

| Let's take a breath <br> - So far we've covered <br> - Some simple ADTs: stacks, queues, lists <br> - Some math (proof by induction) <br> - How to analyze algorithms <br> - Asymptotic notation (Big-O) <br> - Coming up.... <br> - Many more ADTs <br> - Starting with dictionaries |  |
| :---: | :---: |
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The Dictionary (a.k.a. Map) ADT


Simple implementations

| For dictionary with $n$ key/value pairs |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | insert <br> $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{1})^{*}$ | find <br> $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ | delete <br> $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ |
| - Unsorted linked-list | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{1})^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ |
| - Unsorted array | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ |
| - Sorted linked list | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \mathbf{n})$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(\mathbf{n})$ |

* Unless we need to check for duplicates

We'll see a Binary Search Tree (BST) probably does better but not in the worst case (unless we keep it balanced) CSE 373 Autumn 2016

Lazy Deletion

| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

A general technique for making delete as fast as find

- Instead of actually removing the item just mark it deleted

Plusses:

- Simpler
- Can do removals later in batches
- If re-added soon thereafter, just unmark the deletion

Minuses

- Extra space for the "is-it-deleted" flag
- Data structure full of deleted nodes wastes space
- May complicate other operations


## Better dictionary data structures

There are many good data structures for (large) dictionaries

1. Binary trees
2. AVL trees

- Binary search trees with guaranteed balancing

3. B-Trees

- Also always balanced, but different and shallower
- B-Trees are not the same as Binary Trees
- B-Trees generally have large branching factor

4. Hash Tables

- Not tree-like at all

Skipping: Other balanced trees (e.g., red-black, splay)


## Kinds of trees

Certain terms define trees with specific structure

- Binary tree: Each node has at most 2 children (branching factor 2)
- $n$-ary tree: Each node has at most $n$ children (branching factor $n$ )
- Perfect tree: Each row completely full
- Complete tree: Each row completely full except maybe the bottom row, which is filled from left to right


What is the height of a perfect binary tree with $n$ nodes? $\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor$ A complete binary tree?

## Binary Trees

- Binary tree: Each node has at most 2 children (branching factor 2)
- Binary tree is
- A root (with data)
- A left subtree that's a binary tree
- A right subtree that's a binary tree
- These subtrees may be empty.
- Representation:

For a dictionary, data will include a
key and a value
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Binary Tree Representation


## Binary Trees: Some Numbers

Recall: height of a tree = longest path from root to leaf (count edges)

For binary tree of height $h$ :

- max \# of leaves: $2^{h}$
- max \# of nodes: $2^{(h+1)}-1$
- min \# of leaves: 1
- min \# of nodes: $\boldsymbol{h}+\boldsymbol{1}$

For $n$ nodes, we cannot do better than $O(\log n)$ height and we want to avoid $O(n)$ height

## Calculating height

What is the height of a tree with root root? int treeHeight (Node root) \{
???
\}

## More on traversals

```
void inOrderTraversal (Node t) {
    if(t != null) {
        inOrderTraversal(t.left);
        process(t.element);
        inOrderTraversal(t.right);
    }
}
```



A = current node$=$ processing (on the call stack)

A = completed node $\checkmark=$ element has been processed


## More on traversals

```
void inOrderTraversal (Node t) {
    if(t != null) {
        inOrderTraversal(t.left);
        process(t.element);
        inOrderTraversal(t.right);
    }
```

\}

void inOrderTraversal (Node t) {
void inOrderTraversal (Node t) {
if(t != null) {
if(t != null) {
inOrderTraversal(t.left);
inOrderTraversal(t.left);
process(t.element);
process(t.element);
inOrderTraversal(t.right);
inOrderTraversal(t.right);
}
}
}
}

## More on traversals

void inOrderTraversal (Node $t$ ) \{
if(t != null) \{
inOrderTraversal(t.left);
process (t.element) ;
inOrderTraversal(t.right);
\}
\}


A = current node A = processing (on the call stack)
A = completed node $\checkmark=$ element has been processed


## More on traversals

```
void inOrderTraversal (Node t) {
    if(t != null) {
        inOrderTraversal(t.left);
        process(t.element);
        inOrderTraversal(t.right);
    }
}
```




## More on traversals

void inOrderTraversal (Node t) \{
if(t != null) \{
inOrderTraversal (t.left) ; process(t.element);
inOrderTraversal(t.right);
\}
\}


A = current node A = processing (on the call stack)
A $=$ completed node $\checkmark=$ element has been processed


Preorder Exercise


