



CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 20 Blocks & Procs; Inheritance & Overriding

Dan Grossman
Fall 2011

This lecture

Two separate topics

- Ruby's approach to almost-closures (blocks) and closures (Procs)
 - Convenient to use; unusual approach
 - Used throughout large standard library
 - Explicit loops rare
 - Instead of a loop, go find a useful iterator
- Subclasses, inheritance, and overriding
 - The essence of OOP
 - Not unlike in Java, but worth studying from PL perspective and in a more dynamic language

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Blocks

Blocks are probably Ruby's strangest feature compared to other PLs

- Normal: easy way to pass anonymous functions for all the reasons we have been studying
- Normal: Blocks can take 0 or more arguments
- Strange: Can send 0 or 1 block with *any* message send
- Strange: Callee does not have a name for the block
 - Calls it with `yield`, `yield 42`, `yield (3,5)`, etc.
 - Can ask `block_given?` but rarely used in practice (usually assume a block is given if expected, or that a block's presence is implied by other arguments)

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

Examples

- Rampant use of blocks in standard library
 - Classes define iterators; don't write your own loops
 - Most of these examples happen to have 0 "regular" arguments

```
3.times { puts "hi" }
[4,6,8].each { puts "hi" }
[4,6,8].each { |x| puts x * 2 }
[4,6,8].map { |x| x * 2 }
[4,6,8].any? { |x| x > 7 } # block optional
[4,6,8].inject(foo) { |acc,elt| ... }
```

- Easy to write your own methods that use blocks

```
def silly a
  (yield a) + (yield 42)
end

x.silly 5 { |b| b*2 }
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

4

Blocks are "second-class"

All a method can do with a block is `yield` to it (i.e., call it)

- Can't return it, store it in an object (e.g., for a callback), etc.
- But can also turn blocks into real closures (next slide)

But one block can call another block via `yield`

- From example `MyList` class in `lec20.rb` (though better in Ruby to use arrays as lists than define your own)

```
def map
  if @tail.nil?
    MyList.new(yield(@head), nil)
  else
    MyList.new(yield(@head),
               @tail.map { |x| yield x })
  end
end
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

5

First-class closures

- Implicit block arguments and `yield` is often sufficient
- But when you want a closure you can return, store, etc.:
 - The built-in `Proc` class
 - `lambda` method of `Object` takes a block and makes a `Proc`
 - Also can do it with "& arg", not shown here
 - Instances of `Proc` have a method `call`

```
def map_p proc
  if @tail.nil?
    MyList.new(proc.call(@head), nil)
  else
    MyList.new(proc.call(@head),
               @tail.map proc)
  end
end

xs.map_p
(lambda { |x| ... })
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

6

Subclassing

- A class definition has a *superclass* (Object if not specified)

```
class ColorPoint < Point ...
```

- The superclass affects the class definition:
 - Class *inherits* all method definitions from superclass
 - But class can *override* method definitions as desired
- Unlike Java:
 - No such thing as "inheriting fields" since all objects create instance variables by assigning to them
 - Subclassing has nothing to do with a (non-existent) type system: can still pass any object to any method

Example (to be continued)

```
class Point
  attr_reader :x, :y
  attr_writer :x, :y
  def initialize(x,y)
    @x = x
    @y = y
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    # direct field access
    Math.sqrt(@x*@x
              + @y*@y)
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    # use getters
    Math.sqrt(x*x
              + y*y)
  end
end
```

```
class ColorPoint < Point
  attr_reader :color
  attr_writer :color
  def initialize(x,y,c)
    super(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
end
```

An object has a class

```
p = Point.new(0,0)
cp = ColorPoint.new(0,0,"red")
p.class           # Point
p.class.superclass # Object
cp.class          # ColorPoint
cp.class.superclass # Point
cp.class.superclass.superclass # Object
cp.is_a? Point    # true
cp.instance_of? Point # false
cp.is_a? ColorPoint # true
cp.instance_of? ColorPoint # true
```

- Using these methods is usually non-OOP style
 - Disallows other things that "act like a duck"
 - Nonetheless semantics is that an instance of `ColorPoint` "is a" `Point` but is not an "instance of" `Point`
 - Java's `instanceof` is like Ruby's `is_a?`

Why subclass

- Instead of creating `ColorPoint`, could add methods to `Point`
 - That could mess up other users and subclasses of `Point`

```
class Point
  attr_reader :color
  attr_writer :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    @x = x
    @y = y
    @color = c
  end
end
```

Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing `Point`, could copy/paste the methods
 - Means the same thing *if* you don't use methods like `is_a?` and `superclass`, but of course code reuse is nice

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_reader :x, :y, :color
  attr_writer :x, :y, :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    ...
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    Math.sqrt(@x*@x + @y*@y)
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    Math.sqrt(x*x + y*y)
  end
end
```

Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing `Point`, could use a `Point` instance variable
 - Define methods to send same message to the `Point`
 - Often OOP programmers overuse subclassing
 - But for `ColorPoint`, subclassing makes sense: less work and can use a `ColorPoint` wherever code expects a `Point`

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_reader :color
  attr_writer :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    @pt = Point.new(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
  def x
    @pt.x
  end
  ...
end
```

Overriding

- `ThreeDPoint` is more interesting than `ColorPoint` because it overrides `distFromOrigin` and `distFromOrigin2`
 - Gets code reuse, but highly disputable if it is appropriate to say a `ThreeDPoint` "is a" `Point`
 - Still just avoiding copy/paste

```
class ThreeDPoint < Point
  ...
  def initialize(x,y,z)
    super(x,y)
    @z = z
  end
  def distFromOrigin # distFromOrigin2 similar
    d = super
    Math.sqrt(d*d + @z*@z)
  end
  ...
end
```

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

So far...

- With examples so far, objects are not so different from closures
 - Multiple methods rather than just "call me"
 - Explicit instance variables rather than whatever is environment where function is defined
 - Inheritance avoids helper functions or code copying
 - "Simple" overriding just replaces methods
- But there is a big difference (that you learned in Java):

Overriding can make a method define in the superclass call a method in the subclass

- The essential difference of OOP, studied carefully next lecture

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

Example: Equivalent except constructor

```
class PolarPoint < Point
  def initialize(r,theta)
    @r = r
    @theta = theta
  end
  def x
    @r * Math.cos(@theta)
  end
  def y
    @r * Math.sin(@theta)
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    @r
  end
  ...
end
```

- Also need to define `x=` and `y=` (see `lec20.rb`)
- Key punchline: `distFromOrigin2`, defined in `Point`, "already works"

```
def distFromOrigin2
  Math.sqrt(x*x+y*y)
end
```

- Why: calls to `self` are resolved in terms of the object's class

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

15