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Goals for today

- Describe where the class fits in the CSE structure
- Cover some mechanical details
- Introduce the class
  - Who am I?
  - Who are you?
  - How would I take this class?
- Discuss broad themes of the class
CSE351’s role in new CSE Curriculum

- Pre-requisites
  - 142 and 143: Intro Programming I and II

- One of 6 core courses
  - 311: Foundations I
  - 312: Foundations II
  - 331: SW Design and Implementation
  - 332: Data Abstractions
  - 351: HW/SW Interface
  - 352: HW Design and Implementation

- 351 sets the context for many follow-on courses
CSE351’s place in new CSE Curriculum

The HW/SW Interface
Underlying principles linking hardware and software
Course Perspective

- Most systems courses are Builder-Centric
  - Computer Architecture
    - Design pipelined processor in Verilog
  - Operating Systems
    - Implement large portions of operating system
  - Compilers
    - Write compiler for simple language
  - Networking
    - Implement and simulate network protocols
Course Perspective (Cont.)

- **This course is Programmer–Centric**
  - Purpose is to show how software really works
  - By understanding the underlying system, one can be more effective as a programmer
    - Better debugging
    - Better basis for evaluating performance
    - How multiple activities work in concert (e.g., OS and user programs)
  - Not just a course for dedicated hackers
    - What every CSE major needs to know
  - Provide a context in which to place the other CSE courses you’ll take
Textbooks

- **Computer Systems: A Programmer’s Perspective, 2nd Edition**
  - Randal E. Bryant and David R. O’Hallaron
  - Prentice-Hall, 2010
  - [http://csapp.cs.cmu.edu](http://csapp.cs.cmu.edu)
  - This book really matters for the course!
    - How to solve labs
    - Practice problems typical of exam problems

- **A good C book.**
  - C: A Reference Manual (Harbison and Steele)
  - The C Programming Language (Kernighan and Ritchie)
Course Components

- **Lectures (~30)**
  - Higher-level concepts – I’ll assume you’ve done the reading in the text

- **Sections (~10)**
  - Applied concepts, important tools and skills for labs, clarification of lectures, exam review and preparation

- **Written assignments (4)**
  - Problems from text to solidify understanding

- **Labs (4 or 5)**
  - Provide in-depth understanding (via practice) of an aspect of systems

- **Exams (midterm + final)**
  - Test your understanding of concepts and principles
Class Cancelations

- Definite: Jan 18th & 20th – @ NSF
- Possible (but unlikely): Feb 1st & 3rd – @SIGMETRICS PC
Resources

- **Course Web Page**
  - [http://www.cse.washington.edu/351](http://www.cse.washington.edu/351)
  - Copies of lectures, assignments, exams

- **Course Discussion Board**
  - Keep in touch outside of class – help each other
  - Staff will monitor and contribute

- **Course Mailing List**
  - Low traffic – mostly announcements; you are already subscribed

- **Staff email**
  - Things that are not appropriate for discussion board or better offline

- **Anonymous Feedback (will be linked from homepage)**
  - Any comments about anything related to the course where you would feel better not attaching your name
Policies: Grading

- Exams: weighted 1/3 (midterm), 2/3 (final)
- Written assignments: weighted according to effort
  - We’ll try to make these about the same
- Labs assignments: weighted according to effort
  - These will likely increase in weight as the quarter progresses

- Grading:
  - 25% written assignments
  - 35% lab assignments
  - 40% exams

- You may turn in up to 2 assignments 3 days late throughout the quarter IF you compose an excuse in the form of Shakespearian sonnet and send it to the TA’s and myself ON OR BEFORE the due date. Witty sonnets are preferred. Sonnets are (anonymously) posted on the class webpage.
Taking 351

- **How to succeed:**
  - You should follow your own best learning style, but my recommendation would be:
    - Attend lecture and pay attention (Facebook can wait)
    - Don’t take notes, the slides will be posted
    - Read the book ahead of time ... or at least read it at pace
      - (I confess I rarely read ahead of time myself)
    - Do each assignment well
      - Unlike Neoclassical Carpet Design, in CSE is a major where you often can know if you got it right before handing anything in
    - Continuously assess what you don’t know or are confused about and ask for help!

- **How to fail:**
  - Don’t attend class, don’t pay attention, don’t read, start assignments late, do them poorly, don’t figure out what you don’t know, don’t ask for help until you receive a failing exam score, etc, etc

- **How to really fail:**
  - Cheat
Welcome to CSE351!

