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More OO design
“Classic” C

```c
struct Widget {width, height, type, …type-specific fields…}
Widget[1000] data;
x = 0; y = 0;
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    if(x+data[i].width > linewidth) {x = 0; y += maxh; maxh = 0}
    switch ( data[i].type ) {
        1: drawstring(x,y,data[i].string,…); break;
        2: drawradio(x,y,data[i].radiolabels,…); break;
        3: …
    }
    x += data[i].width; maxh = max(maxh,data[i].height)
}
```
Better C

```c
struct Widget {width, height, type, …type-specific fields…}
Widget[1000] data;
x = 0; y = 0;
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    if(x+data[i].width > linewidth) {x = 0; y += maxh; maxh = 0}
    draw_widget(x,y,data[i]);
    x += data[i].width; maxh = max(maxh,data[i].height)
}
void draw_widget(x,y,theWidget) {
    switch ( theWidget.type ) {
        1: drawstring(x,y,theWidget.string,…); break;
        2: drawradio(x,y,theWidget.radiolabels,…); break;
        3: …
    }
}
```
abstract class Widget {
    int: width, height
    abstract void draw(x,y)
}
class strWidget extends Widget {
    String: string...
    void draw(x,y) {
        drawstr(x,y,string,...)
    }
}
class radioWidget extends Widget {
    String: radiolabels...
    void draw(x,y) {
        drawradio(x,y,radiolabels,...)
    }
}...
Scheme

- A widget is stored as a list, with type, width, height, … in fixed positions
- A screen is a list of widgets
- Main algorithm is pretty similar to above, except recursion (or mapcar) used to iterate over list
- Code seems somewhat opaque since widget fields often accessed as “(cadddr widgetlist)”, e.g.
ML

- A lot like Scheme, but use of ML data struct makes field access more transparent
- Iteration via “foldl”, using another data struct to “accumulate” info about current x, y to decide whether next widget fits on a line
Critique
Pro/Con of OO design (here)

- Algorithm recognizably the same in all four languages, despite, e.g., loops vs recursion vs fold.
  + OO Localizes/groups/encapsulates info
    + Main does layout alg, largely widget-independent
    + Widget holds generic widget-essence
    + Subclasses hold widget-specific stuff
  + OO probably better for code reuse/extension
- OO somewhat verbose
  - Re Scheme: typlessness is a 2-edged sword, & lack of named data struct fields probably hurts (the “cadddr” problem)
Change Orders

• Format control
  – Fonts, sizes, colors, …

• Layout control
  – Justification, recursive subregions, tables…

• New widgets
  – Sliders, dials, pull-downs, .png, .jpg, …

• Windows/Mac/Linux ports…