Question 1. (15 points) (some mystery code, or the ghosts of midterms past)

Once again one of the interns has lost the source code to an important function. We have been able to discover that the function starts like this:

int f(int a, int b, int c) { ... }

But beyond that, all we've been able to find is an assembly file produced by gcc when it compiled the function on an x86-64 machine:

```
f: cmpl %esi, %edi
    jle .L2
    leal (%rsi,%rdx), %eax
    ret
.L2:
    addl %esi, %edi
    cmpl %edx, %esi
    movl $0, %eax
    cmovg %edi, %eax # cmovg = conditional move greater
    ret
```

In the space below, translate the assembly language function given above into C. The function heading is written for you. (Reminder: there is useful reference information on the last two pages of the exam.)

```
int f(int a, int b, int c) {
  if (a > b)
    return b+c;
  else if (b > c)
    return a+b;
  else
    return 0;
}
```

Notes: Argument assignments to registers:

%rdi a %rsi b %rdx c

Question 2. (15 points) (buffers and stack frames) Consider the following function, which calls the same Gets function used in the buffer overflow lab to read a sequence of bytes.

```
int f(int a, int b) {
   char s[2];
   int x=a;
   int y=x+b;
   Gets(s);
   return y;
}
```

When this function was compiled on an x86-64 machine, gcc produced the following assembly code:

```
f: pushq %rbp
   movq %rsp, %rbp
   subq $32, %rsp #### location for (a), next page ####
   movl %edi, -20(%rbp)
   movl %esi, -24(%rbp)
   movl -20(%rbp), %eax
   movl %eax, -4(%rbp)
   movl -24(%rbp), %eax
   movl -4(%rbp), %edx
   addl %edx, %eax
   movl %eax, -8(%rbp)
   leag -16(%rbp), %rax
   movq %rax, %rdi
   call Gets
   movl -8(%rbp), %eax
   leave
   ret
```

Answer questions about this function on the next page. You may remove this page for reference if you wish.

Question 2. (cont.) (a) (10 points) Below is a chart showing the layout of the stack right after execution of the pushq/movq/subq instructions at the beginning of the function, marked by #### in the code. The picture is drawn using 32-bit words since almost all of the values in the stack frame are 32-bit integers.

Label each 32-bit word below with the name of the variable or temporary value it contains. If some word or parts of a word are unused you should leave them blank. Be sure to show where the char array s is located, even though it does not occupy a full 32-bit word. Also show where the return address and old %rbp values that have been pushed onto the stack are located. (And remember that those addresses are 64-bit values so they will occupy two of these 32-bit slots.)



(b) (5 points) Give the values of a string of bytes to be read by Gets that will cause this function to return the value 7 instead of the value it would normally return. You should give your answer as a string of hex digits giving the byte values for the input in the same format used as input to sendstring in lab 3, i.e., a pair of hex digits for each byte, like 31 32 33.

Any string that has 8 bytes of padding followed by the 32-bit integer 7 will work, e.g., xx xx xx xx xx xx xx 07 00 00 (where xx is any 2-digit hex number). The final 00 could actually be omitted since Gets will provide a 00 byte at the end, but it would be better style to show this explicitly.

Some short questions about the memory hierarchy. You are not required to show your work, but it's not a bad idea to show some details in case we need to figure out what happened if we need to award partial credit.

Question 3. (7 points) (cache geometry) The Intel i7 processor has a L3 cache with the following characteristics:

Total data size8MBBlock size64 bytes16-way associative

How many sets (rows) are there in this cache?

Total size is $8MB = 2^{23}$

Each set (row) has $16*64 = 2^4 * 2^6 = 2^{10} = 1024$ bytes

Total number of rows is then $2^{23} / 2^{10} = 2^{13} = 8192$ (8K)

Question 4. (7 points) (access times) Suppose we have a memory system with a single-level cache and the following characteristics:

Cache access time	2 nsec
Main memory access time	300 nsec
Hit ratio	98%

What is the average access time of this memory system?

