## Lecture 27: The Grand Finale

$\rightarrow$ Agenda for the final class:
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{NP}$, and NP-completeness
$\Rightarrow$ The NP $=$ ? P problem

- Major extra-credit problem
$\Rightarrow$ Final Review
- Summary of what you've learned in this course
$\Rightarrow$ End-of-quarter M.o.M. party
- Munch on munchies as you leave...


## From Last Time: The "complexity" class P

- The set P is defined as the set of all problems that can be solved in polynomial worse case time
$\Rightarrow$ Also known as the polynomial time complexity class contains problems whose time complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ for some k
- Examples of problems in P: searching, sorting, topological sort, single-source shortest path, Euler circuit, etc.


## The "complexity" class NP

$\rightarrow$ Definition: NP is the set of all problems for which a given candidate solution can be tested in polynomial time
$\Rightarrow$ Suppose someone gives you a solution - can it be tested in polynomial time? (testing is easier than solving it)

- Example of a problem in NP:
$\Rightarrow$ Our new friend, the Hamiltonian circuit problem: Why is it in NP?
- Given a candidate path, can test in linear time if it is a Hamiltonian circuit - just check if all vertices are visited exactly once in the candidate path (except start/finish vertex)


## Why NP?

- NP stands for Nondeterministic Polynomial time
$\Rightarrow$ Why "nondeterministic"? Corresponds to algorithms that can search all possible solutions in parallel and pick the correct one $\rightarrow$ each solution can be checked in polynomial time
$\Rightarrow$ Nondeterministic algorithms don't exist - purely theoretical idea invented to understand how hard a problem could be
- Examples of problems in NP:
$\Rightarrow$ Hamiltonian circuit: Given a candidate path, can test in linear time if it is a Hamiltonian circuit
$\Rightarrow$ Sorting: Can test in linear time if a candidate ordering is sorted
$\Rightarrow$ Sorting is also in P. Are any other problems in P also in NP?


## More revelations about NP

- Sorting is in P. Are any other problems in P also in NP?
$\Rightarrow$ YES! All problems in P are also in $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \subseteq \mathrm{NP}$
- If you can solve a problem in polynomial time, can definitely verify a solution in polynomial time
$\checkmark$ Question: Are all problems in NP also in P?
$\Rightarrow$ Is $\mathrm{NP} \subseteq \mathrm{P}$ ?


## Your chance to win a Turing award: $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ ?

- Nobody knows whether $\mathrm{NP} \subseteq \mathrm{P}$
$\Rightarrow$ Proving or disproving this will bring you instant fame!
$\uparrow$ It is generally believed that $\mathrm{P} \neq \mathrm{NP}$ i.e. there are problems in NP that are not in P
$\Rightarrow$ But no one has been able to show even one such problem
$\uparrow$ A very large number of problems are in NP (such as the Hamiltonian circuit problem)
$\Rightarrow$ No one has found fast (polynomial time) algorithms for these problems
$\Rightarrow$ On the other hand, no one has been able to prove such algorithms don't exist (i.e. that these problems are not in P)!


## NP-complete problems

- The "hardest" problems in NP are called NP-complete (NPC) problems
- Why "hardest"? A problem X is NP-complete if:

1. X is in NP and
2. any problem Y in NP can be converted to X in polynomial time, such that solving $X$ also provides a solution for $Y \rightarrow$ Can use algorithm for X as a subroutine to solve Y

- Thus, if you find a poly time algorithm for just one NPC problem, all problems in NP can be solved in poly time
- Example: The Hamiltonian circuit problem can be shown to be NP-complete (not so easy to prove!)
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## P, NP, and Exponential Time Problems

- All algorithms for NPcomplete problems so far have tended to run in nearly exponential worst case time
$\Rightarrow$ But this doesn't mean fast sub-exponential time algorithms don't exist! Not proven yet...
- Diagram depicts relationship between P, NP, and EXPTIME (class of problems that require exponential time to solve)


It is believed that P $\neq \mathrm{NP} \neq$ EXPTIME

## The "graph" of NP-completeness

- Cook first showed (in 1971) that satisfiability of Boolean formulas (SAT) is NP-complete
$\checkmark$ Hundreds of other problems (from scheduling and databases to optimization theory) have since been shown to be NPC
- How? By showing an algorithm that converts a known NPC problem to your pet problem in poly time $\rightarrow$ then, your problem is also NPC!



