## **CSE 401 Midterm Exam**

## February 13, 2009

The exam is closed book, closed notes, closed electronics, closed neighbors, open mind, ....

Please wait to turn the page until everyone has their exam and you have been told to begin.

If you have questions during the exam, raise your hand and someone will come to you.

Legibility is a plus as is showing your work. We can't read your mind, but we'll try to make sense of what you write.

1	/ 16
2	/ 16
3	/ 16
4	/ 16
5	/ 20
6	/ 16
Total	/ 100

compiler front-end each process parts of the source program in particular ways and also check certain rules of the language being compiled. For each of the following possible language rules, specify which phase of the compiler should verify that a program conforms to that rule and why that part of the compiler is the best place for that check. If a check could be done equally well in more than one phase of the compiler, briefly discuss the tradeoffs between the alternative implementations. Keep your answers short and to the point.
(a) A function is called with the correct number of arguments.
(b) Underscore characters ( _ ) may appear in the middle of identifiers, but not at the beginning or end (i.e., this_identifier is legal, but _this_one is not).
(c) Every variable must be declared before it is used in the program (the classic C or Pascal rule).
(d) Assignment statements must end with a semicolon (;).

Question 2. (16 points) Give an English description of the sets of strings generated by the following
regular expressions. For full credit, give a description that describes the set without just rewriting the
regular expressions in English. For example, if the regular expression is $(a*b*)*$ , a good answer would
be "all strings with 0 or more a's and b's in any sequence". A not so good answer would be "zero or
more repetitions of zero or more a's followed by zero or more b's".
(a) (x y)*x(x y)

(b) p(p|q)\*p

(c) Draw a DFA that accepts the same set of strings generated by the regular expression in part (b).

**Question 3.** (16 points) Give regular expressions for the following sets of strings. You may only use basic regular expressions formed from characters and epsilon ( $\varepsilon$ ), character classes denoting a single character ([...] and [^...]), concatenation (xy), alternation (x|y), repetition (x\* and x+), and optional (x?). You may also give names to subexpressions (name=re) and use parentheses for grouping.

(a) Identifiers formed as follows: an identifier consists of one or more letters (a-z and A-Z), digits (0-9) and underscores (\_). An identifier must begin with a letter and may not end with an underscore.

(b) Remote file identifiers of the form user@hostname: filename, constructed as follows. The parts of the identifier are made up of words, which are sequences of one or more letters and digits. The user part contains a single word. A hostname consists of one or more words separated by periods, like www.google.com or attu. A filename consists of one or more words separated by slash (/) characters with an optional leading and/or trailing slash (standard Unix conventions). The user@ part is optional and may be omitted. The entire user@hostname: part may be omitted, including the trailing colon. The user@ part may not appear unless the hostname: part is also included.

Question 4.	(16 points)	Consider the following grammar,	which we	previously saw in	ı a homework
problem:					

(a) Complete the following table with the FIRST and FOLLOW information for the productions and non-terminals in this grammar.

Production	FIRST	FOLLOW
S ::= ( L )		
S ::= x		
L ::= L , S		
L ::= S		

(b) Does this grammar have the LL(1) property that makes it suitable for top-down parsing? Briefly justify your answer.

(c) Describe how to fix this grammar, if necessary, to make it suitable for LL(1) parsing. Be specific.

**Question 5.** (20 points) The Pascal programming language included pointers and records (structs), just like C. As in C,  $x \cdot y$  indicated field selection. But Pascal had a much saner grammar for pointer variables. A Pascal pointer dereference was indicated by an arrow to the right of the variable. The Pascal expression  $p\uparrow$  meant the same as  $p\uparrow$  in C, and the C expression  $p\rightarrow f$  (which is equivalent to  $p\uparrow$  would be written as  $p\uparrow$  f in Pascal.

Here is a grammar for Pascal variables involving field selection and pointer dereferencing. The symbol id is a terminal representing a simple identifier. *var'* is the additional non-terminal inserted to handle the end-of-file marker \$.

```
var' ::= var $
var ::= var ↑
var ::= var .id
var ::= id
```

On the remainder of this page and (if needed) the next page, do the following:

- a) Construct the LR(0) state diagram for this grammar,
- b) Construct the LR(0) parse table corresponding to your diagram from part (a), and
- c) Indicate whether this grammar is LR(0). Justify your answer.  $\leftarrow$  DON'T forget to do this part!

**Question 5. (cont.)** Additional space for your answer if needed. DON'T FORGET to explain whether the grammar is or is not LR(0), and why. Grammar repeated for reference:

- 0. var' ::= var \$
- 1. *var* ::= *var* ↑
- 2. *var* ::= *var* .id
- 3. var := id

**Question 6.** (16 points) Java includes a conditional expression just like the one in C, C++, and other languages. A conditional expression has the form exp0? exp1: exp2. The value of a conditional expression is either the value of exp1 or exp2 depending on the value of exp0. If exp0 is true, then the value of the conditional expression is the value of exp1, and exp2 is not evaluated. If exp0 is false, then the value of the conditional expression is the value of exp2, and exp1 is not evaluated.

Below is an abstract syntax tree for the assignment statement  $\max = x>y$  ? x : y. Describe the type checking needed in the semantics phase of the compiler to verify that there are no type errors in this assignment statement. You can assume that the identifiers are declared elsewhere and that their types can be retrieved from an appropriate symbol table entry.

A good way to show your answer would be to write beside each node what type checking needs to be done at that node (if any), and indicate the type of the resulting (sub-)expression represented by that node (if any).

