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Rules about breaking the build

Rule 1: Never ever break the build
Rule 2: If you do break the build, fix it immediately and beg for forgiveness
Rule 3: Don’t change other people’s files without their permission
Rule 4: The closer one gets to the finish line the more important rule one becomes
Rule 5: Don’t make superfluous last minute changes
Rule 6: Whenever in doubt, see rule 1

Consequences of breaking the build

- Increases development cost
- Adds risk to the project
- Undermines group synergy
- Personally you may
  - Have your name published widely within the company
  - Receive 2:00 AM phone calls
  - Wear the goat horns
  - Pay $ into the build lab’s refreshment / antacid fund

Readings and References

- References
  » Release Engineering Information, FreeBSD
    - http://www.freebsd.org/releases/5.4R/schedule.html
Some ways to avoid breaking the build

- Do a clean build and run all the tests
- Buddy builds
- Have your changes reviewed
- Develop using separate development trees
- Do what’s right by you and your teammates

Why is it so important not to break?

- Lots of other people are making decisions and schedule commitments
  - The software is growing up and moving away from the development team
- Many of the dates were almost random when initially picked
  - But changing them now is very expensive, very inconvenient, and very embarrassing

Code-Freeze Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEAD</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Active development branch for CURRENT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_0</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Development branch for LP100.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_0_2</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 5.3, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_0_4</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 5.3 with security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_0_5</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 5.3 with security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_1</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Development branch for LP100.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_1_1</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.11, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_2_1</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.10, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_1</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.9, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_2</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.8, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_3</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.7, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_4</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.6, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_5</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.5, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_4_6</td>
<td>Freeze</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>FreeBSD 4.4, supported security fix.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FreeBSD CVS Branches

FreeBSD 5.4 Release Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reminder announcement</td>
<td>31 Jan 2005</td>
<td>31 Jan 2005</td>
<td>Release Engineers send announcement email to developers with a rough schedule for the FreeBSD 5.4 release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announce the Ports Freeze</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Someone from portsorg should mark FreeBSD/ports to set a date for the week-long port freezes and tagging of the ports tree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-FRELEASE</td>
<td>23 Feb 2005</td>
<td>23 Feb 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code freeze begins</td>
<td>3 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>After the date, all commits to the REL_0_4 branch must be approved by <a href="mailto:rm99@FreeBSD.org">rm99@FreeBSD.org</a>. Certain highly active documentation committers are exempt from this rule for minor man page / release note updates. Hand-up emails should be sent to the developers, as well as indicated in the code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-FRELEASE</td>
<td>4 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>First public test release build.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL_0_4 branch</td>
<td>16 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>The release branch is created. Help with review, use mail, and on various branches involved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfreeze the tree</td>
<td>16 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-RC1</td>
<td>16 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First release candidate</td>
<td>18 Mar 2005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is it ready to ship?

- Who decides when it is ready to ship?
  - The developer?
  - The customer?
  - The managers?
  - The executive?
- What factors are involved in the decision?
  - Is the software ready?
  - Do we need the revenue?
  - Is there an external factor that is setting the completion date?

It’s not just the software ...

- Development and testing plays a big role in getting the product ready, but there is also…
- Documentation
- Manufacturing
- Sales and marketing
- Support and more

Does it meet the requirements?

- Is the quality sufficient for the customer
  - PC mentality
  - Mission critical systems
  - Financial, medical, imbedded systems in planes, factories, safety critical equipment

Is the software ready and tested?

- What are the remaining bugs like?
  - Are there showstopper bugs (i.e., bugs that you cannot ship knowing they are in the product)
  - What is the cost of keeping a known bug in the product
    - Is it an obscure bug
    - Are there easy workarounds for the bug
    - Is the product “bug free”
Is the software ready and tested?

- In house testing
  - Is the daily pass rate acceptable? The definition of acceptable open to discussion.
  - Has it successfully run through its validation suite?
  - Is everyone happy with the product?
- Off-site testing through release candidates
  - Are the beta customers satisfied?
- Is the product stable enough for its intended market?
- What do we do when we stop finding bugs?

Documentation done and accurate?

- Is manufacturing ready for the release?
  - There is physical manufacturing and shipping of the release media and documentation
  - Filling the distribution channel
  - Even with internet distribution there needs to be well planned capacity
- Is product support ready?
  - Whether the support is “on-site”, “9 to 5”, “24 / 7”, “phone”, or “mail only”, the support staff needs to be trained and have adequate communication capacity.
- Is sales and marketing ready?

Customer ready?

- A lot of this depends on the type of customer
  - The shrink warp market
  - ISV – More technically savvy than the regular shrink wrapped market, however also less tolerant of stupid errors
  - IHV – They have a different set of issues from ISV including automated setup and installation, and customization support
  - Contract work – Various levels from delivering an entire turnkey system to only a small component that the buyer will integrate.
  - Internal customer group

Ship mode

- In the Windows NT group, this was called “showstopper mode” or the “death march” a rather macabre term, but also rather accurate
- All source changes must be reviewed and approved. It must be for a specific showstopper bug. Bugs are recorded in a bug database called “raid”. Sometimes kept an open showstopper bug active just to check-in more “fixes”
- Daily builds and stress runs (yes, seven days a week including most holidays)
A typical day near the end

5 AM results are starting to be gathered for the previous night stress run
7 AM release of the previous nights stress results. Developers then have until noon or so to debug all the crashed machines. Sometimes you need to keep the machine a lot longer.
8 AM meeting of the development team managers looking at the nightly stress results and new bugs review (they decide which bugs need to be fixed, and when to ship the product). Near the very end this becomes a twice a day meeting
10 AM to 3 PM the build lab is willing to accept any bug fixes for approved showstopper bugs
5 PM dinner is served
6 PM the next build is released and everyone installs the new system and starts up stress, and those with showstopper bugs continue to work.

Finally

- When it is finally decided to ship the product then the bits go into escrow as the golden media is produced and manufacturing starts ramping up. Testing continues and if necessary the bits can be recalled from escrow and the release done over again.
- Work continues on the subsequent release for the various server editions and international language versions.

Ancillary issues

- Media hype
  - Setting expectations
  - Beta previews
  - Getting beta customer testimonials might be important
- Competitive pressure
  - Market share before quality
  - First one defines the market and grabs market share even with junk
  - The followers often play catch-up with mixed success (unless you are a monopoly)

More issues

- Timing the release
- When do we get paid and are we ready for the IPO?
- Major release vs. minor release
  - Big delta or small delta
  - Customer perception based on version number
    - Word 1.0 for Windows
    - Word 1.1 for Windows
    - Word 2.0 for Windows
    - Word 6.0 for Windows
    - Word 95/97
    - Word 2002
  - Some IHV contracts are based on version number
- Where to have the ship party