
CSE 374

Programming Concepts & Tools

Hal Perkins

Winter 2011

Lecture 12 –C: structs, linked lists, and casts

Where we are

- We've seen most of the basic stuff about C, but we still need to look at structs (aka records or objects without methods) and linked data structures
 - Understand the code posted with today's lecture – we won't have time to walk through all the details
- Next: Rest of the C preprocessor (# stuff, macros), building multi-file programs
- Then: more programming tools (make)
- That will set us up for the next programming project
- Meanwhile: Midterm exam on Monday
 - Closed book, optional page of handwritten notes

structs

- A struct is a record
- A pointer to a struct is like a Java object with no methods
- `x.f` is for field access. (if is `x` not a pointer – new!)
- `(*x).f` in C is like `x.f` in Java. (if `x` is a pointer)
- `x->f` is an abbreviation for `(*x).f`
- There is a huge difference between a struct (value) parameter and a pointer to a struct
- There is a huge difference between local variables that are structs and those that are pointers to structs
- Again, left-expressions evaluate to locations (which can be whole struct locations or just a field's location)
- Again, right-expressions evaluate to values (which can be whole structs or just a field's contents)

C parameters - revisited

- C has a uniform rule for parameters (almost): When a function is called, each parameter is *initialized* with a *copy* of the corresponding argument (int, char, ptr,...)
 - This holds even for structs! – a copy is created
 - There is no further connection between the argument and the parameter value in the function
 - But they can point to the same thing, of course
- **But.** if the argument is an array name, the function parameter is initialized with a pointer to the array argument instead of a copy of the entire array
 - Implicit array promotion

struct parameters

- A struct argument is copied (call-by-value)
- It is far more common to use a pointer to a struct as an argument instead of copying an entire struct
 - Gives same semantics as Java object references
 - Usually what you want – pointer to data that lives outside the function
 - Also avoids cost of copying a possibly large object
 - But occasionally you want call-by value (small things like complex numbers, points, ...)
- Puzzle: if an argument is an array containing a single struct, is it copied or is it promoted to a pointer?
 - What if it's a struct containing only a single array?

Linked lists, trees, and friends

- Very, very common data structures
- Building them in C
 - Use malloc to create nodes
 - Need to use casts for “generic” types
 - Memory management issues if shared nodes
 - Usually need to explicitly free entire thing when done
 - Shows tradeoffs between lists and arrays
- Look at the sample code and understand what it does/how it does it

C types

- There are an infinite number of types in C, but only a few ways to make them:
 - char, int, double, etc. (many variations like unsigned int, long, short, ...; mostly “implementation-defined”)
 - void (placeholder; a “type” no expression can have)
 - struct T where there is already a declaration for that struct type
 - Array types (basically only for stack arrays and struct fields, every use is automatically converted to a pointer type)
 - t* where t is a type
 - union T, enum E (later, maybe)
 - function-pointer types (later)
 - typedefs (just expand to their definition; type synonym)

Typedef

- Defines a synonym for a type – does not declare a new type
- Syntax
 typedef *type name*;
 After this declaration, writing *name* is the same as writing *type*

- Examples:

```
typedef int int32;           // use int32 for portability
typedef struct point {     // type tag optional (sortof)
    int32 x, y;
} Point2d;                 // Point2d is synonym for struct
typedef Point2d * ptptr;   // pointer to Point2D

Point2d p;                 // var declaration
ptptr ptlist;              // declares pointer
```


Casts, part 1

- Syntax: (t)e where t is a type and e is an expression (same as Java)
- Semantics: It depends
 - If e is a numeric type and t is a numeric type, this is a conversion
 - To wider type, get same value
 - To narrower type, may not (will get mod)
 - From floating-point to integral, will round (may overflow)
 - From integral to floating-point, may round (but int to double is exact on most machines)

Note: Java is the same without the “most machines” part

Note: Lots of implicit conversions such as in function calls

Bottom Line: Conversions involve actual operations;
(double)3 is a very different bit pattern than (int)3

Casts, part 2

- If `e` has type `t1*`, then `(t2*)e` is a (pointer) cast.
 - You still have the same pointer (index into the address space).
 - Nothing “happens” at run-time.
 - You are just “getting around” the type system, making it easy to write any bits anywhere you want.
 - Old example: `malloc` has return type `void*`

```
void evil(int **p, int x) {
    int * q = (int*)p;
    *q = x;
}
void f(int **p) {
    evil(p,345);
    **p = 17;      // writes 17 to address 345 (HYCSBWK)
}
```

Note: The C standard is more picky than we suggest, but few people know that and little code obeys the official rules.

C pointer casts, continued

Questions worth answering:

- How does this compare to Java's casts?
 - Unsafe, unchecked (no “type fields” in objects)
 - Otherwise more similar than it seems
- When should you use pointer casts in C?
 - For “generic” libraries (malloc, linked lists, operations on arbitrary (generic) pointers, etc.)
 - For “subtyping” (later)
- What about other casts?
 - Casts to/from struct types (*not* struct pointer casts) are compile-time errors.