CSE 341, Winter 2015, Assignment 4
Octopus Interpreter
Due: Friday Feb 6, 10:00pm
Version of Jan 29, 2015

The purpose of this assignment is to give you experience with writing a larger program in Haskell, and also with writing interpreters. All your code should be in the functional part of Haskell (no monads), except for the unit tests and for the final read-eval-print loop (Question 10).

Points: 75 points, plus up to 7 points extra credit.

Start early! This assignment doesn't involve writing that much code, but you'll need to understand and extend existing Haskell code, and to understand thoroughly the semantics of closures in Racket. Plus debugging an interpreter will be a new skill.

You can use up to 4 late days for this assignment.

Turnin: Turn in one file: OctopusInterpreter.hs, which should include all your functions and unit tests. If you do the String extra credit problem (Question 12), also turn in your parser file. If you do the dynamic scoping extra credit problem (Question 11), turn in another version of the interpreter called OctopusInterpreterDynamicScope.hs.

You don't need to turn in sample output — the unit tests are enough for those. As usual, your program should be tastefully commented. Style counts! In particular, think about where you can use pattern matching and higher order functions to good effect to simplify your program; and avoid unnecessary repeated computations.

Overview: The OCTOPUS programming language is a small subset of Racket, but even though it leaves out many of Racket’s features, it is still among the most expressive of the invertebrate programming languages.

Every OCTOPUS program is also a legal Racket program. The data types in OCTOPUS are integers, booleans, symbols, lists, and functions. There are no side effects. Functions are defined using lambda, which has exactly the same meaning as in Racket — it creates a lexical closure. The other special forms are quote, if, cond, let, and letrec. One important restriction is that there is no define special form. Instead, to create and bind new variables, use let, letrec, or lambda. Another restriction is that let, letrec, and lambda always have just one expression in the body (since there are no side effects, having multiple forms wouldn't be useful). Finally, lists are always proper lists — no dotted pairs like (2 . 3).

There are two starter files, linked from the class website: OctoParser.y and OctopusInterpreter-starter.hs. OctoParser.y is a parser for OCTOPUS, written using the Happy parser generator (http://www.haskell.org/happy/). Unless you do the string extra credit question, you shouldn’t need to modify it at all. Just run the Happy parser generator from the command line:

happy OctoParser.y

This should generate a file OctoParser.hs that is the parser. (This .hs file isn’t intended to be particularly human-readable.)

Download OctopusInterpreter-starter.hs and rename it to OctopusInterpreter.hs. Load it into Haskell and run the first few unit tests using run, to make sure things are working OK. The interpreter will automatically load the parser (make sure they are in the same directory).

The key things you need from the parser are the types Environment and OctoValue, and a function parse whose type is String -> OctoValue. You’ll need to know the definition of these types in writing your interpreter — look in OctoParser.y.
Experiment a bit with this. For example, `parse "(+ 3 4)"` should return `OctoList [OctoSymbol "+", OctoInt 3, OctoInt 4]`.

Then begin adding functionality to the parser, as described below. Most of the calls to the unit tests are commented out — enable more and more of them as you add functionality. You will also need to add unit tests for the primitive functions — right now there is only a test for `+`. The other tests are enough to test the other functionality, although you are welcome to add more if you want.

You don’t need to do error checking in your interpreter, unless you add it for the extra credit question. (The starter program does include a little error checking, for example for parse errors and unbound variables, which helps with debugging.)

1. (12 points) Add new primitives for `-`, `*`, `cons`, `car`, `cdr`, and `equal?`. Add unit tests for these. To add these primitives, write new Haskell functions `octominus`, `octotimes`, and so forth, following `octoplus` as a model, and add them to the list of primitives (defined just before `octoplus` in the starter code). You shouldn’t modify the `eval` function for this question.

2. (10 points) Write a function `octoshow` that turns any Octopus value (represented as data of type `OctoValue`) into a string. Here are a few examples:

```
OctoInt 7 => "7"
OctoBool False => "#f"
OctoList [OctoInt 1, OctoInt 2, OctoInt 3] => "(1 2 3)"
OctoList [OctoSymbol "squid", OctoSymbol "clam"] => "(squid clam)"
OctoList [OctoSymbol "quote", OctoSymbol "squid"] => "'(squid"
```

Modulo white space, `parse` and `octoshow` are inverses. Note that for lists there shouldn’t be an extra space before the right parenthesis. (Hint: the Haskell function `unwords` may be useful.) For example:

```
octoshow $ parse "7" => "7"
octoshow $ parse="#f" => "#f"
octoshow $ parse="#(1 2 3)" => "(1 2 3)"
octoshow $ parse="#(+ 1 (* 2 3))" => "(+ 1 (* 2 3))"
octoshow $ parse="#'(1 2 3)" => "'(1 2 3)"
octoshow $ parse="#'squid" => "'squid"
```

You won’t encounter an `OctoClosure` or an `OctoPrimitive` in parser output — these are just used internally in the interpreter. You can show them just as "<closure>" and "<primitive +>", (or whatever the name of the primitive is) respectively. (You can return something more elaborate for closures if you wish, but it’s not required.)

There are unit tests for `octoshow` that you should uncomment before starting on this part. (They don’t test having extra spaces in the input, or `OctoClosure` — you can add some tests for those if you want but it’s not required.)

