

C++ Templates

CSE 333 Spring 2021

Instructor: Justin Hsia, Travis McGaha

Teaching Assistants:

Arthava Deodhar

Callum Walker

Cosmo Wang

Dylan Hartono

Elizabeth Haker

Kyrie Dowling

Leo Liao

Markus Schiffer

Neha Nagvekar

Nonthakit Chaiwong

Ramya Challa



Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse333travis

About how long did Exercise 6 take you?

- A. [0, 2) hours
- B. [2, 4) hours
- C. [4, 6) hours
- D. [6, 8) hours
- E. 8+ Hours
- F. I didn't submit / I prefer not to say

Administrivia

- ❖ Exercise 7 released today!
 - Due Monday May 3rd to give time for HW2
 - Uses a lot of Friday's Lecture
- ❖ Homework 2 due Thursday (4/29)
 - Don't forget to clone your repo to double-/triple-/quadruple-check compilation!
 - Use Late days if you can't finish & polish your submission! They exist for a reason
- ❖ Midterm exam information is out
 - Can be found on the website via the navigation bar

Lecture Outline

❖ **Templates**

Suppose that...

- ❖ You want to write a function to compare two `ints`
- ❖ You want to write a function to compare two `strings`
 - Function overloading!

```
// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise  
int compare(const int& value1, const int& value2) {  
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;  
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;  
    return 0;  
}
```

does something different in each case

```
// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise  
int compare(const string& value1, const string& value2) {  
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;  
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;  
    return 0;  
}
```

Hm...

- ❖ The two implementations of **compare** are nearly identical!
 - What if we wanted a version of **compare** for *every* comparable type?
 - We could write (many) more functions, but that's obviously wasteful and redundant *too much repeated code!*
- ❖ What we'd prefer to do is write "*generic code*"
 - Code that is **type-independent**
 - Code that is **compile-time polymorphic** across types

C++ Parametric Polymorphism

- ❖ C++ has the notion of **templates**
 - A function or class that accepts a ***type*** as a parameter
 - You define the function or class once in a type-agnostic way
 - When you invoke the function or instantiate the class, you specify (one or more) types or values as arguments to it
 - At ***compile-time***, the compiler will generate the “specialized” code from your template using the types you provided
 - Your template definition is NOT runnable code
 - Code is *only* generated if you use your template

Function Templates

- ❖ Template to **compare** two “things”:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
template <typename T> // <...> can also be written <class T>
int compare(const T &value1, const T &value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    std::string h("hello"), w("world");
    std::cout << compare<int>(10, 20) << std::endl;
    std::cout << compare<std::string>(h, w) << std::endl;
    std::cout << compare<double>(50.5, 50.6) << std::endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Template parameter list

Only uses operator< to minimize requirements on T

Explicit type argument

functiontemplate.cc

Compiler Inference

- ❖ Same thing, but letting the compiler infer the types:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// returns 0 if equal, 1 if value1 is bigger, -1 otherwise
template <typename T>
int compare(const T &value1, const T &value2) {
    if (value1 < value2) return -1;
    if (value2 < value1) return 1;
    return 0;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    std::string h("hello"), w("world");
    std::cout << compare(10, 20) << std::endl; // ok Infers int
    std::cout << compare(h, w) << std::endl; // ok Infers string
    std::cout << compare("Hello", "World") << std::endl; // hm...
    return EXIT_SUCCESS; No type specified Infers char*? Does address integer comparison ☹
}
```

functiontemplate_infer.cc

Template Non-types

- ❖ You can use non-types (constant values) in a template:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

// return pointer to new N-element heap array filled with val
// (not entirely realistic, but shows what's possible)
template <typename T, int N>
T* valarray(const T &val) {
    T* a = new T[N];
    for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i)
        a[i] = val;
    return a;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int *ip = valarray<int, 10>(17);
    string *sp = valarray<string, 17>("hello");
    ...
}
```

Fixed type template parameter

Use comma separated list to specify template arguments

valtemplate.cc

What's Going On?

