Which Scheme?

Scheme has a few dialects and many extensions.

We will use “PLT → Pretty Big” for the language and DrScheme as a convenient environment.

Most of what we do will be “pure Scheme”.

Scheme syntax

Syntactically, a Scheme term is either an atom (identifier, number, symbol, string, ...) or a sequence of terms (t1 ... tn).

Note: Scheme used to get (still gets?) “paren bashed”; maybe you’d prefer XML???

Semantically, identifiers are resolved in an environment and other atoms are values.

The semantics of a sequence depends on t1:

• certain character sequences are “special forms”
• otherwise a sequence is a function application (semantics same as ML — evaluate params, then call function)
Some special forms

- `define`
- `lambda`
- `if`, `cond`, `and`, `or`
- `let`, `let*`, `letrec`

Some predefined values

- `#t`, `#f`
- `()`, `cons`, `car`, `cdr`, `null?`, `list`
- a "numeric tower" (integer, rational, real, complex, number) with math operations (e.g., `+`) defined on all of them
- tons more (strings vs. symbols discussed later)

Note: Prefix and variable-arity help make lots of things functions.

Paren's Matter

Every parenthesis you write has meaning — get used to that fast!

```
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (+ n (fact (- n 1))))) ; OK
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
(define (fact n) (if = n 0 (1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
(define fact (n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n fact (- n 1))))
(define (fact n) (if (= n 0) 1 (+ n ((fact) (- n 1)))))
```

Local bindings

There are 3 forms of local bindings with different semantics:

- `let`
- `let*`
- `letrec`

Also, at front of function bodies, a sequence of definitions is equivalent to `letrec`.

But at top-level redefinition is assignment!

This makes it ghastly hard to encapsulate code, but in practice:

- people assume non-malicious clients
- implementations provide access to "real primitives"

For your homework, assume top-level definitions are immutable.