Dijkstra's algorithm

## Initialization:

1. Assign each node an initial cost of $\infty$
2. Set our starting node's cost to 0

## Core loop:

1. Get the next (unvisited) node that has the smallest cost
2. Update all adjacent vertices (if applicable)
3. Mark current node as "visited"

Idea: Greedily pick node with smallest cost, then update everything possible. Repeat.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Metaphor: Treat edges as canals and edge weights as distance. Imagine opening a dam at the starting node. How long does it take for the water to reach each vertex?

Caveat: Dijkstra's algorithm only guaranteed to work for graphs with no negative edge weights.

Pronunciation: DYKE-struh ("dijk" rhymes with "bike")
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We initially assign all nodes a cost of infinity.
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Suppose we start at vertex "a"


Next, assign the starting node a cost of 0 .
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Suppose we start at vertex "a":


The pending node with the smallest cost is $c$, so we visit that next.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ":


We consider all adjacent nodes. $a$ is fixed, so we only need to update $e$. Note the new cost of $e$ is the sum of the weights for $a-c$ and $c-e$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " a ":


The adjacent nodes are $c, e$, and $f$. The only node where we can update the cost is $f$. Note the route $a-b-e$ has the same cost as $a-c-e$, so there's no point in updating the backpointer to $e$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":


Both $d$ and $f$ have the same cost, so let's (arbitrarily) pick $d$ next. Note that we can't adjust any of our neighbors.
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Suppose we start at vertex "a":


The only neighbor we is $h$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":


We update g .

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a"


Next up is $g$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a"


The two adjacent nodes are $f$ and e. $f$ is fixed so we leave it alone. We however will update $e$ : our current route is cheaper then the previous route, so we update both the cost and the backpointer.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ":


The last pending node is $e$. We visit it, and check for any unfixed adjacent nodes (there are none).

## Dijkstra's algorithm

## Core idea in simplified pseudocode:

```
def dijkstra(start):
    for (v : vertices):
        set cost(v) ta infinity
    set cost(start) to &
    while (we still have unvisited nodes):
        current - get next smallest node
            for (edge = current-getOutEdges()):
                newCost = min(cost(current) + edge.cost, cost(edge.dest))
                update cost(edge.dest) to newCost, update backpointers, etc
    return backpointers dictionary
```


## Dijkstra's algorithm

Another impl: after implementing decreasePriority

```
def dijkstra(start):
    backpointers = empty Dictionary of vertex to vertex
    costs = empty Dictionary of vertex to double
    heap = new Heap<Node with cost>();
    for (v : vertices)
        heap.put([v, infinity])
        costs.put(v, infinity)
    heap.decreasePriority([start, a])
    costs_put(start, 0)
    while (heap is not empty):
    current, currentCost = heap,renoveMin()
        for (edge : current, getOutEdges()):
            nenCost = currentCost + edge.cost
            if (newCost < cost.get(edge.dest))?
                cost.put(edge.dest, nemCost)
                heap.decreasekey([edge.dest, nenCost])
                hackpointers.put(edge.dest, current)
```

    return backpointers dictionary
    
## Dijkstra's algorithm

One implementation: inserting extra values into heap
def dijkstra(start):
backpointers - empty Dictionary of vertex to vertex
costs - Dictionary of vertex to double, initialized to infinity
visited = erpty Set
heap $=$ new Heap-Nade with cost>();
heap. put([start, a])
cost.put(start, 6)
while (heap is not empty):
current, currentCost - heap removellin()
skip if visited.contains(current), else visited.add(current)
for (edge : current. getOutEdges()):
skip if visited.contains(edge.dest), else visited.sdd(edge.dest)
if (nenCost < cost. get(edge dest)):
cost.put(edge. dest, newCost)
heap insert([edge.dest, nenCost])
backpointers.put(edge.dest, current)
return backpointers dictionary

## Example

What does Dijkstra's algorithm do when run on vertex $a$ ?


Suppose we start at vertex "a":


And we're done! Now, to find the shortest path, from a to a node, start at the end, trace the red arrows backwards, and reverse the list.
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## Example

What does Dijkstra's algorithm do when run on vertex a?


- Project 1, part 2 regrades will be released later tonight
- Project 3, part 1 grades also released later tonight Reminder: if you fix the errors in your Friday submission, you can get up to half credit back.
- If you've emailed me, and you haven't heard back, email me again

Rough intuition:

- Suppose $a$ is the next unvisited node with the smallest cost. Suppose $b$ is some unvisited vertex adjacent to $a$.
- The quickest path from the start to $b$ is going to be through a. Any other route would be a longer detour (assuming edges are positive!).
- So, picking the shortest node will always accurately update the adjacent nodes.
(Full proof beyond scope of class)


## Dijkstra's: negative edges

What if we have negative edges?
Question: What's the shortest path from s to $t$ according to Dijkstra's? In reality?