3. (8 points) The starter interpreter includes code to handle applying primitive functions but not user defined functions (i.e. ones written using `lambda`). Fill this in (search for the text TO BE WRITTEN). The tests `test_lambda1`, `test_lambda2`, and `test_shadow` should now succeed. The code for this is just a couple of lines in the sample solution, but you might find it a bit tricky to figure out. Be sure and read the comment before the skeleton of `apply` regarding what needs to be evaluated where. You just need to replace the `error ...` part of the definition of `apply` for this question; you shouldn’t need to modify the final case of `eval` (which is where `apply` is called from).

After you have `lambda` working, the `null?` function should work. (It’s already defined in the global environment.)
4. (5 points) Add code to handle the if special form. Implement this directly — this should be straightforward. This will involve adding a new case to the eval function. The tests test_if_true and test_if_false should now succeed.

5. (5 points) Add a function not to the global environment. This should be defined in Octopus (like null?) rather than written as a primitive. (Search for null? and follow that as an example — do not modify the eval function by adding a special case for not.)

6. (5 points) Add code to handle the let special form. Recommendation: implement it directly, as you did with if in Question 4.

An arguably more interesting approach is to define it as a derived expression in terms of lambda — that is, the case of your eval function that handles let would produce a new expression using lambda, and then evaluate that. However, this won’t be as useful when you get to letrec. But you should still understand how the derived expression technique works. For example, suppose you are evaluating this let expression:

```
(let ((x 5) (y 10)) (+ x y))
```

You’d produce the following expression that uses lambda, and evaluate that. Notice that the lambda takes care of all of the work of evaluating the bindings for x and y in the proper environment, making a new environment, and evaluating the body of the let:

```
( (lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 5 10)
```

7. (5 points) Add a primitive to implement an Octopus eval function. This should work like the one-argument version of eval in Racket, in other words, the one without the namespace argument. Again as with Racket, the expression should be evaluated in the global namespace in that case (for the Octopus interpreter, this is stored in global_env). Hint: first evaluate the argument in the current environment. (Defining eval as a primitive will automatically take care of doing this.) Then evaluate the result again in the global environment. There are some unit tests that check this.

8. (10 points) Implement cond. You can assume that the last expression in the cond will always be (else expr). For full credit, you should implement this by transforming the cond into a set of nested if expressions, which you then evaluate. Here are some examples of translated expressions:

- `(let ((x 5) (y 10)) (+ x y))
  ( (lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 5 10)

9. (5 points) Implement letrec. You should now be able to run all the tests using recursive functions. Hint: this should be trivial to implement by copying and modifying your code for let. On the other hand, you might find it a bit boggling. Just remember that Haskell uses lazy evaluation — that will be essential here.

10. (10 points) Finally, add a simple read-eval-print loop, using Haskell’s IO functions (monads). The loop should get a line from the keyboard, parse it, evaluate it, convert it to a string using octoshow, and print it out. Keep looping until the user types a blank line. There is a compiled version of the Octopus interpreter on attu, if you want to try the read-eval-print loop: invoke it from the shell using "borning/octopus."
11. **Extra Credit.** (1 point) Racket (and Octopus) use static scoping. Older Lisps, and some other older languages, use dynamic scoping. To look up a name, look in the current function, then look up the calling stack until you find it (or fall off the end). Even though this is a major change in the semantics of the language, it’s easy to convert your Octopus interpreter to use dynamic scoping. Do that (turn in a separate file named OctopusInterpreterDynamicScope.hs). Include a test case that shows that it is working. In addition, some of the existing tests will fail with dynamic scoping. In a comment at the top of your program, indicate what your new dynamic scope test is, and which of the existing tests fail and why.

As an example, this code will give an error with Racket and Octopus, but works with dynamic scoping:

```lisp
(let ((f (lambda (x) (+ x y))))
  (let ((y 10)) (f 20)))
```

In Octopus, this gives an error — y is unbound in the body of f. But with dynamic scoping, it finds the binding to 10. (There are problems and subtle bugs that arise with dynamic scoping — you can end up with variables captured that you didn’t intend — and it’s harder for both humans and compilers to reason about. But you should know the concept.)

12. **Extra Credit.** (2 points) Add a string datatype to Octopus, and add a primitive `string-append` function. After this is done, you should be able to evaluate expressions like this:

```lisp
(string-append)
(string-append "A" "Giant" "Squid")
```

To simplify this, you don’t need to handle strings with embedded double quotes (so no "oyster""clam"). Provide appropriate unit tests. For this extra credit problem, you’ll need to modify the parser as well as the interpreter. (You should at least skim Chapter 2 of the Happy parser documentation.)

13. **Extra Credit.** (up to 4 points) Add an additional feature (or features) to your Racket interpreter. Here are some suggestions, but you can also do something of your own choosing.

- It’s a nuisance to need to define functions just using `letrec`. Add support for `define` at the top level of the read-eval-print loop.

- Once you add `define`, add support for `(load "filename")`, also just at the top level of the read-eval-print loop. Naturally, a file should be able to contain further `load` commands as well as function definitions.

- Add some exception handling, so that rather than just crashing, if you have an error in an expression in the read-eval-print loop the system prints out a helpful message and continues on with the next prompt.