

CSE 332 Data Abstractions, Spring 2014

Homework 4 – Part A

Due: **Wednesday, April 30, 2014** at the BEGINNING of lecture. Your work should be readable as well as correct. You should refer to the written homework guidelines on the course website for a reminder about what is acceptable pseudocode. Part A of this homework has TWO terrific questions!! Your combined score from part A & part B will be your total HW4 score.

Problem 1: Algorithm Analysis

The methods below implement recursive algorithms that return the first index in an *unsorted* array to hold 17, or -1 if no such index exists.

```
int first17_a(int[] array, int i) {
    if (i >= array.length)
        return -1;
    if (array[i]==17)
        return 0;
    if (first17_a(array,i+1) == -1)
        return -1;
    return 1 + first17_a(array,i+1);
}

int first17_b(int[] array, int i) {
    if (i >= array.length)
        return -1;
    if (array[i]==17)
        return 0;
    int x = first17_b(array,i+1);
    if (x == -1)
        return -1;
    return x + 1;
}
```

- (a) What kind of input produces the worst-case running time in an absolute “number of operations” sense, not a big-O sense, for `first17_a(arr,0)`?
- (b) For `first17_a`, give a recurrence relation, including a base case, describing the worst-case running time, where n is the length of the array (e.g. $T(n) = \dots$). You may use whatever constants you wish for constant-time work.
- (c) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Oh”) upper bound for the running time of `first17_a(arr,0)` given your answer to the previous question. That is, find a closed form for your recurrence relation. Show how you got your answer.
- (d) What kind of input produces the worst case running time in an absolute “number of operations” sense, not a big-O sense, for `first17_b(arr,0)`?
- (e) For `first17_b`, give a recurrence relation, including a base case, describing the worst-case running time, where n is the length of the array. You may use whatever constants you wish for constant-time work.
- (f) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Oh”) upper bound for the running time of `first17_b(arr,0)` given your answer to the previous question. That is, find a closed form for your recurrence relation. Show how you got your answer.
- (g) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Omega”) worst-case lower bound for the *problem* of finding the first 17 in an unsorted array (not a specific algorithm). Briefly justify your answer.

(See back of this page for remaining problems)

Problem 2: Deletion in Hashing

In this problem you will think about how lazy deletion is handled in open addressing hash tables.

(a) Suppose a hash table is accessed by open addressing and contains a cell X marked as “deleted”. Suppose that the next successful find hits and moves past cell X and finds the key in cell Y. Suppose we move the found key to cell X, mark cell X as “active” and mark cell Y as “open”. Suppose this policy is used for every find. Would you expect this to work better or worse compared to not modifying the table? Explain your answer.

(b) Suppose that **instead** of marking cell Y as “open” in the previous question, you mark it as “deleted” (it contains no value, but we treat it as a collision). Suppose this policy is used for every find. Would you expect this to work better or worse compared to **not modifying** the table? Explain your answer.