Pipeline Control unit (highly abstracted)
Where are the control signals needed?

- Very much like in multiple cycle implementation for the case of an ideal pipeline
- Cf. Figure 6.25
Control (ideal case)

- Control signals are split among the 5 stages. For the ideal case no need for additional control (but just wait!)
- **Stage 1**: nothing special to control
  - read instr. memory and increment PC asserted at each cycle
- **Stage 2**: nothing. All instructions do the same
- **Stage 3**: Instruction dependent
  - Control signals for ALU sources and ALUop
  - Control signal for Regdest so the right name is passed along
- **Stage 4**: Control for memory (read/write) and for branches
- **Stage 5**: Control for source of what to write in the destination register
Hazards

• Recall
  – *structural* hazards: lack of resources (won’t happen in simple pipeline)
  – *data* hazards: due to dependencies between executing instructions
  – *control* hazards: flow of control is not sequential
Data dependencies

• The result of an operation is needed before it is stored back in the register file
  – Example:
    add $7, $12, $15 # put result in register 7
    sub $8, $7, $12 # use register 7 as a source
    and $9, $14, $7 # use register 7 as a source
  – The above dependence is called RAW (Read After Write)
  – Note that there is no dependency for register 12 which is used as a source in two operations
  – WAW (Write After Write) and WAR (Write After Read) dependencies can exist but not in our simple pipeline
Data dependencies in the pipe

Add $7, $12, $15

Sub $8, $7, $12

And $9, $14, $7

$7 written here

$7 needed here
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Occurrences of data dependencies

• Data dependence occurs when:
  – An instruction wants to read a register in stage 2, and
  – One instruction in either stage 3 or stage 4 is going to write that register
    • Note that if the instruction writing the register is in stage 5, this is fine
      since we can write a register and read it in the same cycle

• Data dependencies can occur between:
  – Arithmetic instructions
  – A load and an arithmetic instruction needing the result of a load
  – An arithmetic instruction and a load/store (to compute the address)
  – An arithmetic instruction and a branch (to compare registers)
Detection of data dependencies

• When an instruction reaches stage 2, the control unit will detect whether the names of the result registers of the two previous instructions match the name of the source registers for the current instruction.
  – Examples: EX/Mem write-register name = ID/EX rs
  – Mem/WB write-register name = ID/EX rt
Resolving data dependencies

• There are several possibilities:
  – Have the compiler generate “no-ops”, i.e., instructions that do nothing while passing through the pipeline (original MIPS at Stanford; found to be too complex)
  – Stall the pipeline when the hardware detects the dependency, i.e., create bubbles (the resulting delays are the same as for no-ops)
  – Forwarding the result, generated in stage 3 or stage 4, to the appropriate input of the ALU. This is called forwarding or bypassing.
Example of stalls

Add $7, $12, $15

Sub $8, $7, $12

And $9, $14, $7
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How to detect stalls and additional control

• Between instruction i+1 and instruction i (2 bubbles)
  ID/EX write-register = IF/ID read-register 1 or IF/ID read-register 2
• Between instruction i+2 and instruction i (1 bubble)
  EX/Mem write-register = IF/ID read-register 1 or IF/ID read-register 2
• Note that we are stalling an instruction in stage 2 (decode) thus
  – We must prevent fetching new instructions (otherwise PC and current instruction would be clobbered in IF/ID)
  – so control to create bubbles (set all control lines to 0 from stage 2 on) and prevent new instruction fetches
Forwarding

• Bubbles (or no-ops) are pessimistic since result is present before stage 5
  – In stage 3 for arithmetic instructions
  – In stage 4 for loads

• So why not forward directly the result from stage 3 (or 4) to the ALU

• Note that the state of the process (i.e., writing in registers) is still modified only in stage 5
  – The importance of this will become clear when we look at exceptions.
Forwarding in the pipe

Add $7, 12, 15

Sub $8, 7, 12

And $9, 14, 7

$7 computed here

$7 written in register here

$7 needed here

$7 needed here
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Forwarding implementation

• Add busses to the data path so that inputs to ALU can be taken from
  – register file
  – EX/Mem pipeline register
  – Mem/WB pipeline register
• Have a “control forwarding unit” that detects
  – forwarding between instructions i+1 and i and between instructions i+2 and i (note that both can happen at the same time for the two sources)
• Expand muxes to allow these new choices
Still need for stalling

- Alas, we can’t get rid of bubbles completely because the result of a load is only available at the end of stage 4
  - Example: Lw $6,0($2)
    Add $7,$6,$4
  We need to stall for 1 cycle and then forward
The Load stalling case

Lw $6, 0($2)

Add $7,$6,$4

$6 available here