



CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 23 Multiple Inheritance, Mixins, Interfaces, Abstract Methods

Dan Grossman Winter 2013

What next?

Have used classes for OOP's essence: inheritance, overriding, dynamic dispatch

Now, what if we want to have more than just 1 superclass

- Multiple inheritance: allow > 1 superclasses
 - Useful but has some problems (see C++)
- Ruby-style mixins: 1 superclass; > 1 method providers
 - Often a fine substitute for multiple inheritance and has fewer problems (see also Scala traits)
- Java/C#-style interfaces: allow > 1 types
 - Mostly irrelevant in a dynamically typed language, but fewer problems

Winter 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Multiple Inheritance

- If inheritance and overriding are so useful, why limit ourselves to one superclass?
 - Because the semantics is often awkward (this topic)
 - Because it makes static type-checking harder (not discussed)
 - Because it makes efficient implementation harder (not discussed)
- Is it useful? Sure!
 - Example: Make a ColorPt3D by inheriting from Pt3D and ColorPt (or maybe just from Color)
 - Example: Make a StudentAthlete by inheriting from Student and Athlete
 - With single inheritance, end up copying code or using non-OOPstyle helper methods

Winter 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Trees, dags, and diamonds

- Note: The phrases subclass, superclass can be ambiguous
 - There are immediate subclasses, superclasses
 - And there are transitive subclasses, superclasses
- Single inheritance: the class hierarchy is a tree
 - Nodes are classes
 - Parent is immediate superclass
 - Any number of children allowed
- · Multiple inheritance: the class hierarchy no longer a tree
 - Cycles still disallowed (a directed-acyclic graph)
 - If multiple paths show that X is a (transitive) superclass of Y, then we have diamonds

nter 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

What could go wrong?

- If V and Z both define a method m, what does Y inherit? What does super mean?
 - Directed resends useful (e.g., z::super)
- What if X defines a method m that Z but not V overrides?
 - Can handle like previous case, but sometimes undesirable (e.g., ColorPt3D wants Pt3D's overrides to "win")
- If X defines fields, should Y have one copy of them (f) or two (V::f and Z::f)?
 - Turns out each behavior can be desirable (next slides)
 - So C++ has (at least) two forms of inheritance

3DColorPoints

If Ruby had multiple inheritance, we would want ColorPt3D to inherit methods that share one @x and one @y

```
class Pt
   attr_accessor :x, :y
...
end
class ColorPt < Pt
   attr_accessor :color
...
end
class Pt3D < Pt
   attr_accessor :z
... # override some methods
end
class ColorPt3D < Pt3D, ColorPt # not Ruby!
end</pre>
```

Winter 2013

5

CSE341: Programming Languages

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

.

ArtistCowboys

This code has Person define a pocket for subclasses to use, but an ArtistCowboy wants two pockets, one for each draw method

```
class Person
   attr_accessor :pocket
...
end
class Artist < Person # pocket for brush objects
   def draw # access pocket
...
end
class Cowboy < Person # pocket for gun objects
   def draw # access pocket
...
end
class ArtistCowboy < Artist, Cowboy # not Ruby!
end</pre>
```

CSE341: Programming Languages

Mixins

- · A mixin is (just) a collection of methods
 - Less than a class: no instances of it
- Languages with mixins (e.g., Ruby modules) typically let a class have one superclass but *include* number of mixins
- · Semantics: Including a mixin makes its methods part of the class
 - Extending or overriding in the order mixins are included in the class definition
 - More powerful than helper methods because mixin methods can access methods (and instance variables) on self not defined in the mixin

Winter 2013 CSE34

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example

Winter 2013

```
module Doubler
  def double
    self + self # assume included in classes w/ +
  end
end
class String
  include Doubler
end
class AnotherPt
  attr_accessor :x, :y
  include Doubler
  def + other
    ans = AnotherPt.new
    ans.x = self.x + other.x
    ans.y = self.y + other.y
    ans
end
```

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lookup rules

Mixins change our lookup rules slightly:

- When looking for receiver obj's method m, look in obj's class, then mixins that class includes (later includes shadow), then obj's superclass, then the superclass' mixins, etc.
- As for instance variables, the mixin methods are included in the same object
 - So usually bad style for mixin methods to use instance variables since a name clash would be like our CowboyArtist pocket problem (but sometimes unavoidable?)

Winter 2013

11

CSE341: Programming Languages

The two big ones

Winter 2013

The two most popular/useful mixins in Ruby:

- Comparable: Defines <, >, ==, !=, >=, <= in terms of <=>
- Enumerable: Defines many iterators (e.g., map, find) in terms of each

Great examples of using mixins:

- Classes including them get a bunch of methods for just a little work
- Classes do not "spend" their "one superclass" for this
- Do not need the complexity of multiple inheritance
- · See the code for some examples

Replacement for multiple inheritance?