## Showing NP-completeness: An example

$\uparrow$ Consider the Traveling Salesperson (TSP) Problem:
Given a fully connected, weighted graph $G=(V, E)$, is there a cycle that visits all vertices exactly once and has total cost $\leq \mathrm{K}$ ?

- TSP is in NP (why?)
- Can we show TSP is NPcomplete?
$\Rightarrow$ Hamiltonian Circuit (HC) is NPC
$\Rightarrow$ Can show TSP is also NPC if we can convert any input for HC to an input for TSP in poly time
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Input for HC

Convert to input for TSP

## TSP is NP-complete!

- We can show TSP is also NPC if we can convert any input for HC to an input for TSP in poly time. Here's one way:


This graph has a Hamiltonian circuit iff this fully-connected graph has a TSP cycle of total cost $\leq K$, where $\mathrm{K}=|V|$ (here, $\mathrm{K}=5$ )

## Coping with NP-completeness

- Given that it is difficult to find fast algorithms for NPC problems, what do we do?
- Alternatives:

1. Dynamic programming: Avoid repeatedly solving the same subproblem - use table to store results (see Chap. 10)
2. Settle for algorithms that are fast on average: Worst case still takes exponential time, but doesn't occur very often
3. Settle for fast algorithms that give near-optimal solutions: In TSP, may not give the cheapest tour, but maybe good enough
4. Try to get a "wimpy exponential" time algorithm: It's okay if running time is $\mathrm{O}\left(1.00001^{\mathrm{N}}\right)-$ bad only for $\mathrm{N}>1,000,000$

## Yawn...What does all this have to do with data structures and programming?

- Top 5 reasons to know and understand NP-completeness:

5. What if there's an NP-completeness question in the final?
6. When you are having a tough time programming a fast algorithm for a problem, you could show it is NP-complete
7. When you are having a tough time programming a fast algorithm for a problem, you could just say it is NPC (and many will believe you (yes, it's a sad state of affairs))
8. When you are at a cocktail party, you can impress your friends with your profound knowledge of NP-completeness
9. Make money with new T-shirt slogan: "And God said: $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ "

## Final Review

("We've covered way too much in this course...
What do I really need to know?")

## Final Review: What you need to know

- Basic Math
$\Rightarrow$ Logs, exponents, summation of series
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} i=\frac{N(N+1)}{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ Proof by induction
- Asymptotic Analysis $\sum_{i=0}^{N} A^{i}=\frac{A^{N+1}-1}{A-1}$
$\Rightarrow$ Big-oh, little-oh, Theta and Omega
$\Leftrightarrow$ Know the definitions and how to show $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{N})$ is big-oh/littleoh/Theta/Omega of ( $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{N})$ )
$\Rightarrow$ How to estimate Running Time of code fragments - E.g. nested "for" loops
- Recurrence Relations
$\Rightarrow$ Deriving recurrence relation for run time of a recursive function
$\Rightarrow$ Solving recurrence relations by expansion to get run time

Final Review: What you need to know

- Lists, Stacks, Queues
$\Rightarrow$ Brush up on ADT operations - Insert/Delete, Push/Pop etc.
$\Rightarrow$ Array versus pointer implementations of each data structure
$\Rightarrow$ Header nodes, circular, doubly linked lists
- Trees
$\Leftrightarrow$ Definitions/Terminology: root, parent, child, height, depth etc.
$\Rightarrow$ Relationship between depth and size of tree
- Depth can be between $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$ and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ for N nodes


