Target Code Generation

Using the generated intermediate code, covert to instructions and memory characteristics of the target machine.

Target Code Generation

Input: intermediate language (IL)
Output: target language program
Target languages:
- absolute binary (machine) code
- relocatable binary code
- assembly code
- C

Target code generation must bridge the gap

The gap, if target is machine code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IL</th>
<th>Machine Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>global variables</td>
<td>global static memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unbounded number of interchangeable local variables</td>
<td>fixed number of registers, of various incompatible kinds, plus unbounded number of stack locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>built-in parameter passing &amp; result returning</td>
<td>calling conventions defining where arguments &amp; results are stored and which registers may be overwritten by callee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>statements</td>
<td>machine instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>statements can have arbitrary subexpression trees</td>
<td>instructions have restricted operand addressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conditional branches based on integers representing Boolean values</td>
<td>conditional branches based on condition codes (maybe)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tasks of Code Generator

Register allocation
- for each IL variable, select register/stack location/global memory location(s) to hold it
  - can depend on type of data, which operations manipulate it
- Stack frame layout
  - compute layout of each function’s stack frame
- Instruction selection
  - for each IL instruction (sequence), select target language instruction (sequence)
    - includes operand addressing mode selection
- Can have complex interactions
  - instruction selection depends on where operands are allocated
  - some IL variables may not need a register, depending on the instructions & addressing modes that are selected

Register Allocation

Intermediate language uses unlimited temporary variables
- makes ICG easy

Target machine has fixed resources for representing “locals” plus other internal things such as stack pointer
- MIPS, SPARC: 31 registers + 1 always-zero register
- 68k: 16 registers, divided into data and address regs
- x86: 8 word-sized integer registers (with a number of instruction-specific restrictions on use) plus a stack of floating-point data manipulated only indirectly

Registers are much faster than memory
Must use registers in load/store RISC machines
Consequences:
- should try to keep values in registers if possible
- must reuse registers, implies free registers after use
- must handle more variables than registers, implies spill
- Interacts with instruction selection on CISC, implies it’s a real pain
Classes of Registers
What registers can the allocator use?

Fixed/dedicated registers
- stack pointer, frame pointer, return address, ...
- claimed by machine architecture, calling convention, or internal convention for special purpose
- not easily available for storing locals

Scratch registers
- couple of registers kept around for temp values e.g. loading a spilled value from memory in order to operate on it

Allocatable registers
- remaining registers free for register allocator to exploit
- Some registers may be overwritten by called procedures implies caller must save them across calls, if allocated
  - caller-saved registers vs. callee-saved registers

Classes of Variables
What variables can the allocator try to put in registers?

Temporary variables: easy to allocate
- defined & used exactly once, during expression evaluation implies allocator can free up register when done
- usually not too many in use at one time implies less likely to run out of registers

Local variables: hard, but doable
- need to determine last use of variable in order to free reg
- can easily run out of registers implies need to make decision about which variables get register allocation
- what about assignments to local through pointer?
- what about debugger?

Global variables:
- really hard, but doable as a research project

Register Allocation in MiniJava
Don’t do any analysis to find last use of local variables implies allocate all local variables to stack locations
- each read of the local variable translated into a load from stack location
- each assignment to a local translated to a store into its stack location

Each IL expression has exactly one use implies allocate result value of IL expression to register
- maintain a set of allocated registers
- allocate an unallocated register for each expr result
- free register when done with expr result
- not too many IL expressions “active” at a time implies unlikely to run out of registers, even on x86
- MiniJava compiler dies if it runs out of registers for IL expressions :(

X86 register allocator:
- eax, ebx, ecx, edx: allocatable, caller-save registers
- esi, edi: scratch registers
- esp: stack pointer; ebp: frame pointer
- floating-point stack, for double values

Stack Frame Layout
Need space for
- formals
- local variables
- return address
- (maybe) dynamic link (ptr to calling stack frame)
- (maybe) static link (ptr to lexically-enclosing stack frame)
- other run-time data (e.g. caller-saved registers)

Assign dedicated register(s) to support access to stack frames
- frame pointer (FP): ptr to beginning of stack frame (fixed)
- stack pointer (SP): ptr to end of stack (can move)

Key property: all data in stack frame is at fixed, statically computed offset from FP
- easy to generate fast code to access data in stack frame, even lexically enclosing stack frames
- compute all offsets solely from symbol tables