- A mixin works pretty well for ColorPt3D:
 - Color a reasonable mixin except for using an instance variable

```
module Color
  attr_accessor :color
end
```

- A mixin works awkwardly-at-best for ArtistCowboy:
 - Natural for Artist and Cowboy to be Person subclasses
 - Could move methods of one to a mixin, but it is odd style and still does not get you two pockets

```
module ArtistM ...
class Artist < Person
include ArtistM
class ArtistCowboy < Cowboy
include ArtistM
```

Winter 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Winter 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

12

10

Statically-Typed OOP

- Now contrast multiple inheritance and mixins with Java/C#-style interfaces
- Important distinction, but interfaces are about static typing, which Ruby does not have
- So will use Java code after quick introduction to static typing for class-based OOP...
 - Sound typing for OOP prevents "method missing" errors

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Classes as Types

- · In Java/C#/etc. each class is also a type
- · Methods have types for arguments and result

```
class A {
  Object m1(Example e, String s) {...}
  Integer m2(A foo, Boolean b, Integer i) {...}
}
```

- If C is a (transitive) subclass of D, then C is a subtype of D
 - Type-checking allows subtype anywhere supertype allowed
 - So can pass instance of C to a method expecting instance of D

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

Interfaces are Types

```
interface Example {
  void   m1(int x, int y);
  Object m2(Example x, String y);
}
```

- An interface is not a class; it is only a type
 - Does not contain method definitions, only their signatures (types)
 - · Unlike mixins
 - Cannot use new on an interface
 - Like mixins

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

Implementing Interfaces

- · A class can explicitly implement any number of interfaces
 - For class to type-check, it must implement every method in the interface with the right type
 - · More on allowing subtypes later!
 - Multiple interfaces no problem; just implement everything
- · If class type-checks, it is a subtype of the interface

```
class A implements Example {
  public void m1(int x, int y) {...}
  public Object m2(Example e, String s) {...}
}
class B implements Example {
  public void m1(int pizza, int beer) {...}
  public Object m2(Example e, String s) {...}
}
```

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

Multiple interfaces

- · Interfaces provide no methods or fields
 - So no questions of method/field duplication when implementing multiple interfaces, unlike multiple inheritance
- What interfaces are for:
 - "Caller can give any instance of any class implementing I"
 - So callee can call methods in I regardless of class
 - So much more flexible type system
- · Interfaces have little use in a dynamically typed language
 - Dynamic typing already much more flexible, with trade-offs we studied

Connections

Let's now answer these questions:

- What does a statically typed OOP language need to support "required overriding"?
- How is this similar to higher-order functions?
- Why does a language with multiple inheritance (e.g., C++) not need Java/C#-style interfaces?

[Explaining Java's abstract methods / C++'s pure virtual methods]

 Winter 2013
 CSE341: Programming Languages
 17
 Winter 2013
 CSE341: Programming Languages
 18

Required overriding

Often a class expects all subclasses to override some method(s)

 The purpose of the superclass is to abstract common functionality, but some non-common parts have no default

A Ruby approach:

- Do not define must-override methods in superclass
- Subclasses can add it
- Creating instance of superclass can cause method-missing
 errors

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

Static typing

- In Java/C#/C++, prior approach fails type-checking
 - No method m2 defined in superclass
 - One solution: provide error-causing implementation

```
class A
  def m1 v
    ... self.m2 e ...
end
  def m2 v
    raise "must be overridden"
end
end
```

- Better: Use static checking to prevent this error...

Winter 2013

19

21

CSE341: Programming Languages

20

Abstract methods

- Java/C#/C++ let superclass give signature (type) of method subclasses should provide
 - Called abstract methods or pure virtual methods
 - Cannot creates instances of classes with such methods
 - · Catches error at compile-time
 - · Indicates intent to code-reader
 - Does not make language more powerful

```
abstract class A {
   T1 m1(T2 x) { ... m2(e); ... }
   abstract T3 m2(T4 x);
}
class B extends A {
   T3 m2(T4 x) { ... }
}
```

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

Passing code to other code

 Abstract methods and dynamic dispatch: An OOP way to have subclass "pass code" to other code in super class

```
abstract class A {
  T1 m1 (T2 x) { ... m2 (e); ... }
  abstract T3 m2 (T4 x);
}
class B extends A {
  T3 m2 (T4 x) { ... }
}
```

 Higher-order functions: An FP way to have caller "pass code" to callee

```
fun f (g,x) = ... g e ...
fun h x = ... f((fn y => ...),...)
```

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

No interfaces in C++

- If you have multiple inheritance and abstract methods, you do not also need interfaces
- · Replace each interface with a class with all abstract methods
- Replace each "implements interface" with another superclass

So: Expect to see interfaces only in statically typed OOP without multiple inheritance

- Not Ruby
- Not C++

Winter 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

23

22