CSE 403
Lecture B

Version Control

Thanks to Michael Ernst, Marty Stepp, and other past instructors of CSE 403
http://www.cs.washington.edu/403/

Goals of a version control system

- Keep a history of your work
  - Explain the purpose of each change
  - Checkpoint specific versions (known good state)
  - Recover specific state (fix bugs, test old versions)

- Coordinate/merge work between team members (or yourself, on multiple computers)

Varieties of version control system

Centralized VCS



- One repository
- Many working copies

Distributed VCS



- Many repositories
- One working copy per repository

(More complicated topologies are possible)

Version control history



DVCS history

Working copy can be `update`d to any revision in the history



Advantages of a DVCS

- checkpoint work without publishing to teammates
- share changes selectively with teammates
- commit, examine history when not connected to the network
- more accurate history
- more effective merging algorithms
- flexibility in repository organization and workflow
- faster performance

A DVCS prohibits some operations

- No update if uncommitted changes exist
  - must commit first
- No push if not ahead of remote
  - must pull & merge first
- No partial update (e.g., updating just one directory)
  - update gets all changes in a changeset (= a commit)

- Rationale:
  - Maintain more accurate, complete history
  - Keep all users in sync
  - Avoid painful conflicts
  - Avoid loss of work

Coordinating with others

- `pull` incorporates others' changes

- If you are behind, nothing more to do
  - Behind = your history is a prefix of master history

- If you have made changes in parallel, you must merge
  - Merge = create a new version incorporating all changes

Two types of merges

- Conflict-free
  - Changes are to different files or different lines of a file
  - "Conflict-free" is a textual, not semantic, notion: could yield compile errors or test failures

- Conflicting
  - Simultaneous changes to the same lines of a file
  - Requires manual conflict resolution

Resolving conflicts

- There are three versions of the file:
- You decide which version to keep or how to merge them
- Many merge tools exist



- Configure your DVCS to use the merge tool that you prefer

- Don't panic! Instead, think.
- You can always bail out of the merge and start over again (because you have the full local and remote history)

Popular DVCSes

- Mercurial (`hg`)
- Git (`git`)
- Others: Bazaar, DARCS, …

- Essentially identical functionality
- Mercurial has a better-designed command set
  - more logical, easier to learn and use, errors are less likely
- Git is faster on huge projects
  - you won't notice a difference on your project
- Git is more popular

Hints

- Never use `hg pull`; instead, use `hg fetch`
  - Does: `hg pull; hg update`
  - Does if necessary: `hg merge; hg commit`

- To use Mercurial just like SVN:
  - `svn update` = `hg fetch`
  - `svn commit` = `hg commit; hg push`

Binary files are not diff-able

- The history database records changes, not the entire file every time you commit
  - The diff algorithm works line-by-line
- Do not commit generated files
  - Binaries (e.g., .class files), etc.
  - Wastes space in repository
  - Causes merge conflicts
- Avoid binary files (especially simultaneous editing)
  - Word .doc files, for example

Commit often

- Make many small commits, not one big one
- Easier to understand, review, merge, revert
- How to make many small commits:
  - Do only one task at a time
    - commit after each one
  - Do multiple tasks in one clone
    - Commit only a subset of files
    - Error-prone
  - Create a new clone for each simultaneous task
    - Can have as many as you like
  - Create a "branch" for each simultaneous task
    - Somewhat more efficient
    - Somewhat more complicated and error-prone

Synchronize with teammates often

- Fetch often
  - Avoid getting behind the master or your teammates
- Push as often as practical
  - Don’t destabilize the master build
  - Automatic testing on each push is a good idea

More ways to avoid merge conflicts

- Modularize your work
  - Divide work so that individuals or subteams "own" a module
  - Other team members only need to understand its specification
  - Requires good documentation and testing

- Communicate about changes that may conflict
  - But don't overwhelm the team in such messages