- If there are negative edges, Dijkstra's doesn't work (There exist other algorithms that can handle negative edges - e.g. see Bellman-Ford.)
- If there are negative cycles, nothing works
(Where do negative edges show up? Examples: modeling credit and debit, modeling flow of energy, etc.)


## Dijkstra's: negative edges

What's the shortest path now?


## Punchline:

Strategy 1: Analyze the code, like we've been doing all quarter
Strategy 2: Analyze the algorithm more holistically, like we did for DFS and BFS

Consider this (simplified) pseudocode. How do we analyze?

```
def dijkstra(start):
    for (v : vertices):
        set cost(v) to infinity
    set cost(start) to e
    while (me still have unvisited nodes):
            current - get next smallest node
            for (edge = current getOutEdges()):
                newCost = min(cost(current) + edge. cost, cost(edge.dest))
                update cost(edge.dest) to newCost, update backpointers, etc
    return backpointers dictionary
```

(Note: let $t_{s}$ be the time needed to get the next smallest node, and let $t_{u}$ be the time needed to update vertex costs. We'll treat these as unknowns for now.)

## Dijkstra's algorithm: analyzing runtime

Our runtime:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V| \cdot t_{s}+|V| \cdot|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

## Question:

Do we really need to update vertex costs $|V| \cdot|E|$ times?

```
while (we still have unvisited nodes):
    current = get next smallest node
    for (edge : current.getOutEdges()):
    newCost = min(cost(current) + edge.cost, cost(edge,dest))
    update cost(edge.dest) to newCost, update backpointers, etc
```


## Things we know:

- Initialization takes $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$ time
- The while loop repeats $|V|$ times
- The inner foreach loop repeats $|E|$ times (???)?
- The inner foreach loop does $\mathcal{O}\left(t_{u}\right)$ work per eiteration
- So while loop does $\mathcal{O}\left(t_{s}+|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)$ work per iteration

Final runtime:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V|+|V| \cdot\left(t_{s}+|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)\right)
$$

Distribute:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V|+|V| \cdot t_{s}+|V| \cdot|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

The lone $|V|$ is dominated by $|V| \cdot t_{s}$ :

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V| \cdot t_{s}+|V| \cdot|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

## Dijkstra's algorithm: analyzing runtime

while (we still have unvisited nodes):
current $=$ get next smallest made
for (edge : current. getOutEdges()):
nenCost $=\min (\cos t$ (current) + edge.cost, cost (edge.dest))
update cost(edge.dest) to nenCost, update backpointers, etc

## Observations about the foreach loop:

- We don't know how many times it runs per each iteration
- ...but we do know num times it runs across all iterations!

Original bound:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V| \cdot t_{\mathrm{s}}+|V| \cdot|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

We update at most once per edge - so, a tighter bound:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V| \cdot t_{s}+|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

Our runtime so far:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(|V| \cdot t_{s}+|E| \cdot t_{u}\right)
$$

Question: So, what exactly is $t_{s}$ and $t_{u}$ ?

Answer: Depends on how we store nodes and costs!

Dijkstra's algorithm: finding and updating nodes

Observation: there are two operations we care about: finding the node with the min cost, and given a node, updating its cost

## Ideas:

- Use a binary heaps: lets us find a node with min cost easily
- Use a dictionary: lets us update the value corresponding to a node easily

Exercise: fill out this table

| Data structure | Remove $\min \left(t_{s}\right)$ | Update $\operatorname{cost}\left(t_{u}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hash map | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|1\|)$ |
| Sorted array | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ |
| AVL tree | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ |
| Binary heap | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ |

The AVL version looks actually pretty reasonable

Another common approach: modify binary heaps so they can update the cost in $\mathcal{O}(\log (n))$ time (a "hybrid" binary heap):

- Two fields: the same heap internal array, and a hash table mapping vertices to their index in the array.
- Assumptions: each vertex is unique; we only decrease the cost
- Implementing removeMin:

Run the standard removeMin heap algorithm. As we swap nodes, add some extra code to keep the hash map up-to-date. This is still $\mathcal{O}(\log (n))$.

- Implementing updateCost: Use the hash map to get the index of the given node. Run percolateUp, updating the hash map as we go.
This is still $\mathcal{O}(\log (n))$.


## Dijkstra's algorithm: finding and updating nodes

| Data structure | removeMin $\left(t_{s}\right)$ | updateCost $\left(t_{u}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hash map | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|1\|)$ |
| Sorted array | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ |
| AVL tree | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ |
| Binary heap | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(\|V\|)$ |
| "Hybrid" binary heap | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ |
| Fibonacci heaps | $\mathcal{O}(\log (\|V\|))$ | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ |

Note: Fibonacci heaps are beyond the scope of this class

Dijkstra's algorithm: finding and updating nodes

Observation: Gosh, this all sounds exhausting
What if we replace the binary heap's call to updateCost with insert and just allow duplicates?

Runtime is now $\mathcal{O}((|V|+|E|) \log (|V|+|E|))$ - the analysis is left as an exercise to the reader.

So, less efficient, but easiest to implement.