- Let’s have fun
- Let’s learn – together
- Let’s communicate

- I’ve never taught with slides before, so this is going to be a learning experience for me as well
  - Seriously. I’m a blackboard/discussion style teacher
- Many thanks to the many instructors who have shared their lecture notes – I will be borrowing liberally through the qtr – they deserve all the credit, the errors are all mine
  - UW: Luis Ceze (Fall 2011), Gaetano Borriello (Spring 2010)
  - CMU: Randy Bryant, David O’Halloran, Gregory Kesden, Markus Püschel
  - Harvard: Matt Welsh
  - UW: Tom Anderson, John Zahorjan
Who is Mark?

Grew up in socal, so I talk weird. Can’t spel, or form a grammatically correct sentence (I have no idea what an adverb is).
I am bad with names, but I will try!
When my daughter (Sky -- see photo) isn’t consuming every bit of my free (and not so free) time, I spend a lot of time on the water and my motorcycle.
Joined UW faculty in 2001
Nominally I do computer architecture
Been on leave for 3 years founding a startup
Just coming back... ...and everything has changed..
351 is just as new to me as it is to you!
Who are you?

- 70+ students

- What is hardware? Software?
  - More important question: Why is the boundary where it is?

- What is an interface?

- Why do we need a hardware/software interface?

- Who has written programs in assembly before?
SPEAK

This class will be drudgery for all if you stay silent

.... and that means everyone. Yes, even you in the back row.
Take a deep breath

- ... and purge java from your brain
  - it was corrupting your mind anyway
Take a deep breath

- ... and purge java from your brain
  - it was corrupting your mind anyway
- But in all seriousness:
  - java, and other HLL (python, etc) are great and most production code is written in them these days
  - Developers $$ >> $$ Cycles $$
  - A lot of code just doesn’t have to run fast... until it does, and then HLL’s spawn work (witness: FaceBook, AMZN, etc)
- But I digress...
  - This course is about how machines actually work
  - C has been called a “high level assembly language” as it’s semantics closely mirror the underlying hardware.
C vs. Assembler vs. Machine Programs

if ( x != 0 ) y = (y+z) / x;

```assembly
    cmpl    $0, -4(%ebp)
    je   .L2
    movl   -12(%ebp), %eax
    movl   -8(%ebp), %edx
    leal   (%edx,%eax), %eax
    movl   %eax, %edx
    sarl   $31, %edx
    idivl  -4(%ebp)
    movl   %eax, -8(%ebp)
    .L2:
```

- The three program fragments are equivalent
- You'd rather write C!
- The hardware likes bit strings!
  - The machine instructions are actually much shorter than the bits required to represent the characters of the assembler code
HW/SW Interface: The Historical Perspective

• Hardware started out quite primitive
  • Design was expensive ⇒ the instruction set was very simple
    − E.g., a single instruction can add two integers
• Software was also very primitive
HW/SW Interface: Assemblers

- Life was made a lot better by assemblers
  - 1 assembly instruction = 1 machine instruction (more or less), but...
  - different syntax: assembly instructions are character strings, not bit strings

Assembler specification
HW/SW Interface: Higher Level Languages (HLL's)

- Higher level of abstraction:
  - 1 HLL line is compiled into many (many) assembler lines

C language specification
HW/SW Interface: An even higher Level

User Program in java/python/etc

Compiler/Interpreter

Abstract assembly

Interpreter/JIT

Hardware
Note: The compiler and assembler are just programs, developed using this same process. In fact, it is generally considered important that a C compiler can compile it's self (self-hosting it is called). (Existential question: but who compiles it the first time???)
Themes

Big and little
Four important realities
3 Fused Concepts

- The HW/SW Interface
  - Often called the “Architecture”

- The HW Implementation
  - Often called the “Microarchitecture”

- The SW stack

- We will endeavor to clearly separate these concepts in this class, however, it is not always possible.
The Big Theme

- THE HARDWARE/SOFTWARE INTERFACE
- How does the hardware (0s and 1s, processor executing instructions) relate to the software?
- Computing is about abstractions (but don’t forget reality)
  - What are the abstractions that we use?
  - What do YOU need to know about them?
    - When do they break down and you have to peek under the hood?
    - What bugs can they cause and how do you find them?
- Become a better programmer and begin to understand the thought processes that go into building computer systems
Little Theme 1: Representation

- All digital systems represent everything as 0s and 1s (today)
- Everything includes:
  - Numbers – integers and floating point
  - Characters – the building blocks of strings
  - Instructions – the directives to the CPU that make up a program
  - Pointers – addresses of data objects in memory
- These encodings are stored in registers, caches, memories, disks, etc.
- They all need addresses
  - A way to find them
  - Find a new place to put a new item
  - Reclaim the place in memory when data no longer needed
Little Theme 2: Translation

