

# CSE 341: Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

Spring 2008

Lecture 13— Introduction to Scheme

# Scheme

---

- Like ML, functional focus with imperative features
  - anonymous functions, closures, no return statement, etc.
  - but every binding is mutable
- A really minimalist syntax/semantics
  - In the LISP tradition
  - Current standard is 50 pages
- Dynamically typed
  - Less “compile-time” checking
  - Accepts more perfectly reasonable programs
- Some “advanced” features for decades
  - Programs as data, hygienic macros, continuations

## Which Scheme?

---

Scheme has a few dialects and many extensions.

We will use “PLT  $\rightarrow$  Pretty Big” for the language and DrScheme as a convenient environment.

- Version 371 is installed, 372 is current, the difference shouldn't matter to us.

Most of what we do will be “pure Scheme”.

Exceptions include `define-struct` and the DrScheme module system.

# Scheme syntax

---

Syntactically, a Scheme term is either an *atom* (identifier, number, symbol, string, ...) or a sequence of terms ( $t_1 \dots t_n$ ).

Note: Scheme used to get (still gets?) “paren bashed”, which is hilarious in an XML world.

Semantically, identifiers are resolved in an environment and other atoms are values.

The semantics of a sequence depends on  $t_1$ :

- certain character sequences are “special forms”
- otherwise a sequence is a function application (semantics same as ML)

## Some special forms

---

- `define`
- `lambda`
- `if`, `cond`, `and`, `or`
- `let`, `let*`, `letrec`

## Some predefined values

---

- `#t`, `#f`
- `()`, `cons`, `car`, `cdr`, `null?`, `list`
- a “numeric tower” with math operations (e.g., `+`) defined on all of them
- tons more (strings vs. symbols discussed later)

Note: Prefix and variable-arity help make lots of things functions.

## Parens Matter

---

Every parenthesis you write has meaning – get used to that fast!

Correct:

```
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
```

Incorrect:

```
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) (1) (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
```

```
(define (fact n) (if = n 0 (1) (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
```

```
(define fact (n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
```

```
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n fact (- n 1))))
```

```
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n ((fact) (- n 1)))))
```

# Dynamic Typing

---

Many things that the “type-checker” forbids in Java or ML are run-time errors in Scheme (like a Java NullPointerException):

- Calling a function with the wrong number of arguments
- Passing a “cons cell” to +
- Passing a function to car
- ...

Don't need datatype/class definitions, etc. to appease the type-checker

- Just return a boolean or a number; caller can use *predicates* to determine at run-time what it got
- Make a list that can hold numbers or other lists or whatever
- ...

Most of a later lecture will consider pros/cons of static checking

# Local bindings

---

There are 3 forms of local bindings with different semantics:

- `let`
- `let*`
- `letrec`

(Also, in function bodies, a sequence of `defines` is the same as `letrec`.)

But at top-level, redefinition is assignment!

- And unlike ML, forward references work fine, as long as they are evaluated “later” (under a function body)

This makes it ghastly hard to encapsulate code, but in practice:

- people assume non-malicious clients
- implementations provide access to “real primitives”

For your homework, assume top-level definitions are immutable.