

# CSE 332 Autumn 2023

## Lecture 13: Hashing

Nathan Brunelle

<http://www.cs.uw.edu/332>


# Dictionary Data Structures

Data Structure	Time to insert	Time to find	Time to delete
Unsorted Array	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Unsorted Linked List	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Sorted Array	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(\log n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Sorted Linked List	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Binary Search Tree	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
AVL Tree	$\Theta(\log n)$	$\Theta(\log n)$	$\Theta(\log n)$
Hash Table (Worst case)	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
Hash Table (Average)	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$

# Hash Tables

- Idea:

- Have a small array to store information
- Use a **hash function** to convert the key into an index
  - Hash function should “scatter” the keys, behave as if it randomly assigned keys to indices
- Store key at the index given by the hash function
- Do something if two keys map to the same place (should be very rare)
  - Collision resolution



# What Influences Running time?


- How “spread out” our input keys are
  - How much do keys repeat
- Hash the function itself will take time
- Size of the table relative to the number things inserted
- How well our hash function scatters the keys
- What do we do when two things hash to the same spot

# Properties of a “Good” Hash

- Definition: A hash function maps objects to integers
- Should be very efficient
  - Calculating the hash should be negligible
- Should randomly scatter objects
  - Objects that are similar to each other should be likely to end up far away
- Should use the entire table
  - There should not be any indices in the table that nothing can hash to
  - Picking a table size that is prime helps with this
- Should use things needed to “identify” the object
  - Use only fields you would check for a .equals method be included in calculating the hash
  - More fields typically leads to fewer collisions, but less efficient calculation


# Collision Resolution

- A Collision occurs when we want to insert something into an already-occupied position in the hash table
- 2 main strategies:
  - Separate Chaining
    - Use a secondary data structure to contain the items
      - E.g. each index in the hash table is itself a linked list
  - Open Addressing
    - Use a different spot in the table instead
      - Linear Probing
      - Quadratic Probing
      - Double Hashing




# Separate Chaining Insert

- To insert  $k, v$ :
  - Compute the index using  $i = h(k) \% \text{size}$
  - Add the key-value pair to the data structure at  $table[i]$



# Separate Chaining Find


- To find  $k$ :
  - Compute the index using  $i = h(k) \% \text{size}$
  - Call find with the key on the data structure at  $table[i]$





# Separate Chaining Delete


- To delete  $k$ :
  - Compute the index using  $i = h(k) \% \text{size}$
  - Call delete with the key on the data structure at  $table[i]$




# Formal Running Time Analysis

- The **load factor** of a hash table represents the average number of items per “bucket”
  - $\lambda = \frac{n}{size}$
- Assume we have a has table that uses a linked-list for separate chaining
  - What is the expected number of comparisons needed in an unsuccessful find?
  - What is the expected number of comparisons needed in a successful find?
- How can we make the expected running time  $\Theta(1)$ ?


# Load Factor?



# Load Factor?




# Load Factor?




# Collision Resolution: Linear Probing

- When there's a collision, use the next open space in the table



# Linear Probing: Insert Procedure

- To insert  $k, v$ 
  - Calculate  $i = h(k) \% size$
  - If  $table[i]$  is occupied then try  $(i + 1) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 2) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 3) \% size$
  - ...



# Linear Probing: Find

- Let's do this together!



# Linear Probing: Find


- To find key  $k$ 
  - Calculate  $i = h(k) \% size$
  - If  $table[i]$  is occupied and does not contain  $k$  then look at  $(i + 1) \% size$
  - If that is occupied and does not contain  $k$  then look at  $(i + 2) \% size$
  - If that is occupied and does not contain  $k$  then look at  $(i + 3) \% size$
  - Repeat until you either find  $k$  or else you reach an empty cell in the table

# Linear Probing: Delete

- Let's do this together!

# Linear Probing: Delete

- Option 1: Find the last thing with a matching hash, move that into the spot you deleted from
- Option 2: Called “tombstone” deletion. Leave a special object that indicates an object was deleted from there
  - The tombstone does not act as an open space when finding (so keep looking after its reached)
  - When inserting you can replace a tombstone with a new item




# Downsides of Linear Probing

- What happens when  $\lambda$  approaches 1?
- What happens when  $\lambda$  exceeds 1?

# Quadratic Probing: Insert Procedure


- To insert  $k, v$ 
  - Calculate  $i = h(k) \% size$
  - If  $table[i]$  is occupied then try  $(i + 1^2) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 2^2) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 3^2) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 4^2) \% size$
  - ...



# Quadratic Probing: Example

- Insert:

- 76
- 40
- 48
- 5
- 55
- 47




# Using Quadratic Probing

- If you probe *tablesize* times, you start repeating the same indices
- If *tablesize* is prime and  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  then you're guaranteed to find an open spot in at most  $tablesize/2$  probes
- Helps with the clustering problem of linear probing, but does not help if many things hash to the same value

# Double Hashing: Insert Procedure

- Given  $h$  and  $g$  are both good hash functions
- To insert  $k, v$ 
  - Calculate  $i = h(k) \% size$
  - If  $table[i]$  is occupied then try  $(i + g(k)) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 2 \cdot g(k)) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 3 \cdot g(k)) \% size$
  - If that is occupied try  $(i + 4 \cdot g(k)) \% size$
  - ...





# Rehashing

- If your load factor  $\lambda$  gets too large, copy everything over to a larger hash table
  - To do this: make a new array with a new hash function
  - Re-insert all items into the new hash table with the new hash function
  - New hash table should be “roughly” double the size (but probably still want it to be prime)