^1]:    $B=$ number of lines of $2 X s$ in a row (not blocked by an 0 )
    $C=$ number of lines containing one $X$ and no $O$ s.
    $\mathrm{D}=$ number of lines of 3 Os in a row.
    $\mathrm{E}=$ number of lines of 2 Os in a row (not blocked by an X )
    $\mathrm{F}=$ number of lines containing one O and no Xs .
    $h(s)=100 A+10 B+C-(100 D+10 E+F)$
    $A=$ number of lines of $3 X s$ in a row.
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