MiniJava/X86 stack frame layout

Calling Conventions
Need to define responsibilities of caller and callee in setting up, tearing down stack frame
Only caller can do some things
Only callee can do other things
Some things could be done by both

Need a protocol
X86 Calling Sequence

**Caller:**
- evaluates actual arguments, pushes them on stack
  - in right-to-left order, to support C varargs
  - alternative: 1st \( k \) arguments in registers
- saves caller-save registers in caller’s stack
- executes call instruction
- return address pushed onto the stack by hardware

**Callee:**
- pushes caller’s frame pointer on stack
  - the dynamic link
- sets up callee’s frame pointer
- allocates space for locals, caller-saved registers
  - order doesn’t matter to calling convention
- starts running callee’s code...

---

X86 return sequence

**Callee:**
- puts returned value in right place (\texttt{eax} or floating-point stack)
- deallocates space for locals, caller-saved regs
- pops caller’s frame pointer from stack
- pops return address from stack and jumps to it

**Caller:**
- deallocates space for args
- restores caller-saved registers from caller’s stack
- continues execution in caller after call...

---

**Instruction Selection**

Given one or more IL instructions, pick “best” sequence of target machine instructions with same semantics

- “best” = fastest, shortest, lowest power, ...

Difficulty depends on nature of target instruction set

- RISC: easy
  - usually only one way to do something
  - closely resembles IL instructions
- CISC: hard to do well
  - lots of alternative instructions with similar semantics
  - lots of possible operand addressing modes
  - lots of tradeoffs among speed, size
  - simple RISC-like translation may not be very efficient
- C: easy, as long as C appropriate for desired semantics
  - can leave optimizations to C compiler

Correctness a big issue, particularly if codegen complex

---

**Example**

**IL code:**
\[ t3 = t1 + t2; \]

**Target code (MIPS):**
\[ add \%t3, \%t1, \%t2 \]

**Target code (SPARC):**
\[ add \%t1, \%t2, \%t3 \]

**Target code (68k):**
\[ add.l \#t1, d0 \]
\[ add.l d1, d3 \]

**Target code (x86):**
\[ movl \%eax, \%ecx \]
\[ addl \%ebx, \%ecx \]

1 IL instruction may expand to several target instructions

---

**Another Example**

**IL code:**
\[ t1 = t1 + 1; \]

**Target code (MIPS):**
\[ add \$t1, \$t1, 1 \]

**Target code (SPARC):**
\[ add \%t1, \%t1, \%1 \]

**Target code (68k):**
\[ add.l \%t1, d1 \]
\[ inc.l d1 \]

**Target code (x86):**
\[ addl \%eax, \%ebx \]
\[ inc1 \%eax \]

Can have choices

- it’s a pain to have choices; requires making decisions

---

**Yet another example**

**IL code:**
\[ // push \( x \) onto stack \]
\[ sp = sp - 4; \]
\[ *sp = t1; \]

**Target code (MIPS):**
\[ sub \$sp, \$sp, 4 \]
\[ sw \$t1, 0(\$sp) \]

**Target code (SPARC):**
\[ sub \$sp, \$sp, 4 \]
\[ st \%t1, \%sp+0 \]

**Target code (68k):**
\[ movl d1, -(sp) \]

**Target code (x86):**
\[ pushl \%eax \]

Several IL instructions can combine to 1 target instruction
Instruction Selection in MiniJava

Expand each IL statement into some number of target machine instructions
• don’t attempt to combine IL statements together

In Target subdirectory:
abstract class Target
abstract class Location
• defines abstract methods for emitting machine code for statements, e.g. emitVarAssign, emitFieldAssign, emitBranchTrue
• defines abstract methods for emitting machine code for statements, e.g. emitVarRead, emitFieldRead, emitIntMul
• return Location representing where result is allocated
IL statement and expression classes invoke these operations to generate their machine code
• each IL stmt, expr has a corresponding emit operation on the Target class
Details of target machines are hidden from IL and the rest of the compiler behind the Target and Location interfaces

Implementing Target and Location
A particular target machine provides a concrete subclass of Target, plus concrete subclasses of Location as needed

E.g. in Target/X86 subdirectory:
class X86Target extends Target
class X86Register extends Location
• for expressions whose results are in (integer) registers
class X86FloatingPointStack extends Location
• for expressions whose results are pushed on the floating-point stack
class X86ComparisonResult extends Location
• for boolean expressions whose results are in condition codes
Could define Target/MIPS, Target/C, etc.