## Final Review: What you need to know

$\rightarrow$ Binary Search Trees
$\Rightarrow$ How to do Find, Insert, Delete

- Bad worst case performance - could take up to $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ time $\Rightarrow$ AVL trees
- Balance factor is $+1,0,-1$
- Know single and double rotations to keep tree balanced
- All operations are $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$ worst case time
$\Rightarrow$ Splay trees - good amortized performance
- A single operation may take $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ time but in a sequence of operations, average time per operation is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$
- Every Find, Insert, Delete causes accessed node to be moved to the root
"Know how to zig-zig, zig-zag, etc. to "bubble" node to top $\Rightarrow$ B-trees: Know basic idea behind Insert/Delete


## Final Review: What you need to know

$\rightarrow$ Priority Queues
$\Rightarrow$ Binary Heaps: Insert/DeleteMin, Percolate up/down

- Array implementation
- BuildHeap takes only $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ time (used in heapsort)
$\Rightarrow$ Binomial Queues: Forest of binomial trees with heap order
- Merge is fast $-\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$ time
- Insert and DeleteMin based on Merge
- Hashing
$\Rightarrow$ Hash functions based on the mod function
$\Rightarrow$ Collision resolution strategies
(Chaining, Linear and Quadratic probing, Double Hashing
$\Rightarrow$ Load factor of a hash table


## Final Review: What you need to know

$\rightarrow$ Sorting Algorithms: Know run times and how they work
$\Rightarrow$ Elementary sorting algorithms and their run time

- Bubble sort, Selection sort, Insertion sort
$\Rightarrow$ Shellsort - based on several passes of Insertion sort
Increment Sequence
$\Rightarrow$ Heapsort - based on binary heaps (max-heaps)
BuildHeap and repeated DeleteMax's
$\Rightarrow$ Mergesort - recursive divide-and-conquer, uses extra array
$\Rightarrow$ Quicksort - recursive divide-and-conquer, Partition in-place
- fastest in practice, but $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$ worst case time
- Pivot selection - median-of-three works best
$\Rightarrow$ Know which of these are stable and in-place
$\Rightarrow$ Lower bound on sorting, bucket sort, and radix sort


## Final Review: What you need to know

- Disjoint Sets and Union-Find
$\Rightarrow$ Up-trees and their array-based implementation
$\Rightarrow$ Know how Union-by-size and Path compression work
$\Rightarrow$ No need to know run time analysis - just know the result:
- Sequence of M operations with Union-by-size and P.C. is $\Theta(\mathrm{M} \alpha(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}))$ - basically $\Theta(1)$ amortized time per op
- Graph Algorithms
$\Rightarrow$ Adjacency matrix versus adjacency list representation of graphs
$\Rightarrow$ Know how to Topological sort in $\mathrm{O}(|V|+|E|)$ time using a queue
$\Rightarrow$ Breadth First Search (BFS) for unweighted shortest path


## Final Review: What you need to know

- Graph Algorithms (cont.)
$\Rightarrow$ Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm - greed works!
- Know how a priority queue can speed up the algorithm
$\Rightarrow$ Depth First Search (DFS)
$\Rightarrow$ Minimum Spanning trees: Know the 2 greedy algorithms
- Prim's algorithm - similar to Dijkstra's algorithm
- Kruskal's algorithm
- Know how it uses a priority queue and Union/Find
- Euler versus Hamiltonian circuits - difference in run times
- Know what P, NP, and NP-completeness mean
- How one problem can be "reduced" to another (e.g. input to HC can be transformed into input for TSP)


## Final Exam:

Where: This room
When: Wednesday, June 6, 2:30-4:20pm
To Do:
Go over sample final exam on web site
Prepare, prepare, prepare (for the final)
Have a great summer!

