Homework 6

Due Friday, Feb. 24th, 2012 at the beginning of lecture. Please be sure your work is readable (either written clearly or typed).

Problem 1. Fork-Join Parallelism: Longest Series
Consider the problem of finding the longest sequence of some number in an array of numbers:
\text{longest sequence}(i, \text{arr}) \text{ returns the longest number of consecutive numbers } i \text{ in } \text{arr}. \text{ For example, if arr is} \{2,17,17,8,17,17,0,17,1\} \text{ then longest sequence}(17,\text{arr}) \text{ is 3 and longest sequence}(9,\text{arr}) \text{ is 0.}

a. In pseudocode, give a parallel fork-join algorithm for implementing longest sequence. Your algorithm should have work \( O(n) \) and span \( O(\log n) \) where \( n \) is the length of the array. Do not employ a sequential cut-off: your base case should process an array range containing one element. Hint: Use this definition:

\begin{verbatim}
class Result {
    int numLeftEdge;
    int numRightEdge;
    int numLongest;
    Result(int l, int r, int m) {
        numLeftEdge=l; numRightEdge=r; numLongest=m;
    }
}
\end{verbatim}

For example, numLeftEdge should represent the length of the sequence at the beginning of the range processed by a sub-problem. Think carefully about how to combine results.

b. In English, describe how you would make your answer to part (a) more efficient by using a sequential cut-off. In pseudocode, show the code you would use below this cut-off.

Problem 2. Fork-Join Parallelism: Leftmost Occurrence of Substring
Consider the problem of finding the leftmost occurrence of the sequence of characters “cseRox” in an array of characters, returning the index of the leftmost occurrence or -1 if there is none. For example, the answer for the sequence cseRhellocseRoxmomcseRox is 9.

a. In English (though some high-level pseudocode will probably help), describe a fork-join algorithm similar in design to your solution in problem 1. Use a sequential cut-off of at least 6 (the length of cseRox) and explain why this significantly simplifies your solution. Notice you still must deal with the leftmost occurrence being “split” across two recursive subproblems.

b. Give a much simpler fork-join solution to the problem that avoids the possibility of a “split” by using slightly overlapping subproblems. Assume a larger sequential cut-off, for example 100. Give your solution precisely in pseudocode. Avoid off-by-one errors.