An Example X86 emit method
Location emitIntConstant(int value) {
    Location result_location = allocateReg(ILType.intILType());
    emitOp("movl", intOperand(value), regOperand(result_location));
    return result_location;
} Location allocateReg(ILType):
    allocate a new register to hold a value of the given type
void emitOp(String opname, String arg1, ...):
    emit assembly code
String intOperand(int):
    return the asm syntax for an int constant operand
String regOperand(Location):
    return the asm syntax for a reference to a register

An Example X86 Target emit method
What x86 code to generate for arg1 +.int arg2?
x86 int add instruction: addl %arg, %dest
- semantics: %dest = %dest + %arg;
emit arg1 into register%arg1
emit arg2 into register%arg2
then?

Location emitIntAdd(ILExpr arg1, ILExpr arg2) {
    Location arg1_location=arg1.codegen(this);
    Location arg2_location=arg2.codegen(this);
    emitOp("addl",
        regOperand(arg2_location),
        regOperand(arg1_location));
    deallocateReg(arg2_location);
    return arg1_location;
} void deallocateReg(Location):
    deallocate register,
    make available for use by later instructions

An Example X86 Target emit method
What x86 code to generate for var read or assignment?
Need to access var’s home stack location
x86 stack reference operand: %ebp(offset)
- semantics: *(%ebp + offset);
    %ebp = frame pointer

Location emitIntAdd(ILExpr arg1, ILExpr arg2) {
    Location arg1_location=arg1.codegen(this);
    Location arg2_location=arg2.codegen(this);
    emitOp("addl",
        regOperand(arg2_location),
        regOperand(arg1_location));
    deallocateReg(arg2_location);
    return arg1_location;
} void deallocateReg(Location):
    deallocate register,
    make available for use by later instructions
An Example X86 Target emit method

Location emitVarRead(ILVarDecl var) {
    int var_offset = var.getByteOffset(this);
    ILType var_type = var.getType();
    Location result_location = allocateReg(var_type);
    emitOp("movl", ptrOffsetOperand(FP, var_offset),
           regOperand(result_location));
    return result_location;
}

void emitVarAssign(ILVarDecl var, Location rhs_location) {
    int var_offset = var.getByteOffset(this);
    emitOp("movl", regOperand(rhs_location),
           ptrOffsetOperand(FP, var_offset));
}

String ptrOffsetOperand(Location, int): return the asm syntax for a reference to a "ptr+offset" memory location

Target Code Generation for Comparisons

What code to generate for arg1 <.int arg2?
- produce zero or non-zero int value into some result register
MIPS: use an slt instruction to compute boolean-valued int result into a register
x86 (and most other machines): no direct instruction
Have comparison instructions, which set condition codes
- e.g. cmpl %arg2, %arg1
Later conditional branch instructions can test condition codes
  e.g. jl, jle, jge, jg, je, jne label
What code to generate?

Target Code Generation for Compares (1)

Location emitIntLessThanValue(ILExpr arg1, ILExpr arg2) {
    Location arg1_location=arg1.codegen(this);
    Location arg2_location=arg2.codegen(this);
    emitOp("cmpl", regOperand(arg2_location),
           regOperand(arg1_location));
    deallocateReg(arg1_location);
    deallocateReg(arg2_location);
    Location result_location = allocateReg(ILType.intILType());
    String true_label = getNewLabel();
    emitOp("jl", true_label);
    emitOp("movl", intOperand(0),
           regOperand(result_location));
    String done_label = getNewLabel();
    emitOp("jmp", done_label);
    emitLabel(true_label);
    emitOp("movl", intOperand(1),
           regOperand(result_location));
    emitLabel(done_label);
    return result_location;
}

Target Code Generation for Compares (2)

String true_label = getNewLabel();
emitOp("j1", true_label);
emitOp("movl", intOperand(0),
       regOperand(result_location));
String done_label = getNewLabel();
emitOp("jmp", done_label);
emitLabel(true_label);
emitOp("movl", intOperand(1),
       regOperand(result_location));
emitLabel(done_label);
return result_location;