All memory accesses include 2 nsec to get data from the cache. 2% of them require an additional 300 nsec. to get data from main memory on a miss. Average access time is then

2 + 300*.02 = 2+6 = 8 nsec.

Question 5. (14 points) (hit or miss?)

(a) (7 points) Suppose we have a direct-mapped cache containing 128 (0×80) total bytes with 32-byte (0×20) cache blocks. What is the miss rate of the following code?

```
double x[32], y[32];
int i;
for (i = 0; i < 32; i++) {
  y[i] = 2*x[i];
}
```

Assumptions:

- The cache is initially empty.
- Array x begins at memory address 0x100 and array y begins at memory address 0x200.
- All variables and code other than the arrays x and y are stored in registers (i.e., they do not affect the data cache).
- Doubles occupy 8 bytes each.

Miss rate = 100%

Reason (not required): Because it is a direct-mapped cache and because x and y are exactly 0×100 bytes apart in memory, for any particular value of i the elements x[i] and y[i] will map to the same cache block. Each time we reference an element of x or y it evicts the previous contents of that cache block if it was data belonging to the other array, so every reference to an array element is either a cold miss or a conflict miss.

(b) (7 points) Now suppose we replace the cache from part (a) with another cache that has the same total size of 128 bytes, same block size of 32 bytes, but is 2-way associative (i.e., each set has two blocks and there are half as many sets as in part (a)). What is the miss rate now if we execute the same code from part (a) under the same assumptions except for these changes?

Miss rate = 25%

Reason (not required): With a 2-way associative cache we can have one block from x and the corresponding block of y in the cache at the same time. The first time each block is referenced it is a miss, but we then load a block with 4 doubles into the cache. The remaining 3 doubles are in the cache when they are referenced.

Question 6. (10 points) (which is best?) Here are two functions that store zeros in the upper-right triangular half of a square array.

```
#define SIZE 10000
void zero1(double matrix[SIZE][SIZE]) {
  int r,c;
  for (c=0; c<SIZE; c++) {</pre>
    for (r=0; r<=c; r++) {</pre>
      matrix[r][c] = 0.0;
    }
  }
}
void zero2(double matrix[SIZE][SIZE]) {
  int r,c;
  for (r=0; r<SIZE; r++) {</pre>
    for (c=r; c<SIZE; c++) {</pre>
      matrix[r][c] = 0.0;
    }
  }
}
```

Given that they both do the same thing, is there any reason to prefer one over the other? Give a brief technical justification for your answer.

The second one, zero2, is much better. That one references the array in rowmajor order and each cache block is loaded once and completely initialized before moving on to the next one. The first version, zero1, goes down the array by columns and, since the matrix almost certainly is much larger than the cache, almost every array element reference is a cache miss that forces out a previously loaded block. Those evicted cache blocks have to be reloaded when we need to store 0.0 in later columns of the same row.

Question 7. (25 points) (caches and virtual memory)

We have a memory system with the following characteristics:

- 16 bit virtual addresses (4 hex digits), page size of 64 bytes
- 12 bit physical addresses (3 hex digits), same page size (of course)
- Memory cache with 16 entries, direct mapped, 4-byte blocks
- Page table with 1024 entries; only the first 16 shown below
- TLB with 16 entries, 4-way set associative

The current state of the memory system is shown in the following tables. You can remove this page for reference while working on the parts of this question.

Set	Tag	PPN	Valid									
0	03	-	0	09	0D	1	00	-	0	07	02	1
1	03	2D	1	02	-	0	04	-	0	0A	-	0
2	02	33	1	08	-	0	06	-	0	03	11	1
3	07	-	0	03	0D	1	0A	34	1	02	-	0

Ι	Ľ	J	3	
I	L	1	3	

rage rable (Filst 10 entites)								
VPN	PPN	Valid		VPN	PPN	Valid		
000	28	1		008	13	1		
001	-	0		009	17	1		
002	09	1		00A	33	1		
003	02	1		00B	-	0		
004	-	0		00C	-	0		
005	16	1		00D	2D	1		
006	-	0		00E	11	1		
007	-	0		00F	0D	1		

