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## Warmup

Given a graph, assign each node one of two colors such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. (If it's impossible to color the graph this way, your algorithm should say so).

Solution: This algorithm is known as the 2-color algorithm. We can solve it by using any graph traversal algorithm, and alternating colors as we go from node to node.

## Goal: How do we traverse graphs?

Today's goal: how do we traverse graphs?
Idea 1: Just get a list of the vertices and loop over them
Problem: What if we want to traverse graphs following the edges?
For example, can we...

- Traverse a graph to find if there's a connection from one node to another?
- Determine if we can start from our node and touch every other node?
- Find the shortest path between two nodes?

Solution: Use graph traversal algorithms like breadth-first search and depth-first search

## Breadth-first search (BFS) example

search(v):
visited $=$ erpty set
queue. enqueue ( $v$ )
visited add(v)
while (queue is not empty):
curr - queue.dequeue()
for (il : v.neighthors()):
if ( N not in visited): queue enqueue $(w)$ visited.add(curr)


Current node: abdcefghi
Queue: a, b, d, c, e, f, g, h, i,
Visited: a, b, d, c, e, f, g, h, i,

## Breadth-first traversal, core idea:

1. Use something (e.g. a queue) to keep track of every vertex to visit
2. Add and remove nodes from queue until it's empty
3. Use a set to store nodes we don't want to recheck/revisit
4. Runtime:

- We visit each node once.
- For each node, check each edge to see if we should add to queue
- So we check each edge at most twice

So, $\mathcal{O}(|V|+2|E|)$, which simplifies to $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$.

```
Pseudocode:
    search(v):
        visited = erpty set
        queus, enqueue(v)
        visited add(v)
    uhile (queue is not empty)
            curr = queve.dequeue()
            for (M : v.neightors()):
            if (M not in visited);
                queve. enqueue(w)
                isited.add(curr)
```


## An interesting property...

Note: We visited the nodes in "rings" - maintained a gradually growing "frontier" of nodes.


## Depth-first search (DFS)

Question: Why a queue? Can we use other data structures?
Answer: Yes! Any kind of list-like thing that supports appends and removes works! For example, what if we try using a stack?


The DFS algorithm:
search(v):
visited empty set
stack.push(v)
visited.add(v)
while (stack is not enpty): curr - stack.pop() visited.add(curr)
for (k : v.neighbors()):
if (w not in visited)? stack. push(m) visited.add(v)

## Depth-first search (DFS)

Depth-first traversal, core idea:

1. Instead of using a queue, use a stack. Otherwise, keep everything the same.
2. Runtime: also $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$ for same reasons as BFS

## Pseudocode:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { search(v): } \\
& \text { visited = enpty set } \\
& \text { stack.push(v) } \\
& \text { visited.add(v) } \\
& \text { while (stack is not empty): } \\
& \text { curr }=\text { stack.pop }) \\
& \text { for (w : v.neighbors()): } \\
& \text { if (w not in visited): } \\
& \text { stack.push(w) } \\
& \text { visited.add(curr) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## An interesting property...

What does this look like for trees?


The algorithm traverses the width, or "breadth" of the tree

## Depth-first search (DFS) example

> search( () : vieivite
visited = erpty set
stack.push(v)
while (stack is not empty):
curr - stack.pop()
visited.add(curr)
for ( u : : v.neightors () ): if (w not in visited): stack.push(w)


Current node: adgihfecb
Stack: a, b, d, e, f, g, h, i, c,
Visited: a, b, d, e, f, g, h, i, e, c,

## An interesting property...

Note: Rather the growing the node in "rings", we randomly wandered through the graph until we got stuck, then "backtracked".


## An interesting property...

What does this look like for trees?


The algorithm traverses to the bottom first: it prioritizes the "depth" of the tree

Note: rest of algorithm omitted

## Compare and contrast

How much memory does BFS and DFS use in the average case?
Related question: how much memory do they use when we want to traverse a tree?

- BFS: $\mathcal{O}$ ("width" of tree) $=\mathcal{O}$ (num leaves)
- DFS: $\mathcal{O}$ (height)

For graphs:

- Use BFS if graph is "narrow", or if solution is "near" start
- Use DFS if graph is "wide"

In practice, graphs are often large/very wide, so DFS is often a good default choice. (It's also possible to implement DFS recursively!)

Question: When do we use BFS vs DFS?
Related question: How much memory does BFS and DFS use in the worst case?

