Agenda

- 1. SML Docs
 - Standard Basis
- 2. First-Class Functions
 - Anonymous
 - Style Points
 - Higher-Order
- 3. Examples

Anonymous Functions

An Anonymous Function

```
fn pattern => expression
```

- An expression that creates a new function with no name.
- Usually used as an argument to a higher-order function.
- Almost equivalent to the following:

```
let fun name pattern = expression in name end
```

The difference is that anonymous functions cannot be recursive!!!

Simple Example

Standard Basis Documentation

Online Documentation

http://www.standardml.org/Basis/index.html http://www.smlnj.org/doc/smlnj-lib/Manual/toc.html

Helpful Subset

Top-Level http://www.standardml.org/Basis/top-level-chapter.html

List http://www.standardml.org/Basis/list.html
ListPair http://www.standardml.org/Basis/real.html
String http://www.standardml.org/Basis/string.html

Anonymous Functions

What's the difference between the following two bindings?

```
val name = fn pattern => expression;
fun name pattern = expression;
```

- Once again, the difference is recursion.
- However, excluding recursion, a fun binding could just be syntactic sugar for a val binding and an anonymous function.
- This is because there are no recursive **val** bindings in SML.

Anonymous Functions (cont.)

Previous Example

Higher-Order Functions

• A function that returns a function or takes a function as an argument.

Two Canonical Examples

```
    map: ('a -> 'b) * 'a list -> 'b list

            Applies a function to every element of a list and return a list of the resulting values.
            Example: map (fn x => x*3, [1,2,3]) === [3,6,9]

    filter: ('a -> bool) * 'a list -> 'a list

            Returns the list of elements from the original list that, when a predicate function is applied, result in true.
            Example: filter (fn x => x>2, [~5,3,2,5]) === [3,5]
```

Note: List.map and List.filter are similarly defined in SML but use currying. We'll cover these later in the course.

Unnecessary Function Wrapping

What's the difference between the following two expressions?

```
(fn xs \Rightarrow tl xs) vs. tl
```

STYLE POINTS!

- · Other than style, these two expressions result in the exact same thing.
- However, one creates an unnecessary function to wrap t1.
- This is very similar to this style issue:

```
(if ex then true else false) vs. ex
```

Defining map and filter

Broader Idea

Functions are Awesome!

- SML functions can be passed around like any other value.
- They can be passed as function arguments, returned, and even stored in data structures or variables.
- Functions like map are very pervasive in functional languages.
 - A function like map can even be written for other data structures such as trees.

Returning a function

Tree Example