Page Table (First 16 entries)

Cache

						Cuen
Index	Tag	Valid	BO	B1	B2	B3
0	28	1	99	1F	23	11
1	15	0	-	-	-	-
2	1B	1	00	02	04	08
3	36	0	-	-	-	-
4	32	1	43	6D	8F	09
5	0D	1	36	72	FO	1D
6	31	0	-	-	-	-
7	16	1	11	C2	DF	03

	Index	Tag	Valid	BO	B1	B2	B3
	8	11	1	ЗA	00	51	89
	9	2D	0	-	-	-	-
	А	2D	1	93	15	DA	3B
L	в	0B	0	-	-	-	-
1	с	12	0	-	-	-	-
1	D	16	1	04	96	34	15
1	Е	13	1	83	77	1B	D3
1	F	14	0	-	-	-	-

Question 7. (cont.) (a) (5 points) Label the bits corresponding to each of the components of the virtual address, namely, the virtual page number (VPN), the virtual page offset (VPO), the TLB set index (TLBI), and the TLB tag value (TLBT).



(b) (5 points) Label the bits corresponding to each of the components of the physical address, namely, the physical page number (PPN), the physical page offset (PPO), the cache set index (CI), the cache tag value (CT), and the cache byte offset (CO).



(c) (15 points) Indicate the result when each virtual address in the table below is used to access memory. You should specify whether there is a TLB miss, page fault, and/or cache miss, the physical address referenced, and the contents of memory at that location. In some cases there is not enough information to determine what value is accessed or whether there is a cache miss or not. In those cases, write ND (for Not Determinable) in the appropriate entry. Fill in each row of the table using the initial conditions shown in the tables on the previous page; accesses in previous rows do not affect the result of later rows. (Hint: There is a binary-hex conversion table at the end of the test.)

Virtual Address	Physical Address	Value	TLB Miss?	Page Fault?	Cache Miss?
0x03A0	0 x46 0	0x3A	Ν	Ν	Ν
0x006C	ND	ND	Y	Y	ND
0x0002	0xA02	0x23	Y	Ν	Ν

A couple of short questions on disks and files.

Question 8. (6 points) Suppose we have a hard disk that rotates at 6000 rpm (100 revolutions per second) and has an average seek time of 5 msec. What is the average expected time to access a block at some arbitrary location on the disk?

Access time is the sum of seek time and rotational latency. The seek time is given as 5 msec. The disk rotates once every 10 msec., which means the average latency is 1/2 of that, or 5 msec. The expected time to access a block is 10 msec.

Question 9. (6 points) What's the difference between the directory entry for a file and the file's inode on a classic Unix file system? A brief answer should be sufficient.

The inode is the basic data structure that defines the file and points to its data blocks.

A directory entry contains the name of the file and its inode number.

Question 10. (15 points) Almost done! Consider the following program:

```
int main() {
    int p, q;
    int val = 1;
    p = fork();
    printf("fork returned %d\n", p);
    if (p > 0) {
        q = fork();
        val++;
        printf("fork returned %d\n", q);
        printf("val = %d\n", val);
    }
    else {
        printf("adios\n");
    }
    return 0;
}
```

For this problem, assume that there are no other processes on the system, and that when we run this program, the process id of the initial process is 1000. Each time a new process is created by fork() the new process is assigned the next available number: 1001, 1002, and so forth.

Below show two possible output sequences written by this program when it is executed. If the program can only produce one possible output sequence, give that sequence and explain why it is the only one possible.

Here are two possibilities (actually observed by executing the code! – except process numbers have been changed)

```
fork returned 1001
fork returned 0
adios
fork returned 1002
val = 2
fork returned 0
val = 2
fork returned 1001
fork returned 1002
val = 2
fork returned 0
fork returned 0
val = 2
adios
```

Best wishes for the holidays!