- There is a big gap between how we think about programs and data and the 0s and 1s of computers
- Need languages to describe what we mean
- Languages need to be translated one step at a time
  - Word-by-word
  - Phrase structures
  - Grammar
- **We know Java as a programming language**
  - Have to work our way down to the 0s and 1s of computers
  - Try not to lose anything in translation!
  - We’ll encounter Java byte-codes, C language, assembly language, and machine code (for the X86 family of CPU architectures)
Little Theme 3: Control Flow

- How do computers orchestrate the many things they are doing – seemingly in parallel
- What do we have to keep track of when we call a method, and then another, and then another, and so on
- How do we know what to do upon “return”
- User programs and operating systems
  - Multiple user programs
  - Operating system has to orchestrate them all
    - Each gets a share of computing cycles
    - They may need to share system resources (memory, I/O, disks)
  - Yielding and taking control of the processor
    - Voluntary or by force?
Course Outcomes

- Foundation: basics of high-level programming
- Understanding of some of the abstractions that exist between programs and the hardware they run on, why they exist, and how they build upon each other
- Knowledge of some of the details of underlying implementations
- Become more effective programmers
  - More efficient at finding and eliminating bugs
  - Understand the many factors that influence program performance
  - Facility with some of the many languages that we use to describe programs and data
- Prepare for later classes in CSE
Reality 1: Ints ≠ Integers & Floats ≠ Reals

- Representations are finite
- Example 1: Is \( x^2 \geq 0? \)
  - Floats: Yes!
  - Ints:
    - \( 40000 \times 40000 \rightarrow 1600000000 \)
    - \( 50000 \times 50000 \rightarrow ?? \)
- Example 2: Is \( (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)? \)
  - Unsigned & Signed Ints: Yes!
  - Floats:
    - \( (1e20 + -1e20) + 3.14 \rightarrow 3.14 \)
    - \( 1e20 + (-1e20 + 3.14) \rightarrow ?? \)

Odd factoid: if computers could do infinite precision arithmetic in P time, then P = NP
Code Security Example

- Similar to code found in FreeBSD’s implementation of getpeername
- There are legions of smart people trying to find vulnerabilities in programs. They have more time than you and our well motivated. Your only hope is careful thought and discipline.
Typical Usage

/* Kernel memory region holding user-accessible data */
#define KSIZE 1024
char kbuf[KSIZE]; int len = KSIZE;

/* Copy at most maxlen bytes from kernel region to user buffer */
int copy_from_kernel(void *user_dest, int maxlen) {
    /* Byte count len is minimum of buffer size and maxlen */
    if (KSIZE > maxlen) len = maxlen;
    memcpy(user_dest, kbuf, len);
    return len;
}

#define MSIZE 528

void getstuff() {
    char mybuf[MSIZE];
    copy_from_kernel(mybuf, MSIZE);
    printf("%s\n", mybuf);
}
Malicious Usage

/* Kernel memory region holding user-accessible data */
#define KSIZE 1024
char kbuf[KSIZE]; int len = KSIZE;

/* Copy at most maxlen bytes from kernel region to user buffer */
int copy_from_kernel(void *user_dest, int maxlen) {
    /* Byte count len is minimum of buffer size and maxlen */
    if (KSIZE > maxlen) len = maxlen;
    memcpy(user_dest, kbuf, len);
    return len;
}

#define MSIZE 528

void getstuff() {
    char mybuf[MSIZE];
    copy_from_kernel(mybuf, -MSIZE);
    ...}

Reality #2: You’ve Got to Know Assembly

- Why? Because we want you to suffer? 😊
  - Builds character (“Redeem yourself Mr. Oskin!!!”)

- It is not easy
  - We choose to understand assembly, ... we choose to understand assembly, not because it is easy, but because it is hard, because understanding how to reason about systems requires the best of our energies and our skills, because that challenge is one you are forced to accept as a CSE major, one you may wish to postpone but cannot, and one that you will win, and others will win too.
Reality #2: You’ve Got to Know Assembly

- Chances are, you’ll never write a program in assembly code
  - Compilers are much better and more patient than you are
  - But odds are you will want to (or should want to) because the coolest projects often require that level of thinking

- Nevertheless: Understanding assembly is the key to the machine-level execution model
  - Behavior of programs in presence of bugs... or just poorly documented behavior
    - High-level language model breaks down
  - Tuning program performance
    - Understand optimizations done/not done by the compiler
    - Understanding sources of program inefficiency
  - Implementing system software
    - Operating systems must manage process state
  - Creating / fighting malware
  - x86 assembly is the language of choice
  - Use special thingees inside processor!
Assembly Code Example

