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Dynamic dispatch

Dynamic dispatch

– Also known as *late binding* or *virtual methods*

– Call `self.m2()` in method `m1` defined in class `C` can *resolve to* a method `m2` defined in a subclass of `C`

– Most unique characteristic of OOP

Need to define the semantics of *method lookup* as carefully as we defined *variable lookup* for our PLs
Review: variable lookup

Rules for “looking things up” is a key part of PL semantics

• ML: Look up variables in the appropriate environment
  – Lexical scope for closures
  – Field names (for records) are different: not variables

• Racket: Like ML plus let, letrec

• Ruby:
  – Local variables and blocks mostly like ML and Racket
  – But also have instance variables, class variables, methods (all more like record fields)
    • Look up in terms of self, which is special
Using self

- **self** maps to some “current” object
- Look up instance variable `@x` using object bound to **self**
- Look up class variables `@@x` using object bound to **self.class**
- Look up methods...
Ruby method lookup

The semantics for method calls also known as message sends

\[ e_0.m(e_1, ..., e_n) \]

1. Evaluate \( e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n \) to objects \( obj_0, obj_1, \ldots, obj_n \)
   - As usual, may involve looking up \texttt{self}, variables, fields, etc.
2. Let \( C \) be the class of \( obj_0 \) (every object has a class)
3. If \( m \) is defined in \( C \), pick that method, else recur with the superclass of \( C \) unless \( C \) is already \texttt{Object}
   - If no \( m \) is found, call \texttt{method_missing} instead
      - Definition of \texttt{method_missing} in \texttt{Object} raises an error
4. Evaluate body of method picked:
   - With formal arguments bound to \( obj_1, \ldots, obj_n \)
   - With \texttt{self} bound to \( obj_0 \) -- this implements dynamic dispatch!

Note: Step (3) complicated by \textit{mixins}: will revise definition later
Punch-line again

e0.m(e1,...,en)

To implement dynamic dispatch, evaluate the method body with `self` mapping to the `receiver` (result of e0)

- That way, any `self` calls in body of m use the receiver's class,
  - Not necessarily the class that defined m

- This much is the same in Ruby, Java, C#, Smalltalk, etc.
Comments on dynamic dispatch

• This is why `distFromOrigin2` worked in `PolarPoint`

• More complicated than the rules for closures
  – Have to treat `self` specially
  – May seem simpler only if you learned it first
  – Complicated does not necessarily mean inferior or superior
Static overloading

In Java/C#/C++, method-lookup rules are similar, but more complicated because > 1 methods in a class can have same name
  – Java/C/C++: Overriding only when number/types of arguments the same
  – Ruby: same-method-name always overriding

Pick the “best one” using the static (!) types of the arguments
  – Complicated rules for “best”
  – Type-checking error if there is no “best”

Relies fundamentally on type-checking rules
  – Ruby has none
A simple example, part 1

In ML (and other languages), closures are closed

```ml
fun even x = if x=0 then true else odd (x-1)
and odd x = if x=0 then false else even (x-1)
```

So we can shadow `odd`, but any call to the closure bound to `odd` above will “do what we expect”

- Does not matter if we shadow `even` or not

```ml
(* does not change odd – too bad; this would improve it *)
fun even x = (x mod 2)=0
```

```ml
(* does not change odd – good thing; this would break it *)
fun even x = false
```
A simple example, part 2

In Ruby (and other OOP languages), subclasses can change the behavior of methods they do not override.

class A
  def even x
    if x==0 then true else odd (x-1) end
  end
  def odd x
    if x==0 then false else even (x-1) end
  end
end

class B < A  # improves odd in B objects
  def even x ; x % 2 == 0 end
end

class C < A  # breaks odd in C objects
  def even x ; false end
end
The OOP trade-off

Any method that makes calls to overridable methods can have its behavior changed in subclasses even if it is not overridden
  – Maybe on purpose, maybe by mistake
  – Observable behavior includes calls-to-overridable methods

