Program Memory Addresses

- Program addresses are fixed at the time the source file is compiled and linked
- Small, simple systems can use program addresses as the physical address in memory
- Modern systems usually much more complex
  - program address space very large
  - other programs running at the same time
  - operating system is in memory too
Physical Addresses

- Address generated by the program is the same as the address of the actual memory location
- Simple approach, but lots of problems
  - Only one process can easily be in memory at a time
  - There is no way to protect the memory that the process isn't supposed to change (i.e., the OS or other processes)
  - A process can only use as much memory as is physically in the computer
  - A process occupies all the memory in its address space, even if most of that space is never used
    - 2 GB for the program and 2 GB for the system kernel

Virtual Addresses

- The program addresses are now considered to be “virtual addresses”
- The memory management unit (MMU) translates the program addresses to the real physical addresses of locations in memory
- This is another of the many interface layers that let us work with abstractions, instead of all details at all levels

Physical Memory Layout

- Contiguous Allocation
  - Each process gets a single range of addresses
  - Single-partition allocation
    - one process resident at a time
  - Multiple-partition allocation
    - multiple processes resident at a time
- Noncontiguous allocation
  - Paging, segmentation, or a combination
Uniprogramming without Protection

- Application always runs at the same place in physical memory
- Process can access all memory even OS
  » program bug crashes the machine
- MS-DOS

Multiprogramming without Protection

- When a program is loaded, the linker-loader translates a program's memory accesses (loads, stores, jumps) to where it will actually be running in memory
  » Still no protection
- Once was very common
- Windows 3.1

Multiprogramming with Protection

- Restrict what a program can do by restricting what it can touch
- User process is restricted to its own memory space
  » can't crash OS
  » can't crash other process
- How?
  » "All problems can be solved with another level of indirection"

Simple Translation: Base/Bounds

- Each process has a base register
  » added to every memory reference
- Each process has a bounds register
  » no memory reference allowed beyond here
Base/Bounds

- Word references 0x004FF00 - valid
- Solitaire references 0x1100C0 - error

Virtual Address

Physical Address

Base register

Bounds register

OS

Word

Solitaire

Virtual Page #

Physical Page #

0x0000 0x4000

0x6000 0x8000

0x10000 0x14000

Translation

Paging

- Divide a process's virtual address space into fixed-size chunks (called pages)
- Divide physical memory into pages of the same size
- Any virtual page can be located at any physical page
- Translation box converts from virtual pages to physical pages

Base/bounds Evaluation

- Advantages of base/bounds
  - process can't crash OS or other processes
  - can move programs around and change base register
  - can change program memory allocation by changing bounds register
- Problems with base/bounds
  - external fragmentation
  - can't easily share memory between processes
  - programs are limited to amount of physical memory
  - doesn't improve support for sparse address spaces

Fragmentation

- Over time unused memory is spread out in small pieces
  - external fragmentation
- Rearrange memory to make room for the next program
  - compaction = lots of copying (expensive)
  - change base/bounds registers for moved programs
Paging and Fragmentation

- No **external fragmentation** because all pages are the same size
  - don’t have to rearrange pages
- Sometimes there is **internal fragmentation** because a process doesn’t use a whole page
  - some space wasted at the end of a page
  - better than external fragmentation

Page Tables

- A page table maps virtual page numbers to physical page numbers
- Lots of different types of page tables
  - arrays, lists, hashes

Flat Page Table

- A flat page table uses the VPN to index into an array
- What’s the problem? (Hint: how many entries are in the table?)

Flat Page Table Evaluation

- Very simple to implement
- Don't work well for sparse address spaces
  - code starts at 0x00400000, stack starts at 0x7FFFFFFF
- With 4K pages, this requires 1M entries per page table
  - must be kept in main memory (can't be put on disk)
- 64-bit addresses are a nightmare (4 TB)
- Addressing page tables in kernel virtual memory reduces the amount of physical memory used
Multi-level Page Tables

- Use multiple levels of page tables
  - each page table entry points to another page table
  - the last page table contains the physical page numbers (PPN)
- The VPN is divided into
  - Index into level 1 page
  - Index into level 2 page
  - …

Multi-Level Evaluation

- Only allocate as many page tables as we need--works with the sparse address spaces
- Only the top page table must be in pinned in physical memory
- Each page table usually fills exactly 1 page so it can be easily moved to/from disk
- Requires multiple physical memory references for each virtual memory reference

Inverted Page Tables

- Inverted page tables **hash** the VPN to get the PPN
- Requires O(1) lookup
- Storage is proportional to number of physical pages being used **not** the size of the address space