Target Code Generation for Branch

What code to generate for iftrue test goto label?
Target Code Generation for Branch

```java
void emitConditionalBranchTrue(ILExpr test, ILabel target) {
    Location test_location = test.codegen(this);
    emitOp("cmpl", intOperand(0),
           regOperand(test_location));
    emitOp("jne", target.getName());
}
```

Target Code Generation for Branch (3)

```java
What is generated for if true arg1 <.int arg2 goto label?
<emit arg1 into %arg1>
<emit arg2 into %arg2>
cmpl %arg2, %arg1
jl true_label
mov $0, %res
done_label:
true_label:
mov $1, %res
cmpl $0, %res
jne label
Can we do better?
```

Optimized Code Gen for Branches (1)

Idea: boolean-valued IL expressions can be generated two ways, depending on their consuming context
- for their value
- for their "condition code"
Existing code gen operation on IL expression produces its value
New codegenTest operation on IL expression produces its condition code
- X86ComparisonResultLocation represents this result
Now conditional branches evaluate their test expression in the "for condition code" style

Optimized Code Gen for Branches (2)

```java
void emitConditionalBranchTrue(ILExpr test,
                               ILabel target) {
    Location test_location = test.codegen(this);
    Location cc = (X86ComparisonResultLoc) test_location;
    emitOp("j", cc.branchTrueOp(),
           target.getName());
}
```

IL codegenTest Default Behavior

```java
class ILExpr extends IExpr {
    ...
    Location codegenTest(Target target) {
        return target.emitTest(this);
    }
}
```

Let's consider a specialized ILIntLessThanExpr extends ILExpr
```java
class ILIntLessThanExpr extends ILExpr {
    ...
    Location codegenTest(Target target) {
        return target.emitIntLessThanTest(arg1, arg2);
    }
}
```

IL codegenTest Specialized Behavior

```java
class ILIntLessThanExpr extends ILExpr {
    ...
    Location codegenTest(Target target) {
        return target.emitIntLessThanTest(arg1, arg2);
    }
}
```

In X86Target class:
```java
Location emitIntLessThanTest(ILExpr arg1,
                            ILabel arg2) {
    Location arg1_location = arg1.codegen(this);
    Location arg2_location = arg2.codegen(this);
    emitOp("cmpl",
           regOperand(arg1_location),
           regOperand(arg2_location));
    deallocatesReg(arg1_location);
    deallocatesReg(arg2_location);
    return new X86ComparisonResultLoc("l");
}
```
Register Allocation -- A Cool Algorithm

- How to convert the infinite sequence of temporary data references, t1, t2, … into finite assignment register numbers $8, 9, …, 25$
- Goal: Use available registers with minimum spilling
- Problem: Minimizing the number of registers is NP-complete … it is equivalent to chromatic number--minimum colors to color nodes of graph so no edge connects same color

Begin With Data Flow Graph

- procedure-wide register allocation
- only live variables require register storage

**dataflow analysis**: a variable is live at node N if the value it holds is used on some path further down the control-flow graph; otherwise it is dead

- two variables(values) interfere when their live ranges overlap

Live Variable Analysis

```
begin
  a := read();
  b := read();
  c := read();
  d := a + b*c;
  if (d < 10) then
    e := c+8;
    print(c);
  else
    f := 10;
    e := f + d;
    print(f);
  fi
  print(e);
end
```

Register Interference Graph

```
begin
  a := read();
  b := read();
  c := read();
  d := a + b*c;
  if (d < 10) then
    e := c+8;
    print(c);
  else
    f := 10;
    e := f + d;
    print(f);
  fi
  print(e);
end
```

Graph Coloring

- NP complete problem
- heuristic: color easy nodes last
  - find node N with lowest degree
  - remove N from the graph
  - color the simplified graph
  - set color of N to the first color that is not used by any of N's neighbors

Apply Heuristic
Example given that a and b are live on entry and dead on exit, and that x and y are live on exit:
(a) construct the register interference graph
(b) color the graph; how many registers are needed?

```c
{ int tmp_2ab = 2*a*b;
  int tmp_aa = a*a;
  int tmp_bb = b*b;
  x := tmp_aa + tmp_2ab + tmp_bb;
  y := tmp_aa - tmp_2ab + tmp_bb;
}
```
Code Generation Summary

- Code generation is
  - Machine specific
  - Error prone
  - Least "elegant" of the compilation process
- Code generation is
  - Place where key transformation takes place in the compiler
  - Most visible impact on performance