• So *harder* to reason about “the code you're looking at”
  – Can avoid by disallowing overriding
    • “private” or “final” methods

• So *easier* for subclasses to affect behavior without copying code
  – Provided method in superclass is not modified later
Manual dynamic dispatch

Now: Write Racket code with little more than pairs and functions that acts like objects with dynamic dispatch

Why do this?
  – (Racket actually has classes and objects available)

  • Demonstrates how one language's semantics is an idiom in another language
  • Understand dynamic dispatch better by coding it up
    – Roughly how an interpreter/compiler might

Analogy: Earlier optional material encoding higher-order functions using objects and explicit environments
Our approach

Many ways to do it; our code does this:
  – An “object” has a list of field pairs and a list of method pairs
    
    \[
    \textbf{(struct obj (fields methods))}
    \]
  
  – Field-list element example:
    
    \[
    \textbf{(mcons 'x 17)}
    \]
  
  – Method-list element example:
    
    \[
    \textbf{(cons 'get-x (lambda (self args) ...))}
    \]

Notes:

• Lists sufficient but not efficient
• Not class-based: object has a list of methods, not a class that has a list of methods [could do it that way instead]
• Key trick is lambdas taking an extra \texttt{self} argument
  – All “regular” arguments put in a list \texttt{args} for simplicity
A point object bound to \( x \)

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'x</th>
<th>-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mcar</td>
<td>mcdr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'y</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mcar</td>
<td>mcdr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>' ()</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

\( x \) points to:

- fields
- methods

\( \lambda (\text{self args}) \)...

- 'get-x
- 'set-x
- 'distToOrigin

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>car</th>
<th>cdr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```
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Key helper functions

Now define plain Racket functions to get field, set field, call method

```
(define (assoc-m v xs)  
  ...) ; assoc for list of mutable pairs

(define (get obj fld)  
  (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))])))  
  (if pr (mcdr pr) (error ...)))

(define (set obj fld v)  
  (let ([pr (assoc-m fld (obj-fields obj))]))  
  (if pr (set-mcdr! pr v) (error ...)))

(define (send obj msg . args)  
  (let ([pr (assoc msg (obj-methods obj))]))  
  (if pr ((cdr pr) obj args) (error ...)))
```
(send x 'distToOrigin)

Evaluate body of \( \lambda(\text{self args}) \ldots \) with self bound to entire object \( \rightarrow \) (and args bound to ' () )
Constructing points

- Plain-old Racket function can take initial field values and build a point object
  - Use functions \texttt{get}, \texttt{set}, and \texttt{send} on result and in “methods”
  - Call to self: \texttt{(send self 'm ...)}
  - Method arguments in \texttt{args} list

\begin{verbatim}
(define (make-point _x _y)
  (obj
    (list (mcons 'x _x)
      (mcons 'y _y))
    (list (cons 'get-x (λ(self args)(get self 'x)))
      (cons 'get-y (λ(self args)(get self 'y)))
      (cons 'set-x (λ(self args)(...)))
      (cons 'set-y (λ(self args)(...)))
      (cons 'distToOrigin (λ(self args)(...))))))
\end{verbatim}
“Subclassing”

• Can use `make-point` to write `make-color-point` or `make-polar-point` functions (see code)

• Build a new object using fields and methods from “super” “constructor”
  – Add new or overriding methods to the `beginning` of the list
    • `send` will find the first matching method
  – Since `send` passes the entire receiver for `self`, dynamic dispatch works as desired
Why not ML?

• We were wise not to try this in ML!

• ML's type system does not have subtyping for declaring a polar-point type that “is also a” point type
  – Workarounds possible (e.g., one type for all objects)
  – Still no good type for those self arguments to functions
    • Need quite sophisticated type systems to support dynamic dispatch if it is not built into the language

• In fairness, languages with subtyping but not generics make it analogously awkward to write generic code