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## Warmup

Consider an IntegerDictionary using separate chaining with an internal capacity of 10 . Assume our buckets are implemented using a linked list where we append new key-value pairs to the end.

Now, suppose we insert the following key-value pairs. What does the dictionary internally look like?

$$
(1, a),(5, b),(11, a),(7, d),(12, e),(17, f),(1, g),(25, h)
$$



Project 2 released, due Wed Jan 24

- Partner selection due Thursday

Can work with same partner or different one

- About project
- Bulk of project is spent implementing a hash table, using separate chaining
- Will need to add an iterator to ArrayDictionary and your hash table
- Implementing iterator for hash table may be tricky, don't leave it to the last moment


## Midterm

## Core details

Times:

- Midterm on Friday, Feb 2, in-class
- Will last 80 minutes ( $3: 30$ to $4: 50$ )

Review sessions

- Monday, Jan 29: Gowen 201, 4:30 to 6:30
- Tuesday, Jan 30: Gowen 201, 4:30 to 6:30


## Midterm

## Midterm topics

Full list of topics available on course website now. Summary:

- Basic data structures (stacks, queues, list)
- Asymptotic analysis, modeling code
- Trees (BSTs and AVL trees)
- Hash tables
- Systems and B-Trees (on a high-level)

Topics NOT covered on the midterm

- Finding the closed form of summations or recurrences
- Sorting
- Heaps
- Anything about Java (generics, interfaces, junit, eclipse, etc)


## Practice

- Past CSE 373 midterms available on course website
- Past sections
- Questions on written homework 1 are representative of what will appear on midterm


## Hash function

A hash function is a mapping from the key set $U$ to an integer.

Or, in other words, a function that turns the input into an integer in some way.

## Analyzing hash function

Exercise: let's convert a string into an integer.
What we have:

```
public class OurString (
        char[] chars:
        int size:
        // etc
)
Our goal:
int hashCode(str)
    // What goes here?
```


## Analyzing hash functions

In math: $h(s)=1$
In pseudocode:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int hashCode(str) } \\
& \text { return 1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Bad idea: Every string has same hash code! Everything collides! (But hey, at least it's fast...)

## Analyzing hash functions

In math: $h(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} s_{i}$
In pseudocode:

```
int hashCode(str)
    int out = 0
    for (char c : str:chars) (
        // Use ASCII value of char
            out +* c
    return out
```

Better but not ideal: Still too many collisions! Ex: "baker" and
"brake", and "break" all have same hash code!
Runtime: still pretty decent, relatively speaking
Insight: can we use character positions somehow?

## Analyzing hash functions

In math: $h(s)=2^{51} \cdot 3^{5_{1}} \cdot 5^{52} \cdot 7^{5_{1}} \cdot 11^{54} \cdot \ldots$
In pseudocode:

```
int hashCode(str)
    int out - 1
    for (char C str.chars)
        int nextPrime-get next prime number
        out *= Math.pow(nextPrime, c)
    return out
```

Not ideal: Hideously expensive, creates gigantic integers (But hey, at least every string maps to a unique int!)

## Hash functions

So, what does a good hash function look like?
Using hash functions inside dictionaries: useful properties
A hash function that is intended to be used for a dictionary should ideally have the following properties:

- Low collision rate:

The hash of two different inputs should usually be different. We want to minimize collisions as much as possible.

- Uniform distribution of outputs:

In Java, there are $2^{32} 32$-bit ints. So, the probability that the hash function returns any individual int should be $\frac{1}{2^{32}}$

- Low computational cost:

We will be computing the hash function a lot, so we need it to be very easy to compute.

## Analyzing hash functions

In math: $h(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{|s|-1} 31^{i} \cdot s_{j}$
In code:
int hashCode(str) int accun - 1
int out = 0
for (char c s.chars)
out +- accun
accun $*=31$
return out
Good idea: Uses both character values and positions.
Strikes good balance between efficiency and reducing collisions.
(Why use 31? People tried a bunch of different strategies, and this one seemed to work well "in practice")

## Client vs implementor

Who implements the hash function? The client, or the dictionary?

## Client responsibilities

- Responsible for implementing a "good" hash function.
- The hash function avoids "wasting" information in the key or the output bits while still being "fast".


## Dictionary/implementor responsibilities

- Responsible for calling the hash function
- Responsible for managing the internal array
- Responsible for keeping track of collisions


## A Java interlude...

So, how does this work in Java?
Every object has a default equals and hashCode implementation.
Override these two methods.

## Important invariants

When implementing hashCode, you MUST respect these invariants!

- IF you implement hashCode(...), THEN you MUST also implement equals(...)
- IF a. equals(b).

THEN you MUST make sure that a hashCode() $==$ b. hashCode()

## Handling multiple fields

What if an object has multiple fields?
General considerations:

- Trade-off: hashing time vs collision avoidance
- Are some fields redundant? Do you need to hash all of them?

Tips for creating hashes

- Use all 32 bits (including negative numbers!)
- Use different overlapping bits for different parts of the hash
- If keys are known ahead of time, choose a perfect hash
- Use expertise of others: consult books, have your IDE auto-generate a hash function...

Insight:
The majority of our time is spent handling collisions

Our strategy so far:

- Design a good hash function to minimize chance of collision
- If we do have a collision, store both in a "bucket"

Are there other strategies for storing collisions?
Yes: something called open addressing

## Open addressing

Open addressing is a kind of collision resolution strategy that resolves collisions by chosing a different location when the natural choice is full.

Open addressing: linear probing

Exercise: assume internal capacity of 10 , insert the following keys:

$$
1,5,11,7,12,17,6,25
$$



Open addressing: linear probing

## Strategy: Linear probing

If we collide, checking each next element until we find an open slot.
So, $h^{\prime}(k, i)=(h(k)+i) \bmod T$, where $T$ is the table size
$i=0$
while (index in use)
try (hash(key) + i) \& array. Iength
i + - 1

