Local bindings

There are 3 forms of local bindings with different semantics:

- `let`
- `let*`
- `letrec`

Also, in function bodies, a sequence of definitions is equivalent to `letrec`.

But at top-level redefinition is assignment!

This makes it ghastly hard to encapsulate code, but in practice:

- people assume non-malicious clients
- implementations provide access to “real primitives”

For your homework, assume top-level definitions are immutable.

Delayed Evaluation

For each language construct, there are rules governing when subexpressions get evaluated. In ML, Scheme, and Java:

- function arguments are “eager” (*call-by-value*)
- conditional branches are not

As we’ve discussed before, in call-by-name semantics, the function arguments aren’t evaluated before the function call, but instead at each use of argument in body.

- Sometimes faster: `(lambda (x) 3)`
- Sometimes slower: `(lambda (x) (+ x x))`
- Equivalent if function argument has no effects/non-termination

Today

- Local Bindings
- Delaying evaluation: Function bodies evaluated only at application
- Key idioms of delaying evaluation
  - Conditionals
  - Streams
  - Laziness
  - Memoization
- In general, evaluation rules defined by language semantics
  - Some languages have “lazy” function application as the standard mode for passing parameters (e.g. Miranda, Haskell)
Thunks

A “thunk” is just a function taking no arguments, which works great for delaying evaluation.

- Instead of passing a value directly, pass a thunk (function) which yields the value when it is called

If thunks are lightweight enough syntactically, why not make “if” be an ordinary function in a language with call-by-value semantics? (Smalltalk does this . . .)

Streams

- A stream is an “infinite” list — you can ask for the rest of it as many times as you like and you’ll never get null.
- The universe is finite, so a stream must really be an object that acts like an infinite list.
- The idea: use a function to describe what comes next.

Note: Deep connection to sequential feedback circuits
Note: Connection to UNIX pipes

Best of both worlds?

The “lazy” (call-by-need) rule: Evaluate the argument the first time it’s used. Save answer for subsequent uses.

- Asymptotically it’s the best
- But behind-the-scenes bookkeeping can be costly
- And it’s hard to reason about with effects
  - Typically used in (sub)languages without effects
- Nonetheless, a key idiom with syntactic support in Scheme
  - And related to memoization

Memoization

A “cache” of previous results is equivalent if results cannot change.

- Could be slower: cache too big or computation too cheap
- Could be faster: just a lookup
  - Previous 341 homework question: an example where it’s a lot faster by preventing an exponential explosion.

An association list is not the fastest data structure for large memo tables, but works fine for 341.

Question: Why does assoc return the pair?