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Where we are

• We understand most of the bash shell and its “programming language”. Final pieces we’ll consider:
  – Shell variables
    • Defining your own
    • Built-in meanings
    • Exporting
  – Arithmetic
  – For loops
• End with:
  – A long list of gotchas (some bash-specific; some common to shells)
  – Why long shell scripts are a bad idea, etc.
Shell variables

- We already know a shell has state: current working directory, users, aliases, history.
- Its state also includes shell variables that hold strings.
- Features:
  - Change variables’ values: foo=blah
  - Add new variables: foo=blah or foo=
  - Use variable: ${foo} (braces sometimes optional)
  - Remove variables: unset foo
  - See what variables “are set”: set
- Omitted feature: Functions and local variables (see manual)
- Roughly “all variables are global (visible everywhere)”
- Only assignment is similar to mainstream “real” programming languages
Why variables?

• Variables are useful in scripts, just like in “normal” programming.
• “Special” variables affect shell operation. 3 most (?) common:
  – PATH
  – PS1
  – HOME
Export

• If a shell runs another program (perhaps a bash script), does the other program “see the current variables that are set”?  
  – i.e., are the shell variables part of the initial environment of the new program?  
• It depends.  
  – export foo – yes it will see value of foo  
  – export -n foo – no it will not see value of foo  
  – Default is no.  
• If the other program sets an exported variable, does the outer shell see the change?  
• No.  
  – Somewhat like “call by value” parameters in conventional languages
Arithmetic

• Variables are strings, so k=$i+$j is not addition.
• But ((k=$i+$j)) is (and in fact the $ is optional here).
• So is let k="$i + $j".
• The shell converts the strings to numbers, silently using 0 as necessary.
For loops

- Syntax:
  
  ```python
  for v in w1 w2 ... wn
  do
    body
  done
  ```

- Execute body n times, with v set to wi on ith one. (Afterwards, v=wn).

- Why so convenient?
  - Use a filename pattern after in
  - Use list of argument strings after in : "$@


Quoting

• Does \texttt{x=*} set \texttt{x} to string-holding-asterisk or string-holding-all-filenames?
• If \$x is *, does \texttt{ls $x} list all-files or file named asterisk?
• Are variables expanded in double-quotes? single-quotes?
• Could consult the manual, but honestly it’s easier to start a shell and experiment. For example:
  \begin{verbatim}
  x="*"
  echo x
  echo $x
  echo "\$x" (Double quotes suppress some substitutions)
  echo \'\$x\' (Single quotes suppress all substitutions)
  ...
  \end{verbatim}
1. Typo in variable name on left: create new variable oops=7
2. Typo in variable use: get empty string ls $oops
3. Use same variable name again: clobber other use HISTFILE=uhoh
4. Spaces in variables: use double-quotes if you mean “one word”
5. Non-number used as number: end up with 0
6. set f=blah: apparently does nothing (assignment in csh)
7. Many, many more…
Shell programming revisited

- How do Java programming and shell programming compare?
- The shell:
  - “shorter”
  - convenient file-access, file-tests, program-execution, pipes
  - crazy quoting rules and syntax
  - also interactive
- Java:
  - none of the previous gotchas
  - local variables, modularity, typechecking, array-checking, . . .
  - real data structures, libraries, regular syntax
- Rough rule of thumb: Don’t write shell scripts over 200 lines?
Treatment of strings

• Suppose foo is a variable that holds the string hello

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Java</th>
<th>Bash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use variable (get “hello”)</td>
<td>foo</td>
<td>$foo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The string foo</td>
<td>“foo”</td>
<td>foo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assign variable</td>
<td>foo = hi</td>
<td>foo=hi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concatenation</td>
<td>foo + “oo”</td>
<td>${foo}oo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convert to number</td>
<td>library call</td>
<td>silent and implicit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Moral: In Java, variable-uses are easier than string-constants.
• Opposite in Bash.
• Both biased toward common use.
More on shell programming

• Metapoint: Computer scientists automate and end up accidentally inventing (bad) programming languages. It’s like using a screwdriver as a pry bar.
• HW3 in part, will be near the limits of what seems reasonable to do with a shell script (and we’ll end up cutting corners as a result)
• There are plenty of attempts to get “the best of both worlds” in a scripting language: Perl, Python, Ruby, . . .
• Personal opinion: it raises the limit to 1000 or 10000 lines? Get you hooked on short programs.
• Picking the bash shell was a conscious decision to emphasize the interactive side and see “how bad programming can get”.
• Next: Regular expressions, grep, sed, others.
Bottom line

• Never do something manually if writing a script would save you time.
• Never write a script if you need a large, robust piece of software.
• Some programming languages try to give the “best of both worlds” – you now have seen two extremes that don’t (Java and bash).