- BFS: $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$ - what if every node is connected to the start?
- DFS: $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$ - what if the nodes are arranged like a linked list?

So, in the worst case, BFS and DFS both have the same worst-case runtime and memory usage.
They only differ in what order they visit the nodes.

## Design challenge

Question: How would you modify BFS to find the shortest path between every node?


Observation: Since BFS moves out in rings, we will reach the end node via the path of length 3 first.
Idea: when we enqueue, store where we came from in some way. (e.g. mark node, use a dictionary...)

After BFS is done, backtrack.

## Design challenge: pathfinding

## Design challenge: pathfinding

Question: What if the edges have weights?


## Weighted graph

A weighted graph is a kind of graph where each edge has a numerical "weight" associated with it.

This number can represent anything, but is often (but not always!) used to indicate the "cost" of traveling down that edge.

Pathfinding and DFS

We can use BFS to correctly find the shortest path between two nodes in an unweighted graph...
...but it fails if the graph is weighted!
We need a better algorithm.

## Today: Dijkstra's algorithm

## Dijkstra's algorithm

## Core idea:

1. Assign each node an initial cost of $\infty$
2. Set our starting node's cost to 0
3. Update all adjacent vertices costs to the minimum known cost
4. Mark the current node as being "done"
5. Pick the next unvisited node with the minimum cost. Go to step 3.

Metaphor: Treat edges as canals and edge weights as distance. Imagine opening a dam at the starting node. How long does it take for the water to reach each vertex?

Caveat: Dijkstra's algorithm only guaranteed to work for graphs with no negative edge weights.

Pronunciation: DYKE-struh ("dijk" rhymes with "bike")

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ":


## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ":


We initially assign all nodes a cost of infinity.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " a ":


Next, assign the starting node a cost of 0 .

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":


Next, update all adjacent node costs as well as the backpointers.

Dijkstra's algorithm
Dijkstra's algorithm
Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ".


We consider all adjacent nodes. $a$ is fixed, so we only need to update $e$. Note the new cost of $e$ is the sum of the weights for $a-c$ and $c-e$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":

$b$ is the next pending node with smallest cost.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":


The adjacent nodes are $c, e$, and $f$. The only node where we can update the cost is $f$. Note the route $a-b-e$ has the same cost as $a-c-e$, so there's no point in updating the backpointer to $e$. 21

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex " a ":


Both $d$ and $f$ have the same cost, so let's (arbitrarily) pick $d$ next. Note that we can't adjust any of our neighbors.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a"


Next up is $f$.

Dijkstra's algorithm
Dijkstra's algorithm
Suppose we start at vertex "a"

$h$ has the smallest cost now.

21

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a":


Next up is $g$.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a"


The two adjacent nodes are $f$ and e. $f$ is fixed so we leave it alone. We however will update $e$ : our current route is cheaper then the previous route, so we update both the cost and the backpointer.

## Dijkstra's algorithm

Suppose we start at vertex "a"


The last pending node is $e$. We visit it, and check for any unfixed adjacent nodes (there are none).

Suppose we start at vertex " $a$ ":


And we're done! Now, to find the shortest path, from a to a node, start at the end, trace the red arrows backwards, and reverse the list.

Some implementation details...

- How do we keep track of the node costs?
- Could use a dictionary
- Could manually mark each node
- How do we find the node with the smallest cost?
- Could maintain a sorted list
- Could use a heap!
- If we're using a heap, how do we update node costs?
- Could add a changeKeyPriority (. . .) method to heap
- Alternatively, add the node and the cost to the heap again (and ignore duplicates)


## Dijkstra's algorithm

## The pseudocode

def dijkstra(start):
backpointers - empty Dictionary of vertex to vertex
costs = Dictionary of vertex to double, initialized to infinity
visited $=$ empty Set
heap $=$ new Heap(Node with cost>();
heap.put([start, 0])
cost-put(start, B)
while (beap is not enpty):
current, currentCost - heap.renovellin()
skip if visited.contains(current), else visited.add(current)
for (edge = current-getOutEdges()):
skip if visited contains(edge.dest), else visited.add(edge.dest)
nenCast - currentCost + edge. cost
if (newCost > cost. get (edge. dest)):
cost.put(edge.dest, nemCost)
heap. insert ([edge.dest, newCost])
backpointers.put(edge.dest, current)
use backpointers dictionary to get path $\square$
$\square$