- Time Stamp Counter
  - Special 64-bit register in Intel-compatible machines
  - Incremented every clock cycle
  - Read with rdtsc instruction

- Application
  - Measure time (in clock cycles) required by procedure

```c
double t;
start_counter();
P();
t = get_counter();
printf("P required %f clock cycles\n", t);
```
Code to Read Counter

- **Write small amount of assembly code using GCC’s asm facility**
- **Inserts assembly code into machine code generated by compiler**

```c
/* Set *hi and *lo to the high and low order bits of the cycle counter. */
void access_counter(unsigned *hi, unsigned *lo)
{
    asm("rdtsc; movl %edx,%0; movl %eax,%1"
        : "=r" (*hi), "=r" (*lo) /* output */
        : "%edx", "%eax" /* input */
        : /* clobbered */
}
```
Reality #3: Memory Matters

- Ehmm, what is memory?
Reality #3: Memory Matters

- Memory is not unbounded
  - It must be allocated and managed
  - Many applications are memory-dominated

- Memory referencing bugs are especially pernicious
  - Effects are distant in both time and space

- Memory performance is not uniform
  - Cache and virtual memory effects can greatly affect program performance
  - Adapting program to characteristics of memory system can lead to major speed improvements
Memory Referencing Bug Example

double fun(int i)
{
    volatile double d[1] = {3.14};
    volatile long int a[2];
    a[i] = 1073741824;
    return d[0];
}

fun(0)  ->  3.14
fun(1)  ->  3.14
fun(2)  ->  3.1399998664856
fun(3)  ->  2.00000061035156
fun(4)  ->  3.14, then segmentation fault
Memory Referencing Bug Example

double fun(int i)
{
    volatile double d[1] = {3.14};
    volatile long int a[2];
    a[i] = 1073741824; /* Possibly out of bounds */
    return d[0];
}

fun(0)  ->  3.14
fun(1)  ->  3.14
fun(2)  ->  3.1399998664856
fun(3)  ->  2.00000061035156
fun(4)  ->  3.14, then segmentation fault

Explanation:

Saved State

|  d7 ... d4 |
|  d3 ... d0 |
|     a[1]   |
|     a[0]   |

Location accessed by fun(i)
Memory Referencing Errors

- C (and C++) do not provide any memory protection
  - Out of bounds array references
  - Invalid pointer values
  - Abuses of malloc/free

- Can lead to nasty bugs
  - Whether or not bug has any effect depends on system and compiler
  - Action at a distance
    - Corrupted object logically unrelated to one being accessed
    - Effect of bug may be first observed long after it is generated

- How can I deal with this?
  - Discipline, discipline, discipline / use good design principles
  - Program in Java (or C#, or ML, or …)
  - Understand what possible interactions may occur
  - Use or develop tools to detect referencing errors
Hierarchical memory organization
- Performance depends on access patterns
  - Including how program steps through multi-dimensional array

```c
void copyji(int src[2048][2048],
            int dst[2048][2048])
{
    int i,j;
    for (j = 0; j < 2048; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < 2048; i++)
            dst[i][j] = src[i][j];
}

void copyij(int src[2048][2048],
            int dst[2048][2048])
{
    int i,j;
    for (i = 0; i < 2048; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < 2048; j++)
            dst[i][j] = src[i][j];
}
```

21 times slower (Pentium 4)
Reality #4: Performance isn’t counting ops

- Can you tell how fast a program is just by looking at the code?
Reality #4: Performance isn’t counting ops

- Exact op count does not predict performance
  - Easily see 10:1 performance range depending on how code written
  - Must optimize at multiple levels: algorithm, data representations, procedures, and loops

- Must understand system to optimize performance
  - How programs compiled and executed
  - How memory system is organized
  - How to measure program performance and identify bottlenecks
  - How to improve performance without destroying code modularity and generality
Example Matrix Multiplication

- Standard desktop computer, vendor compiler, using optimization flags
- Both implementations have exactly the same operations count \((2n^3)\)

Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (MMM) on 2 x Core 2 Duo 3 GHz (double precision)

- Triple loop
- 160x speedup
- Best code (K. Goto)
Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (MMM) on 2 x Core

- **Multiple threads: 4x**
- **Vector instructions: 4x**
- **Memory hierarchy and other optimizations: 20x**

**Reason for 20x:**
- blocking or tiling
- loop unrolling
- array scalarization
- instruction scheduling
- search to find best choice

**Effect:**
- less register spills
- less L1/L2 cache misses
- less TLB misses