- ❖ The compiler doesn't generate any code when it sees the template function
 - It doesn't know what code to generate yet, since it doesn't know what types are involved *Different behavior for different types*
- ❖ When the compiler sees the function being used, then it understands what types are involved
 - It generates the **instantiation** of the template and compiles it (kind of like macro expansion)
 - The compiler generates template instantiations for *each* type used as a template parameter

This Creates a Problem

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b);

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

```
#include "compare.h"

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

compare.cc

Steps to compile

`g++ -c compare.cc`

Creates an empty .o file since comp<>() is not used!

`g++ -c main.cc`

No comp<int> definition, expects it to be linked in later

`g++ -o main main.o compare.o`

No comp<int> definition, compiler error!

Solution #1 (Google Style Guide prefers)

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

Doesn't hide implementation ☹️

Solution #2 (you'll see this sometimes)

```
#ifndef COMPARE_H_
#define COMPARE_H_

template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b);

#include "compare.cc"

#endif // COMPARE_H_
```

compare.h

```
template <typename T>
int comp(const T& a, const T& b) {
    if (a < b) return -1;
    if (b < a) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

compare.cc

```
#include <iostream>
#include "compare.h"

using namespace std;

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    cout << comp<int>(10, 20);
    cout << endl;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

main.cc

 **Poll Everywhere**pollev.com/cse333travis

- ❖ Assume we are using Solution #2 (.h includes .cc)
 - ❖ Which is the simplest way to compile our program (a.out)?
-
- A. `g++ main.cc`
 - B. `g++ main.cc compare.cc`
 - C. `g++ main.cc compare.h`
 - D. `g++ -c main.cc`
`g++ -c compare.cc`
`g++ main.o compare.o`
 - E. We're lost...



Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse333travis

- ❖ Assume we are using Solution #2 (.h includes .cc)
- ❖ Which is the *simplest* way to compile our program (a .out)?

A. `g++ main.cc`

B. `g++ main.cc compare.cc`

C. `g++ main.cc compare.h`

Template definition added via
#include "compare.h"

D. `g++ -c main.cc`

`g++ -c compare.cc`

Empty object file

`g++ main.o compare.o`

E. **We're lost...**

All of the commands will work, but crossed out parts are unnecessary.

Still would want these as sources in Makefile:

```
main: main.cc compare.cc compare.h
    g++ main.cc
```

Class Templates

- ❖ Templates are useful for classes as well
 - (In fact, that was one of the main motivations for templates!)
- ❖ Imagine we want a class that holds a pair of things that we can:
 - Set the value of the first thing
 - Set the value of the second thing
 - Get the value of the first thing
 - Get the value of the second thing
 - Swap the values of the things
 - Print the pair of things

Pair Class Definition

Pair.h

```
#ifndef PAIR_H_
#define PAIR_H_

template <typename Thing> class Pair {
public:
    Pair() { };

    Thing get_first() const { return first_; }
    Thing get_second() const { return second_; }
    void set_first(Thing &copyme);
    void set_second(Thing &copyme);
    void Swap();

private:
    Thing first_, second_;
};

#include "Pair.cc"

#endif // PAIR_H_
```

Template parameters for class definition

Could be objects, could be primitives

Using solution #2 (easier for separating on slides)

Pair Function Definitions

Pair.cc

```
template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::set_first(Thing &copyme) {
    first_ = copyme;
}

template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::set_second(Thing &copyme) {
    second_ = copyme;
}

template <typename Thing>
void Pair<Thing>::Swap() {
    Thing tmp = first_;
    first_ = second_;
    second_ = tmp;
}

template <typename T>
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &out, const Pair<T>& p) {
    return out << "Pair(" << p.get_first() << ", "
               << p.get_second() << ")";
}
```

Need to remind compiler we are a template function

Member of template class

*Needed for Non-members too
Note: different name 'T' for space*

Using Pair

usepair.cc

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

#include "Pair.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Pair<std::string> ps;
    std::string x("foo"), y("bar");

    ps.set_first(x);
    ps.set_second(y);
    ps.Swap();
    std::cout << ps << std::endl;

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Invokes default ctor, which default constructs members ("", "")

("foo", "")

("foo", "bar")

("bar", "foo")

Class Template Notes (look in *Primer* for more)

- ❖ *Thing* is replaced with template argument when class is instantiated
 - The class template parameter name is in scope of the template class definition and can be freely used there
 - Class template member functions are template functions with template parameters that match those of the class template
 - These member functions must be defined as template function outside of the class template definition (if not written inline)
 - The template parameter name does *not* need to match that used in the template class definition, but really should
 - Only template methods that are actually called in your program are instantiated (but this is an implementation detail)

Review Questions (Classes and Templates)

- ❖ Why are only `get_first()` and `get_second()` `const`?
- ❖ Why do the accessor methods return `Thing` and not references?
- ❖ Why is `operator<<` not a `friend` function?
- ❖ What happens in the default constructor when `Thing` is a class?
- ❖ In the execution of `Swap()`, how many times are each of the following invoked (assuming `Thing` is a class)?

ctor _____ cctor _____ op= _____ dtor _____

