Due: Thursday, August 9, 2012 by the end of the last quiz section that day. You work should be readable as well as correct as described in the written homework guidelines on the course website.

This assignment has 2 questions.

Problem 1. Fork-Join Parallelism: Longest Series
Consider the problem of finding the longest sequence of some number in an array of numbers: longest_sequence(i, arr) returns the longest number of consecutive numbers i in array arr. For example, if arr is \{2, 17, 17, 8, 17, 17, 0, 17, 1\} then longest_sequence(17, arr) is 3 and longest_sequence(9, arr) is 0.

(a) In pseudocode, give a parallel fork-join algorithm for implementing longest sequence. Your algorithm should have work $O(n)$ and span $O(\log n)$ where $n$ is the length of the array. Do not employ a sequential cut-off: your base case should process an array range containing one element. As a hint, you should use this class definition:

```java
class Result {
    int numLeftEdge;
    int numRightEdge;
    int numLongest;
    Result(int l, int r, int m) {
        numLeftEdge=l; numRightEdge=r; numLongest=m;
    }
}
```

For example, `numLeftEdge` should represent the length of the sequence at the beginning of the range processed by a sub-problem. Think carefully about how to combine results.

(b) In English, describe how you would make your answer to part (a) more efficient by using a sequential cut-off. In pseudocode, show the code you would use below this cut-off.

Problem 2. Fork-Join Parallelism: Leftmost Occurrence of Substring
Consider the problem of finding the leftmost occurrence of the sequence of characters “cseRox” in an array of characters, returning the index of the leftmost occurrence or -1 if there is none. For example, the answer for the sequence cseRhellocseRoxmomcseRox is 9.

(a) In English (though some high-level pseudocode will probably help), describe a fork-join algorithm similar in design to your solution in problem 1. Use a sequential cut-off of at least 6 (the length of “cseRox”) and explain why this significantly simplifies your solution. Notice you still must deal with the leftmost occurrence being “split” across two recursive subproblems.

(b) Give a much simpler fork-join solution to the problem that avoids the possibility of a “split” by using slightly overlapping subproblems. Assume a larger sequential cut-off, for example 100. Give your solution precisely in pseudocode. Avoid off-by-one errors.