

Example in ML



CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 11 Closures-ish Java & C

Dan Grossman Fall 2011

Higher-order programming

- Higher-order programming, e.g., with map and filter, is great
- Language support for closures makes it very pleasant ٠
- · Without closures, we can still do it more manually / clumsily - In OOP (e.g., Java) with one-method interfaces
 - In procedural (e.g., C) with explicit environment arguments

· Working through this:

- Shows connections between languages and features
- Can help you understand closures and objects

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Java

<pre>datatype 'a mylist = Cons of 'a * ('a mylist) Empty (* ('a -> 'b) -> 'a mylist -> 'b mylist *) fun map f xs = case xs of (* ('a -> bool) -> 'a mylist -> 'a mylist *) fun filter f xs = case xs of (* 'a mylist -> int *) fun length xs = case xs of</pre>	 Java 8 likely to have closures (like C#, Scala, Ruby,) Write like lst.map((x) => x.age)
<pre>val doubleAll = map (fn x => x*2) val countNs xs = length (filter (fn x => x=n) xs)</pre>	 But how could we program in an ML style in Java today Won't look like pseudocode above
Fall 2011 CSE341: Programming Languages 3	- Was even more painful before Java had generics Fall 2011 CSE341: Programming Languages

One-method interfaces



- An interface is a named type [constructor]
- An object with one method can serve as a closure
 - Different instances can have different fields [possibly different types] like different closures can have different environments [possibly different types]
- So an interface with one method can serve as a function type

```
Fall 2011
```

List types

Creating a generic list class works fine

}

CSE341: Programming Languages

- Assuming null for empty list here, a choice we may regret

- null makes every type an option type with implicit valOf

List(T x, List<T> xs) {

class List<T> { T head: List<T> tail;

head = x;

tail = xs;

6

4

Fall 2011

Higher-order functions

- Let's use static methods for map, filter, length
- Use our earlier generic interfaces for "function arguments"
- These methods are recursive
 - − Less efficient in Java ⊗
 − Much simpler than common previous-pointer acrobatics

```
static <A,B> List<B> map (Func<B,A> f, List<A> xs) {
    if (xs==null) return null;
    return new List<B> (f.m(xs.head), map(f,xs.tail);
    static <A> List<A> filter(Pred<A> f, List<A> xs) {
        if (xs==null) return null;
        if (f.m(xs.head))
           return new List<A> (xs.head), filter(f,xs.tail);
        return filter(f,xs.tail);
    }
    static <A> length(List<A> xs) { ... }
    Fall2011 CSE341: Programming Languages 7
```

Clients

- To use map method to make a List<Bar> from a List<Foo>:
 - Define a class C that implements Func<Bar, Foo>
 Use fields to hold any "private data"
 - Make an object of class C, passing private data to constructor
 - Pass the object to map
- As a convenience, can combine all 3 steps with anonymous inner classes
 - Mostly just syntactic sugar
 - But can directly access enclosing fields and final variables
 - Added to language to better support callbacks
 - Syntax an acquired taste? See lec11.java

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

Why not instance methods?

A more OO approach would be instance methods:

```
class List<T> {
    <B> List<B> map (Func<B,T> f) {...}
    List<T> filter (Pred<T> f) {...}
    int length () {...}
```

Can work, but interacts poorly with null for empty list

- Cannot call a method on null

}

 So leads to extra cases in all *clients* of these methods if a list might be empty

An even more OO alternative uses a subclass of List for empty-lists rather than ${\tt null}$

– Then instance methods work fine!

Fall 2011 CSE341: Programming Languages

Now C [for C experts]

- In Java, objects, like closures, can have "parts" that do not show up in their types (interfaces)
- In C, a *function pointer* is just a code pointer, period
 So without extra thought, functions taking function pointer arguments won't be as useful as functions taking closures
- · A common technique:
 - Always define function pointers and higher-order functions to take an extra, explicit environment argument
 - But without generics, no good choice for type of list elements or the environment
 - Use void* and various type casts...

Fall 2011

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

The C trick

[ignore if not (yet) a C wizard; full implementation in lec11.c]

Don't do this:

list_t* map(void* (*f)(void*), list_t xs){
 ... f(xs->head) ...
}

Do this to support clients that need private data:

List libraries like this aren't common in C, but callbacks are! - Lack of generics means lots of type casts in clients ®

```
11
```

9